Nanaimo Transportation Master Plan 2012 Household Travel Survey *Preliminary Highlights*



Wednesday January 23, 2013



Process, Methodology and Scope

What: Survey of all household travel over 24hr period
Where: Study Area - (Cedar Rd south of Airport to Lantzville Rd north of Lantzville)
Who: 1500+ or ~3.5% of households within the Study Area.
How: Telephone survey by market research / survey firm.
When: March/April 2012

Expansion and Limitations

- Household Sample Size: ~1500
- Total Households: ~44,000
- Sample Results are expanded to match total number of households, but consider other factors such as age, location and sex.
- Average expansion factor about 30:1
- Survey area further broken down into "*Superzones*" which have about a 100 household sample size.

Caution: Results from small geographies and/or samples will have larger sample errors.



Geography

Study Area

City of Nanaimo



Nanaimo Transportation Master Plan



Geography

14 Superzones

Smaller neighbourhood level analysis areas.

<u>1-12</u> – Within City of Nanaimo, generally consistent with neighbourhoods but in some cases multiple neighbourhoods combined to increase sample size.

<u>13</u> - Jingle Pot (Area "C") and District of Lantzville

<u>14</u> - RDN Area A/C/Cedar and CVRD Area H



City-wide Values



City-wide Values

24Hr Mode Split (Person-Trips / Weekday / Spring 2012)

Auto (88%)

Transit (2.5%)*

Bike (1.1%)*

Walking (8.3%)



🖈 🛵 🚔 🛲

* Due to survey sample size; values of small proportion tend to be underestimated.



Results – Total Trips over 24Hrs



Results – Household Vehicle Availability





Results – Trip Rates by Age Group and Time of Day

Trip rates peak during midlife.

Post retirement, trips rates are still high, but with a shift away from **am** travel into the **mid-day** and **pm**.

Under-reporting is common for the 18 to 24 age cohort.





Results – Mode Split by Age Group



Results – Trip Rates vs Employment Status

Trip rates are correlated to economic activity and employment.

During strong periods of economic growth the same population will tend to make more trips and require more accessibility vs other periods.



Average Trip Length

Across all modes of travel the average length of trips was **5.9 km**.

Average Trip Length by Mode (All Trips)





🖈 🛤 🚍 🛲

Average Trip Length

Different travel modes have different lengths.

Active transportation modes (walking/biking) tend to be shorter trips.

Motorized trips (transit/auto) tend to be longer trips, however there is still many auto trips within a typical walk/bike range.



Average Trip Length

50% of walking trips are less than 800m in length

(City Hall to the Sea Plane Base)

90+% of walking trips are less than 2km in length

(City Hall to Townsite Rd)

87% of biking trips are less than 4km in length

Cummulative Distribution of Trip Length by Mode (L<20km)



Average Trip Length

Where you live can determine how far you need to travel to access employment, goods and services.

Residents of rural and areas on the edge of the City travel more and have a greater impact on the road network.





Distribution of Population (2011)



Nanaimo Transportation Master Plan



Distribution of Trip Start and End Points

While the distribution of trip is strongly influenced by population, it is also impacted by commercial and employment activity.



Trip starts and ends during the PM Peak Period.

During the PM Peak period, areas with high levels of employment tend to be net trip generators, while largely residential areas tend to net trip sinks.

Commercial areas tend to balance out with roughly equal trips to/from the zone.

Trip patterns tend to be complex during this period.



Nanaimo Transportation Master Plan



Non Auto Trips by Superzone

Residents of the Townsite, Newcastle, Downtown, University and Harewood Neighbourhoods generated large number of non-auto trips and achieved a strong mode split.

Residents in neighbourhoods adjacent to Woodgrove created some non-auto trips.

