

What's Changed Since the February 2022 Draft?

This working draft table captures the majority of the changes to the February 2022 version of the draft City Plan, and captures all of Council’s approved changes (from the May 9 GPC and May 16 Council meetings). Note that this table does not represent all of the changes that are more general/housekeeping in nature (i.e. to improve document clarity, consistency, organization, readability, and accuracy). Staff have done their best to track changes and ensure the accuracy of this table; however, due to the volume of input received, some changes may have been missed.

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS							
Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
	PART A WELCOME						
	OUR CITY, OUR FUTURE						
1	City Staff	Figure 27 and 28 are switched around in the figures list on page iv.	vi	Table of Contents	Figure 27:Types of Waterfront Lands Figure 28:Nanaimo Waterfront Segments	Recommend Change	Recommend Change
2	City Staff	Update Schedule titles and the deleting of Schedule 14	vii	Table of Contents	REVISE THE SCHEDULE TABLE OF CONTENTS Schedule 1: City Boundary & Urban Containment Boundary Schedule 2: Future Land Use Designations Schedule 3: Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network Schedule 4: Road Network (Road Classifications) Schedule 5: Parks, Recreation, Culture & Wellness Schedule 6: DPA 1 Environmentally Sensitive Areas Schedule 7: DPA 2 Hazardous Slopes Schedule 8: DPA 3 DPA3/ Sea Level Rise Schedule 9: DPA 4 Abandoned Mine Workings Hazards Schedule 10: DPA 5 Wildfire Hazard Schedule 11: DPA 6 Steep Slope Development Schedule 12: DPA 7 Nanaimo Parkway Design Schedule 13: DPA 8 Form and Character and HCA 1 Heritage Conservation Area	N/A	Recommend Change
3	City Staff	Update Schedule titles and the deleting of Schedule 14	vii	Table of Contents	ADD THE FOLLOWING: APPENDIX A: REGIONAL CONTEXT STATEMENT APPENDIX B: THE NANAIMO DOWNTOWN PLAN (2002) APPENDIX C: PORT DRIVE W ATERFRONT MASTER PLAN (2 018) APPENDIX D: HOSPITAL AREA PLAN (2018) APPENDIX E: BOWERS DISTRICT MASTER PLAN (2022) APPENDIX F: SANDSTONE MASTER PLAN (2022)	N/A	Recommend Change
4	City Staff	Insert Introduction following the Territorial Acknowledgment	ix	Table of Contents	See City Plan Introduction - Final Draft - 2022.05.05 attached for text	Staff recommend the change to improve readability.	Recommend Change
5	Snuneymuxw First Nation (SFN) 2022-04-29	Page VII and Page 116 C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Add/Edit Text State land acknowledgement as follows: We respectfully acknowledge that the city boundary lies within the Traditional Territory of Snuneymuxw First Nation who have many significant ancestral village sites throughout the City including Stiltnup (Departure Bay) and Sxwuyum (Millstone River). We recognize the Snuneymuxw Treaty of 1854, a trade and commerce treaty that forever and always preserves and protects Snuneymuxw villages, waters, enclosed fields, harvesting and gathering sites, and the right to hunt and fisheries as formerly.	ix	Territorial / Land Acknowledgement	Page 1 and Page 97 C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Add/Edit Text We respectfully acknowledge that the City boundary lies within the Traditional Territory of Snuneymuxw First Nation who have many significant ancestral village sites throughout the City including Stiltnup (Departure Bay) and Sxwuyum (Millstone River). We recognize the Snuneymuxw Treaty of 1854, a trade and commerce treaty that forever and always preserves and protects Snuneymuxw villages, waters, enclosed fields, harvesting and gathering sites, and the right to hunt and fisheries as formerly.	Recommend as requested by SFN with minor edit to change fisheries to fish in keeping with the treaty wording.	Recommend Change
6	City Staff	Add women and player of the year 2001-2002 to Mia Hamm	3	Part A Welcome	Amend the acknowledgment of the quote to read " Mia Hamm, professional soccer player, Olympic gold medalist, FIFA Women’s World Player of the Year 2001-2002 "	N/A	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
7	SFN 2022-04-29	The City Boundary sits on top of SFN lands and waters, and we expect the Draft Plan to recognize it as such. SFN is of the belief that the Draft Plan should make mention of TRC as part of A 1.1	5	A1.1 - Purpose of the City Plan	First Paragraph... a city with opportunities to grow in harmony with Snuneymuxw First Nation on whose Traditional Territory the City is located. Third Paragraph: The Nanaimo we know today has been shaped by generations of people before us, starting with the ancestors of First Nations. Guided by the notion of working together, it's now our responsibility to plan our future and envision a community that is as unique as these lands and waters that fall within the Traditional Territory of Snuneymuxw First Nation . This plan supports taking collaborative actions to implement the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's Calls to Action where local government can impact and influence healing by acknowledging the past, while also supporting a more equitable future for Snuneymuxw First Nation Mustimuxw (Peoples) and other Indigenous peoples.	Recommend as requested by SFN	Recommend Change
8	City Staff	N/A	5	A1.1 - Purpose of the City Plan	REVISE WORDING - City Plan maps out strategic actions directions to help us reach our fullest potential and allow everyone in our city to thrive	To help with clarity around policies and actions	Recommend Change
9	City Staff	Tell the Story' more clearly up front about what plan purpose is and how to use it - This will help navigate the document faster	5	A1.1 - Purpose of the City Plan	N/A	Tell the Story' more clearly up front about what plan purpose is and how to use it - This will help navigate the document faster	Recommend Change
10	City Staff	N/A	7	A1.1 - Purpose of the City Plan	Delete the first two paragraphs under the heading “integrated” OR move the second paragraph after the bullet “Active Mobility” in the fourth paragraph OR shorten the text. Cities are interconnected and complex. They work best when interdependent systems are considered together. City Plan’s integrated approach prepares us to tackle complexity and evaluate choices through the framework of the Nanaimo Doughnut (see Section B1.1). City Plan will guide how we support thoughtful growth, while protecting natural and physical assets and supporting fluid and efficient mobility. It provides strategic directions on land use, Truth and Reconciliation, climate adaptation, health and wellness, diversity, accessibility and inclusion, economic prosperity, sustainable living, and much more. By providing integrated direction, City Plan serves to unify traditionally separate policy documents and serves as our:	Remove to reduce text and repetition.	Recommend Change
11	City Staff	Reference the Nanaimo doughnut as this is the first time it’s mentioned	7	Overview	ADD NEW WORDING - City Plan’s integrated approach prepares us to tackle complexity and evaluate choices through the framework of the Nanaimo Doughnut (see section B1.1).	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
12	SFN 2022-04-29	The City Boundary sits on top of SFN lands and waters, and we expect the Draft Plan to recognize it as such.	9	A1.2 - Legislation - Our Geography	REVISED WORDING - OUR GEOGRAPHY The City of Nanaimo occupies a land mass of just under 91 km2 on the east coast of Central Vancouver Island on the Traditional Territory of Snuneymuxw First Nation . The Salish Sea to the east, and mountains and forests to the west, form Nanaimo’s natural boundaries and define the long linear shape of our city. Nanaimo is only 5 km wide but stretches over 20 km from the Nanaimo River estuary in the south to the District of Lantzville border in the north.	Recommend as requested by SFN	Recommend Change
13	Legal Review	Revise text based on legal advice	9	A1.2 Legislation	REVISE WORDING - While a Council is not obligated to undertake specific actions or projects in City Plan, all bylaws enacted or works undertaken by Council must be consistent with the plan.	This change was recommended through the legal review of City Plan.	Recommend Change
14	SFN 2022-04-29	The regional context statement connects the regional growth strategy of the Regional District of Nanaimo ("RDN") to the Draft Plan. In this context it is important that SFN, the City, and the RDN step onto a common pathway that promotes recognition, reconciliation and cooperation and respect for the next 25 years and beyond. Often initiatives arise that are interconnected legislatively or between our jurisdictions. Something to consider is a tripartite government-to-government that enables us to work together in a better way.	10	A1.3 - Regional Context Statement	Add Text after second to last paragraph The City recognizes the importance of a collaborative approach to growth management with the Regional District of Nanaimo and First Nations, including Snuneymuxw First Nations. This plan supports stronger collaboration between all parties that promotes recognition, reconciliation, respect and cooperation.	Recommend as supports SFN interests Consistent with text on page 289 E5 that supports collaboration with First Nations and other partners. Other text throughout the document also support this as a policy and related Action item.	Recommend Change
15	City Staff	Move pages 12 - 18 to appendix	12 to 18	A1.3 - Regional Context Statement	MOVE PAGES 12 to 18 TO APPENDIX	Staff recommend this change to improve the flow of the document	Recommend Change
16	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Under C1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction - missing C1.1.1 Overall community GHG to below zero by 2050	12	A1.3 Regional Context Statement	ADD NEW WORDING - C1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction: » Sets target for 100% renewable energy by 2050 » Supports shift to zero carbon and energy efficient buildings and zero carbon transportation by 2050 » Commits to reducing overall community greenhouse gas emissions to below zero by 2050	GHG emissions targets added to this policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
17	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Which Standards and regulations? Clarification needed. Is there an opportunity to make a link to the Regional DWWP? 10 Year Action plan?	12	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 1 C1.4 Healthy Watersheds	REVISED WORDING C1.4 Supports regular review and updates to standards and regulations for storm water and rainwater management including linkages to Regional District of Nanaimo's Drinking Water and Watershed Protection Program .	Staff recommend the change as it is more consistency with intergovernmental applications.	Recommend Change
18	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Suggest adding HRVA	12	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan Goal 1 - C3.5 Emergency Management	NEW WORDING Goal 1 - C3.5 Prepares the City to manage known hazards and emerging threats, including natural hazards -supports regular updates to the City's Emergency Plan -Supports alignment to the Regional District of Nanaimo's Hazard Risk and Vulnerability Assessment	For clarity	Recommend Change
19	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Suggests adding a first bullet: to promote healthy and compact communities	12	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 1 - C2.1 Complete Communities	ADD NEW WORDING as first bullet point - Goal 1 - C2.1 - Promote healthy and compact communities • Encourages alignment of land use and mobility networks • Seeks to manage transportation demand to reduce vehicle emissions	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity.	Recommend Change
20	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Suggesting adding something about air quality	13	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan	N/A	Air Quality will be addressed following the adoption of draft City Plan	Do Not Recommend Change
21	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Suggesting more linkage in the City Plan to the E&N and RGS policy 3.7	14	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 3 - D4.4 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network	ADD NEW WORDING as last bullet point - Goal 3, C2.2 Recognizes E&N corridor as an integral active transportation corridor .	Covered by RGS, but not a bad idea to reflect here.	Recommend Change
22	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Add Map reference and confirm if consistent with the RGS Maps of GCBs, Sheets, Map Regional Land Use Designation Map 9 to 15	14	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 4 - D2.1 Urban Containment Boundary	ADD NEW WORDING to last bullet point - Goal 4, D2.1 • Maintains a Growth Containment Boundary aligned with the City boundary and an Urban Containment Boundary to focus housing and jobs primarily within existing urban areas • adjusts the Urban Containment Boundary to exclude lands in the south that are not intended for urban growth, helping to focus future growth within existing urban areas (see Schedule 1 - City Boundary and Urban Containment Boundary).	Staff recommend the change as adding a map reference is helpful. Staff have also confirmed that the Urban Containment Boundary is consistent in City Plan with the Regional Growth Strategy boundary.	Recommend Change
23	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Add Map reference & recognize change through map reference	15	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 5 - D2.1 Urban Containment Boundary	ADD NEW WORDING to last bullet point - Goal 5, D2.1 • Maintains an Urban Containment Boundary that separates urban uses and areas for growth from lands with significant natural, agricultural or ecological values • recognizes Nanaimo as a centre for growth in the region and encourages densification in Urban Centre, Corridor and Neighbourhood designations to reduce pressure on rural areas • Redesignates lands in the south end of the city from Resort to Resource Management and adjusts the Urban Containment Boundary to exclude these lands in keeping with surrounding land uses and servicing capacity (see Schedule 1 - City Boundary and Urban Containment Boundary).	Staff recommend the change as adding a map reference is helpful.	Recommend Change
24	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Add Map Reference	15	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 5 - D4.8 Green Spaces	ADD NEW WORDING to last bullet point - Goal 5, D4.8 • Designates ALR, Forested, and environmentally-sensitive lands as Resource Management and not intended for urban development • Discourages removal of lands from the Agricultural Land Reserve (see Schedule 2 - Future Land Use Designations).	Staff recommend the change as adding a map reference is helpful.	Recommend Change
25	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Suggest change: RDN, First Nations, member municipalities (or regional partners) and senior levels of government	15	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan Goal 6 - C3.1 Affordable Housing	REVISE WORDING - Goal 6 - C3.2 Supports working with the Regional District of Nanaimo, First Nations, regional partners, and senior levels of government to address regional housing needs	Staff recommend the change as it adds more comprehensive list of possible partners to be involved in Affordable Housing	Recommend Change
26	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	What's the difference between a variety of housing forms and innovative housing forms?	15	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 6 - D4.3 Centres	ADD NEW WORDING - Goal 6 - D4.3 Encourages a variety of housing forms to meet all demographics and incomes in Urban Centres (see Figure 25 - Residential Land Use Overview).	Staff recommend the change as adding a figure reference is helpful.	Recommend Change
27	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	What's the difference between a variety of housing forms and innovative housing forms / limited to character homes?	15	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 6 - D4.5 Neighbourhoods	ADD NEW WORDING - Goal 6 - D4.5 Encourages infill and innovative housing forms to increase housing options in Neighbourhoods (see Figure 3 - Residential Land Use Overview).	Staff recommend the change as adding a figure reference is helpful.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
28	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	Is there a linkage to be made between the urban centre, agriculture and economic resiliency that hasn't been captured?	16	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan Goal 7 - D4.6 Industrial Lands	ADD NEW WORDING - Goal 7 - D4.6 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourages a regional approach to managing industrial lands • Discourages re-designation of industrial lands to other uses • Encourages clean, sustainable industrial uses and consideration for eco-industrial networks • Limits office, residential, or recreational uses in industrial areas • Promote and support economic agriculture and food processing opportunities 	Staff recommend change per RDN recommendation	Recommend Change
29	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	What about adding growth management to this list? The Regional Growth Strategy can be considered a form of agreement between the City and the RDN regarding growth management.	18	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan Goal 11 - Various Sections	REVISE WORDING - Goal 11 - Various Sections <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supports ongoing formal agreements with the RDN for growth management, waste management, sewer services, transit operations, and recreation services • supports cooperation with the Province, RDN, and stewardship groups on environmental management • Section E.05 Relationships and coordination for the good of all 	Staff recommend change per RDN recommendation	Recommend Change
30	Gov Stakeholder Input - RDN - 2022.04.04	RGS Goal Sections on Energy and Emergency Planning not addressed here - maybe include a note strategies already identified under RGS Goal 1 of the RCS?	18	Table 1: Relationship between Regional Growth Strategy and City Plan - Goal 10 -C1.5 Water, Sewer, & Storm water Services	N/A	Staff do recommend the change as it would be redundant. It is well articulated in Goal 1 on page 12.	Do Not Recommend Change
31	City Staff	Reorganize document to reduce size	19-29	A1.4 A2.1 A2.2 A2.3	Remove 11 pages from A1.4 Creating City Plan - A2.3 to create a separate background companion document outside City Plan	Organizational change to improve document flow	Recommend Change
32	City Staff	Define "get involved Nanaimo"	19	A1.4 Figure 3	NEW WORDING "City of Nanaimo online public engagement tool" to Figure 3 where Get Involved is mentioned removed to supplemental document	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
33	SFN 2022-04-29	Sections A2.1 and A2.2 do not include pertinent information about SFN and SFN key trends. Canada conducts a census of SFN every five years, and the most recent iteration is published on the Department of Indigenous Services Canada website. We are prepared to engage [in] a dialogue with you to better represent this section [in] a holistic and inclusive way.	23	A2.1 - Our Recent Past: The last 25 years A2.2 - Our Present: Current Trends	Pages 23-29 already being pulled out to shorten the document and serve as a backgrounder at which time this information from SFN can be included.	Recommend updating info as requested by SFN. Pulling out into separate document will allow for better updating and revision using data from SFN and other sources.	Recommend Change
34	City Staff	Rewrite first paragraph	24	A2.2 - Our Present: Current Trends	REVISE WORDING TO PARAGRAPH "We are a City in a Region" As the largest city in our region and on Central Vancouver Island, Nanaimo has an important role in accommodating growth in reducing regional sprawl and protecting the vital forests, meadows, fields, and recreational lands beyond our city edges, are essential to the health and character of our community. If we plan well and reduce sprawl into nearby regional lands, the City will work more efficiently.	Improve clarity	Recommend Change
35	City Staff	Change to "This trend signals towards a more compact, urban city form from Nanaimo's largely suburban past.	25	A2.2 - Our Present: Current Trends - Figure 5 - Housing Stock by Dwelling Type in Nanaimo - Growing Differently	Growing Differently Last sentence This trend signals towards a more compact, urban city form from Nanaimo's largely suburban past.	Staff recommend the change as it provides greater clarity.	Recommend Change
36	City Staff	Provide an updated snapshot to 2021 figures.	26-27	A2.3 - Our Future: Projections & Land Inventory - Figure 6: Population Projections to 2046	REVISE DATA - HOUSING UNIT PROJECTION Total housing units in 2046 are projected to be between 53,900 and 60,000 an increase of approximately 9,000 to 15,000 units from 2021	Staff recommend the change as newer data has been made available via the 2021 Census.	Recommend Change
37	City Staff	N/A	31	A3.0 - At a Glance A3.1 - Using City Plan A3.2 - Fitting our Plans Together	Bring forward Section A3.1: A1.1 Purpose of City Plan 4 A1.2 Using City Plan 6 A1.3 Fitting Our Plans Together 7	Staff recommend moving this section forward to improve navigating through the document.	Recommend Change
38	City Staff	N/A	31	A3.1 - Using City Plan - Figure 9 City Plan Guide	REVISED WORDING Figure 9 City Plan Guide Our Actions, located in the Integrated Action Plan document provide specific projects, programs and policies to implement City Plan.	Staff recommend the change as it provides clarity around policies and actions.	Recommend Change
39	City Staff	N/A	31	A3.1 - Using City Plan	N/A	Staff recommend the change as it provides clarity.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
40	City Staff	N/A	33	A3.2 - Fitting our Plans Together - Council's Strategic Plans How They Fit	REVISED WORDING Council's Strategic Plan How They Fit As Strategic Plans are created, Council may wish to consider using the directions outlined in the City Plan to prioritize actions they wish to take to achieve the plan's goals . These actions may be within the <i>Integrated Action Plan</i> and Council could also identify new emerging priorities to be added into the <i>Integrated Action Plan</i> .	Staff recommend the additional text to clarify the link between the Strategic Plans and the Draft City Plan.	Recommend Change
41	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Supporting Plans should be listed so there is no ambiguity later (ex: Neigh Plans to be included?)	33	A3.2 Fitting Our Plans Together	N/A	The plans that will form part of City Plan are listed in Section E1.1 Area Plans, and will be listed in the adopting bylaw. The plans that will be used as key guiding documents are listed in E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement (pg. 261).	Do Not Recommend Change
02 PAST, PRESENT, FUTURE							
03 AT A GLANCE							
PART B FOUNDATIONS							
01 FRAMEWORK							
02 GOALS							
PART C POLICIES							
01 A GREEN NANAIMO: RESILIENT & REGENERATIVE ECOSYSTEMS							
42	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Include the following two elements of language in the start of the Green Policies section: 1. Tying the green policies to the goal of staying within the ecological limits as outlined in the City doughnut 2. Connecting services to the 'human needs' inner circle of the doughnut	47	C1 - A Green Nanaimo: Resilient & Regenerative Ecosystems	REVISE WORDING ABOUT A GREEN NANAIMO: A Green Nanaimo is about how we can stay within our ecological limits while meeting the needs of all, as outlined in the Nanaimo Doughnut framework (Section B1.1) . It is about advancing collective knowledge, living in harmony with our environment, and responding and reducing the impacts of climate change while protecting natural systems, people, businesses, and infrastructure...	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
43	General Public	Strengthen the language	47	C1 - A Green Nanaimo: Resilient & Regenerative Ecosystems Introduction	REVISE WORDING - Why is a green Nanaimo important for our future? Reducing our emissions, adopting clean alternatives, building green infrastructure, and planning to protect our region's valued lands mitigates climate change impacts and builds resilience to hazards.	Strengthen the language	Recommend Change
44	City Staff	Add improved air quality to tree canopy write up	48	INTEGRATION: What does a Green Nanaimo look like in an Urban Centre. - Bullet #1	A ROBUST TREE CANOPY Consistent integration of protected and planted native and drought tolerant trees for habitat, shade, beauty, improved air quality and reduced urban heat island effects	Staff recommend change to recognize the air quality impact of trees	Recommend Change
45	City Staff	Remove specific numbers of emissions from Figure 13	54	Figure 13 and 14 emissions numbers (i.e. 465k tons for 2010 and 549k tons for 2017)	N/A	These numbers shift slightly all the time depending on new and updated data, methodologies, etc. but regardless what specific numbers are being used, they don't change the key messages and the policy directions.	Recommend Change
46	General Public	I am disappointed to see that LNG is seen as a 'renewable' and a key aspect of Nanaimo's emissions reductions strategy. The use of any kind of natural gas as a climate change mitigation unequivocally goes against peer-reviewed science and should under no circumstances be supported or encouraged as part of a forward-looking approach to urban design. LNG is at best a minor improvement over coal-fired energy, but this does not account for leakage of methane.... In the context of Nanaimo, hydroelectric power is abundant and readily available and so the use of LNG furnaces/stoves, etc. should be heavily discouraged in favour of heat pumps, which are absolutely perfect for our relatively mild climate.	52	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Figure 15: Emissions Reductions Strategies & Figure 16: Exploring the Relative Impact of Strategies to Reduce GHG Emissions in Nanaimo	Add definition of "Renewable Natural Gas" to the glossary.	Definition included in glossary for clarity.	Recommend Change
47	General Public	Strengthen the language	53	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	REVISE WORDING However, current government policies (illustrated as Business as Usual Projection) are not enough to reach the reduction targets necessary to avoid....Additional strategies are needed to reach City's GHG emissions reduction targets.	Strengthen the language	Recommend Change
48	General Public	Strengthen the language	53	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	REVISE WORDING The CleanBC Roadmap to 2030 released in November 2021 by the Province suggests policies that will help local governments meet their reduction targets, but every resident will also have to take action.	Strengthen the language	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
49	General Public	Improve clarity	54	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	REVISE WORDING - Summary of Strategies to GHG Emissions Reduction Targets Our climate impacts have reached a state that the question is no longer which actions to take, but how quickly we can implement them and which to do first. There are many strategies to reducing territorial GHG emissions in Nanaimo. To reach our targets we need to make substantial progress in all strategies and leverage and capitalize on new technology, senior government programs, and other opportunities that come our way.	Provides clarify on intent	Recommend Change
50	General Public - Nanaimo Climate Action Club Updated- 2022 Apr 5	Figure 15 lists “renewable natural gas” as a strategy to reduce GHG emissions and achieve greener buildings and infrastructure. In British Columbia, where almost all of our electricity is generated by hydroelectric power, it would be much better to focus on heat pumps, which are readily available, as opposed to renewable natural gas, which is scarce and should be reserved for situations where heat pump retrofits aren’t possible. Estimates suggest less than 5% of BC’s annual natural gas use could be replaced with renewable gas in the long term, unless there are significant technological advances that have yet to be developed. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/assets/gov/farming-natural-resources-and-industry/electricity-alternative-energy/transportation/renewable-low-carbon-fuels/resource_supply_potential_for_renewable_natural_gas_in_bc_public_version.pdf Figure 15 also lists "carbon sequestration and other measures" and has a high impact on emissions reductions but it is not defined. Is this referring to expansion of the urban tree canopy, the yet to be feasible carbon sequestration technology or something else?	54	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Figure 15: Emissions Reduction Strategies & Figure 16: Exploring the Relative Impact of Strategies to Reduce GHG Emissions in Nanaimo	N/A	Do Not Recommend Change is proposed to Figures 15 and 16. A definition of renewable natural gas will be included in the glossary.	Recommend Change
51	City Staff	Figure 15: Table - Carbon Sequestration should be separated from Other Measures and labeled the same way as in Figure 16.	54	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Figure 15: Emissions Reductions Strategies	REVISE FIGURE 15 TO SEPARATE CARBON SEQUESTRATION FROM OTHER MEASURES AND Replace “electric vehicles” with “ zero-emission vehicles ” in figures.	Maintain consistency and accuracy	
52	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Figure 16 - Is “Active Mobility” circle located correctly on the low/high Spectrum of Municipal Influence? Given that transit improvements can be subject to provincial/federal influence, while other mobilities are exclusively under the influence of the municipality then it should be shown at least on par if not higher than transit.	55	C1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Figure 16: Exploring the Relative Impact of Strategies to Reduce GHG Emissions in Nanaimo	N/A	Staff do not recommend the change as transit improvements are between the Regional District of Nanaimo and City of Nanaimo, with BC Transit support (not in influence of Provincial government)	Do Not Recommend Change
53	City Staff	Update figure data	55	C1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Figure 16: Exploring the Relative Impact of Strategies to Reduce GHG Emissions in Nanaimo	REVISE FIGURE AS FOLLOWS: Update data. Use a different shade for Sequestration & Other category.change the label of Other Measures to “CleanBC Roadmap 2030 policies” from “Clean BC, Low Carbon Fuel, Renewable Energy”.	Colors for Mobility and Sequestration &Other are too close and hard to differentiate. Change label to improve clarity	Recommend Change
54	General Public	Strengthen the language	56	C1.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction Desired Outcomes	REVISE WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOMES Be a model of efficient use of land and resources to create healthy and comfortable built environments through individual and collective behaviour change; zero-carbon and energy efficient buildings, neighbourhoods, and employment centres; and use of renewable energy options.	For clarity	Recommend Change
55	General Public	Policy section C1.1 Transportation: Introduce idling regulations to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from idling vehicles. Policy section C1.1 Transportation: Focus the installation of public EV charging stations near areas with high concentrations of Multi-Unit Residential Buildings, where it has been difficult for EV owners to charge their zero emissions vehicles at home.	56	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	N/A	Suggest these are more detailed action than required in City Plan; however, they warrant consideration as part of the Integrated Action Plan.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
56	General Public	<p>Comment #1 Policy C1.1.2 sets the first interim target for reducing GHG emissions at 2030. This is too far into the future. Canada is warming faster than predicted and the window of opportunity to stay below 1.50C is rapidly disappearing. If we wait until 2030 to confirm that we have yet again failed to meet our emissions reduction target, we will have wasted 8 years. The climate emergency is happening now. We must see a measurable reduction by 2025 and take more drastic action if we do not.</p> <p>Comment #2 Policy C1.1.4 requires zero-carbon and energy efficient building design and practices for all new construction after 2030. As with policy C1.1.2 mentioned above and for the same reasons, this should be moved up to 2025.</p>	56	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.2 - Overall	REVISE WORDING - C1.1.2 Set and actively work towards interim targets for greenhouse gas emission reductions for 2025 , 2030, 2035, and 2040.	Staff recommends the change as the Province also has interim target to reduce emissions 16% by 2025. Proposed short term target recognizes climate emergency and urgency to hold governments accountable in both short and long term.	Recommend Change
57	General Public	Policy C1.1.3 requires annual monitoring of emissions reductions. Public reporting of the annual monitoring results is needed as well. As we learned with the pandemic, keeping the public aware of the situation helps everyone understand what is happening and why we must take action.	56	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.3 - Overall	REVISE WORDING - C1.1.3 Monitor and report on progress annually towards targets and review / update greenhouse gas reduction targets every three years, or more frequently when justified , to ensure they are in line with the recommendations from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and reflect actions from Provincial and Federal Governments.	Refinements supported to acknowledge importance of reporting.	Recommend Change
58	Gov Stakeholder Input - Dr. Sandra Allison - 2022.04.08	Tracking of current and future PM2.5 levels can assist in measuring objectives around greenhouse gas reduction.	56	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.3 - Overall	N/A	MHO is suggesting using PM2.5 for measuring greenhouse gas reduction. But PM2.5 is a better measure of air quality than it is of GHG emissions. The City has included an indicator for community level GHG emissions according to international GHG accounting protocols.	Do Not Recommend Change
59	General Public - Nanaimo Climate Action Club Updated- 2022 Apr 5	Policy C1.1.3 requires annual monitoring of emissions reductions. Public reporting of the annual monitoring results is needed as well. As we learned with the pandemic, keeping the public aware of the situation helps everyone understand what is happening and why we must take action.	56	C1.1. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.3 - Overall	C1.1.3 Monitor progress annually towards targets and review / update greenhouse gas reduction targets every three years or more frequently when justified to ensure they are in line with the recommendations from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and reflect actions from Provincial and Federal Governments.	Staff recommend the proposed revised policy C1.1.3, because building in the flexibility of target review frequency allows staff to respond quickly to changes in climate science and policy.	Recommend Change
60	General Public - Nanaimo Climate Action Club Updated- 2022 Apr 5	Policy C1.1.4 requires zero-carbon and energy efficient building design and practices for all new construction after 2030. As with policy C1.1.2 mentioned above and for the same reasons, this should be moved up to 2025 or earlier. In addition, energy systems that use fossil fuels like methane would tend to lock-in its use for a 15 to 20 year life cycle. An added cost burden would land on home owners to switch out their fossil fuel energy system for a clean electric system when they could have a clean electric system from the start - saving money and cutting emissions early.	56	C1.1. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.4 Buildings	N/A	Policy statement C1.1.4 as worded allows for the possibility to accelerate zero-carbon building design through the adoption of higher step code or lower carbon energy system prior to 2030. Specifying a year before 2030 in the policy statement was discussed at the Environment Committee but was not supported.	Do Not Recommend Change
61	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Include in the City Plan a policy statement about advocating to the Provincial government for additional power and policy tools to meet cities' climate goals.	56	C1.1. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.6 - Buildings	NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER C1.1.6 Advocate to the Provincial government for additional power and policy tools to meet the City's climate goals.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
62	GPC Motion 2022.05.09	"That the Governance and Priorities Committee recommend that Council direct staff to add a policy under section C1.1 of the Draft City Plan to support, prioritize and advocate for low-carbon energy systems in all new construction."	56	C1.1. Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.6 - Buildings	NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER C1.1.6 Support, prioritize, and advocate for low carbon energy systems in all new construction.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
63	City Staff	Insert "rolling"	56	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.7 - Transportation	REVISE WORDING - C1.1.7 Prioritize walking, rolling , cycling and transit	Staff recommend change for consistency	Recommend Change
64	City Staff	Policy C1.1.7 says it's a policy, but is it more of a desired outcome?	56	C1.1 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction C1.1.7 - Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reduction	REVISE WORDING - C1.1.7 By 2050, all transportation trips are zero-carbon, through active transportation and zero-emission vehicle adoption.	Added clarifications to this high level policy statement to guide future work on active transportation and zero-emission vehicle adoption.	Recommend Change
65	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Retitle section C1.2 from "Climate Adaptation and Hazard Management" to "Climate Adaptation and Hazard Mitigation"	57	C1.2 Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management	REVISED WORDING to Title "Climate Adaptation and Hazard Mitigation "	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
66	City Staff	The City will develop strategic directions for climate change adaptation.	57	C1.2 Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management C1.2.3 - Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management	REVISED WORDING - C1.2.3 Working with community partners, develop strategic directions for climate change adaptation.	The revised policy is more general in scope but requires the City to take more a leadership role in climate adaptation.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
67	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Strengthen language for the policy C1.2.2 to communicate “support community and neighbourhood-level organizations that improve capacity and resilience of the community”	57	C1.2 Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management C1.2.2 - Communication & Capacity Building	REVISED WORDING - C1.2.2 Support community and neighbourhood level organizations that empower community capacity and resilience to mitigate and adapt to climate change and other hazards.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
68	City Staff	Hazardous lands are not defined	57	C1.2 Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management C1.2.4 - Planning & Strategy	REVISED WORDING - C1.2.4 Prioritize hazardous lands and properties at risk for consideration in the City's property acquisition strategy and seek Province's support for their acquisition.	Staff have reviewed the proposed new wording and support the change.	Recommend Change
69	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Streamline and clarify policies C1.2.6 to C1.2.8 under Planning and Strategy (page 57-58)	57-58	C1.2.6 to C1.2.8 Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management - Planning & Strategy	C1.2.6 Encourage shoreline naturalization to protect waterfront properties from flooding risk and landslide while also protecting and restoring habitats. C1.2.7 Increase resilience of community assets and infrastructure against wildfire risk, sea level rise and increasing intensity, duration and frequency of extreme weather events. C1.2.8 Discourage development in areas prone to increasing flooding, landslide, or sea level rise due to climate change. Where development does occur, require efforts to mitigate climate change impacts.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
70	City Staff	Revise wording	58	C1.2.9 - Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management	C1.2.9 Regularly update coastal flooding plans and regulations to encourage public and private development to avoid areas at risk of anticipated sea level rise and to identify where existing development is in areas of potential risk. Where development exists in risk areas, support adaptation measures for its remaining useful life.	missing word	
71	City Staff	Clarification needed	58	C1.2.10 - Climate Adaptation & Hazard Management	Ensure contingency reserves contain an allowance for City infrastructure recovery from severe weather-related events.	Clarified policy is referring to City infrastructure.	Recommend Change
72	GPC Motion 2022-03-14 & City Staff & General Public	Replace "rainwater attenuation" with "surface runoff management" under section C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas and Greenways. General Public: For clarity	59	C1.3.1 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways - <i>Introduction</i>	REVISED WORDING Urban tree canopies, natural areas, and greenways are a fundamental part of the city's infrastructure and provide many benefits. They provide wildlife habitat; ecological services, including stormwater management and air and water quality improvements; reduction of urban heat island effects and climate resiliency; human health and safety; and community livability. The City now manages over 1,000 hectares of parkland, of which more than 70% is natural area with significant tree canopy and lands along watercourses. Expanding and maintaining a connected urban tree canopy, natural areas, and greenway network is essential to our ongoing community health and wellbeing.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
73	General Public	No mention of extreme heat as a health hazard and what type of land use policies can be used to minimize the impact (e.g.. Tree Canopy Enhancement policies; providing shade structures at transit stops; provision of drinking fountains; incorporating heat mitigation measures in large scale facilities and shopping centres). Reference to extreme heat is only made much later on page 179	59	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME Increased number and quality of trees, with enhanced ecological functions , throughout all part f the city.	Suggest expansion of desired outcomes to recognize the unique role of pollinators in maintaining ecological benefits and food security as well as the importance of pollinator friendly plants in supporting pollinators' survival and health.	Recommend Change
74	General Public	No mention of extreme heat as a health hazard and what type of land use policies can be used to minimize the impact (e.g.. Tree Canopy Enhancement policies; providing shade structures at transit stops; provision of drinking fountains; incorporating heat mitigation measures in large scale facilities and shopping centres). Reference to extreme heat is only made much later on page 179	59	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME Improved community understanding about the benefits of tree canopies, natural areas, and green infrastructure, such as shade provision, heat mitigation, ecosystem health,and connection to nature.	Suggest expansion of desired outcomes to help strengthen the connection between tree canopy and heat.	Recommend Change
75	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Clarify the goal of policy C1.3.1 (page 59) under Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas and Greenways.	59	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Urban Tree Canopy C1.3.1 Urban Tree Canopy	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.1 Protect and expand the city's tree canopy wherever possible to enhance its ecological, climate and human health benefits, while meeting the objectives of the City's land use policies.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
76	GPC Motion 2022-03-14 & General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP)	GPC Add tree planting to existing neighborhoods, not just new and redevelopment sites in policy C1.3.2 (page 59). CDFCP Amend policy C1.3.2 to be: The City will seek opportunities to implement street tree planting and other climate resilient landscaping in new neighbourhoods and redevelopment sites.	59	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Urban Tree Canopy C1.3.2 Urban Tree Canopy	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.2 Encourage street tree planting and other climate resilient landscaping in new and existing neighbourhoods and redevelopment sites.	GPC Motion & refinements supported to strengthen language and incorporate existing neighbourhoods.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
77	General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP) & City Staff	CDFCP: Amend policy C1.3.3 to be: The City will identify opportunities to plant trees on public land and promote tree planting on private lands through the development of an Urban Forest Strategy. City Staff : Recommend combining policies C1.3.3 & C1.3.8 as similar	59	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Urban Tree Canopy C1.3.3 - Urban Tree Canopy	REVISED POLICY C1.3.3 Promote with programs and incentives, the retention and planting of trees on public and private land throughout the city. Delete policy C1.3.8	Refinements supported to strengthen language. City has an existing Urban Forestry Strategy.	Recommend Change
78	GPC Motion 2022-03-14 & General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP) & General Public	GPC Add consideration of food security in policy C1.3.4, to include food security with ecosystem biodiversity and resiliency when replacing or planting new trees in public spaces. CDFCP Amend policy C1.3.4 to be: Biodiversity and climate change resilience will be considered when selecting trees in public spaces. General Public: Provide clarity on intent	59	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Urban Tree Canopy C1.3.4 - Urban Tree Canopy	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.4 Enhance ecosystem biodiversity and resilience, and consider climate change, as well as food security when replacing or planting new trees in public spaces.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
79	General Public	Provide clarity on intent	59	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Urban Tree Canopy C1.3.8 - Urban Tree Canopy	REVISE WORDING - C1.3.3 Promote, with programs and incentives, the retention and planting of trees on public and private land throughout the city.	Provides clarity	Recommend Change
80	General Public	Dear Mayor and Council, >> I am writing in regards to the Re-Imagine Nanaimo Draft document and I am in the process of completing the Phase 3 survey. I specifically want to comment on Section C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas and Greenways. While I am in favour of everything that is already included in this section I feel that there is an area that is missing and should be added with some specific wording changes. While there is a lot of mention of the importance of trees in our environment I think that the importance of habitats that support pollinators has been neglected and should be added. >> Without pollinators, the human race and all of earth's terrestrial ecosystems would not survive. About 75% of flowering plants need to be pollinated so that they can reproduce. That includes about 35% of the crops people harvest and eat around the world. Imagine living in a world without flowers or fruit or even coffee or chocolate. >> The plant communities that support pollinators also provide many ecological benefits-they remove contaminants from the air, produce oxygen, limit soil erosion, produce soil nutrients and sequester carbon. They also support wildlife as the fruits and berries from pollinated plants become food for a variety of birds and animals. Pollinators themselves are a source of food for other animals. >> But despite the importance of pollinators, they are often taken for granted. Worldwide, there is an alarming decline in (continued...)	59	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Urban Tree Canopy Natural Areas	NEW POLICY INCLUDE AFTER C1.3.9 C1.3.8 Promote pollinator friendly plants on public and private lands throughout the city.	Stafr recommend the change to recognize the unique role of pollinators in maintaining ecological benefits and food security as well as the importance of pollinator friendly plants in supporting pollinators' survival and health.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
81		<p>pollinator populations. Excessive use of pesticides and an ever-expanding conversion of landscapes to human use are the biggest culprits. I am a Nanaimo nature and wildlife photographer and I have noticed that many of the fields in Nanaimo where I used to go for insect photography are now housing developments. Nanaimo is losing pollinator habitat at an alarming rate.</p> <p>>> Therefore I am asking the City of Nanaimo to amend section C1.3 of the Re-Imagine Nanaimo Plan to include specific a mention of Pollinator Habitats. There is an urgent need within the city to add more pollinator friendly habitats and this can be accomplished in a variety of ways such as: adding native flowering plants to existing and new city gardens and boulevard plantings, adding pollinator specific gardens to existing parks and encouraging homeowners to convert some of their lawn to native and/or non-native pollinator friendly plants. Many cities such as Vancouver and Victoria are already doing this. If the city of Nanaimo is marketing itself as a green and sustainable city for the future than this is an area than cannot be overlooked. If you would like more information on this topic I am providing links to a couple of organizations that you will find helpful.</p> <p>>> Island Pollinator Initiative https://islandpollinatorinitiative.ca/</p> <p>>> Pollinator Partnership Canada https://www.pollinator.org/canada</p>					
82	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Include a policy in section C1.3 that states that the City will endeavour to increase, prioritize and include the planting of fruit and nut trees in public spaces where hard infrastructure and safe transportation will not be impacted by falling fruit.	60	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways - Urban Tree Canopy	NEW POLICY - C1.3.9 TO BE ADDED AFTER C1.3.8 C1.3.10 Endeavour to increase, prioritize and include the planting of fruit and nut trees in public spaces where hard infrastructure and safe transportation will not be impacted by falling fruit.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
83	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Consider stronger and simpler language to the extent possible on Page 60 under section C1.3.	60	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways - Natural Areas	REVISE WORDING - C1.3.11 Ensure appropriate restoration where riparian or other environmentally sensitive sites have been altered from natural state targeting net gain of ecological values.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
84	City Staff	Revise wording of policy for clarity	60	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway C1.3.11 Natural Areas	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.12 Maintain existing treed areas, and expand tree and vegetative cover on steep slope areas for the purpose of controlling erosion, enhancing ecological services, and reducing the visual impacts of development.	Staff recommend change for readability	Recommend Change
85	General Public	Strengthen the language	60	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways C1.3.12 Natural Areas	REVISE WORDING - C1.3.13 As part of development applications, protect private lands with significant environmental, urban tree canopy, natural capital, or recreational value by means of tools such as covenants, eco-gifting, or land dedication.	Provide clarity	Recommend Change
86	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Consider stronger and simpler language to the extent possible on Page 60 under section C1.3.	60	C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways - Natural Areas	REVISE WORDING - C1.3.14 When planning for natural assets and park spaces, find creative solutions that protect ecological assets and functions and allow defined public access and amenities while balancing watercourse, tree protection, and environmentally sensitive area regulations.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
87	GPC Motion 2022-03-14 & City Staff	Consider stronger and simpler language to the extent possible on Page 60 under section C1.3.	60	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway C1.3.14 Natural Areas	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.15 Preserve ecosystem integrity by removing invasive species from natural areas, restoring disturbed ecologically sensitive areas with native and/or appropriate non-invasive vegetation, and through programs and partnerships that support joint stewardship and restoration.	Staff recommend change for improved comprehension	Recommend Change
88	General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP)	Recommendation: Include a policy to work with the Regional District to produce a Biodiversity Strategy.	60	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway: Natural Areas	NEW POLICY - C1.3.16 Continue to work with other agencies and interested community groups to study natural assets and biodiversity and identify opportunities to increase natural values.	Reinforces a commitment to continue increasing information and knowledge about natural assets.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
89	General Public	I am wondering if there was any ecological input, specifically on the state of Nanaimo original landscape. Has there been a recent, current inventory of the Last vestiges of Garry oak meadows, the vernal pools, rocky headlands, coastal bluffs, rimrock of Millstone river, estuaries? Has there been any documents that identify contiguous natural areas, available fr designation a greenways, wildlife corridor? This plan appears to lack ecological input given the marketing language through your document, urban,suburban,rural are all Car oriented outdated perspectives, focused on total eradication of natural landscapes. Green certainly does not address vitality of healthy populations of life. Forest does not cover vernal pools and other winter ground hugging species. Parks and recreation management, no longer is adequate in this century. Biodiversity and the protection of existing natural values, beginning with, soil, moss, lichen cover, vernal pools, is fundamental to any climate crisis planning. We live in a savannah not a forest.	60	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway C1.3.15 Natural Areas	REVISE WORDING - C1.3.17 Continue to acquire natural areas as parkland and continue to manage existing natural areas, features, and ecosystems within them so they adapt and evolve with climate change.	Staff recommend minor amendment to clarify that natural areas include more than forests. DPA 1 includes current mapping of sensitive ecosystems.	Recommend Change
90	General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP)	Include policies that discuss the need to protect habitat connectivity across the city and into the surrounding landscape. Indicate on the City Plan maps the location of connectivity corridors.	61	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway C1.3.16 Greenways	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.18 Work with other agencies and interested community groups to identify , promote, and implement greenways, including both habitat greenway systems focused on wildlife corridors and recreational greenway systems, which may be combined in appropriate locations.	Policy refined to better articulate the role of greenways in establishing supporting habitat corridors. A corridor map may be considered as part of the Integrated Action Plan.	Recommend Change
91	General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP)	Include policies that discuss the need to protect habitat connectivity across the city and into the surrounding landscape. Indicate on the City Plan maps the location of connectivity corridors.	61	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenway C1.3.16 Greenways	REVISED WORDING - C1.3.17 Continue to create an interconnected greenway network over time that protects and increases habitat connectivity across the city and into the surrounding landscape , including along lake and ocean waterfronts, watercourses, and slopes.	Policy refined to better articulate the role of greenways in establishing supporting habitat corridors. A corridor map may be considered as part of the Integrated Action Plan.	Recommend Change
92	City Staff	Add "improve air quality" to greenways policy	61	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways C1.3.18 -Greenway	REVISE WORING - C1.3.19 To protect and enhance natural habitat, improve air quality, support wildlife, and allow for active transportation, continue to create an interconnected greenway network over time that protects and increases habitat connectivity across the city and into the surrounding landscape, including along lake and ocean waterfronts, watercourses, and slopes. Where environmental setbacks and constraints allow, support the development of environmentally sensitive trails, viewing points, and rest areas within greenways.	Staff recognize the importance of greenways, urban trees and natural areas in improving local air quality	Recommend Change
93	City Staff	Consolidate related policies	61	C1.3 - Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas & Greenways C1.3.17 & C1.3.18 Greenway	REVISE WORDING & COMBINE POLICIES Use C1.3.17, remove first sentence of C1.3.18 up to “wildlife, and” Start new sentence at Allow... To protect and enhance ecosystems, support wildlife and active transportation, continue to create an interconnected greenway network over time, including along lake and ocean waterfronts, watercourses, and slopes. Where environmental setbacks and constraints allow, support the development of environmentally sensitive trails, viewing points, and rest areas within greenways.	Improved wording has been reviewed by staff and is recommended.	Recommend Change
94	City Staff	Simplify language for broader audience	62	C1.4 - Healthy Watersheds: Introduction Introduction	REVISED WORDING - INTRODUCTION The health of the city's streams, lakes, wetlands and waterfronts depends on the land and plants around them, and on the watersheds that feed them.	Improved wording has been reviewed by staff and is recommended.	Recommend Change
95	City Staff	Simplify language	62	C1.4 - Healthy Watersheds: Introduction C1.4.1	REVISED WORDING - C1.4.1 Review and regularly update City design standards and regulations for public and private development, and rainwater management systems to support resilience to climate change impacts. This will include attention to cumulative water flows and quality at the watershed scale. Objectives include: >> supporting stream summer base flows and limiting in-stream or bank erosion related to high storm water flows and volumes, by addressing extent and scale of rainwater management best practices and detention storage;	Staff recommend change to improve clarity	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
96	GPC Motion 2022-03-14 & Gov Stakeholder Input Dr. Sandra Allison - 2022.04.08	GPC Remove ‘continue to’ in C1.4.3 and C1.4.4 (page 63) of the Draft City Plan. Gov Stakeholder Closer partnership with the local drinking water Officer and the compliance with the Drinking Water Protection Act and Regulation	63	C1.4 - Healthy Watersheds: Introduction C1.4.3 & C1.4.4	REVISES WORDING - C1.4.3 Protect and manage freshwater and saltwater shoreline areas to maintain the best possible hydrological functions. REVISES WORDING - C1.4.4 Work with adjacent jurisdictions including Snuneymuxw First Nation, the Regional District of Nanaimo, and other organizations to collaborate on areas of shared interest for watershed management including drinking water protection through the compliance of the Drinking Water Protection Act and Regulation.	GPC Motion & MHO wants to ensure compliance of the legislation and thinks we should include the language in the policy	Recommend Change
97	City Staff	Improve flow of sentence.	64	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services Introduction	REVISE WORDING - INTRODUCTION - SEWER SERVICES The City is responsible for the collection and movement of sanitary sewage to the Regional District of Nanaimo’s sewer trunk mains and wastewater treatment facilities.	Staff recommend change for clarity	Recommend Change
98	City Staff	Improve flow of sentence.	65	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.2 Overall	REVISE WORDING - C1.5.2 Investigate and apply current climate science to ensure resilience of infrastructure through life-cycle financial planning and sustainable maintenance.	Staff recommend change for improved comprehension	Recommend Change
99	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Move policy C1.5.3 on Page 65 that states “Coordinate utility infrastructure upgrades with transportation and/or parks improvements to minimize disruption and optimize community investments” to Goal 5 – Prosperous	65	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.3 Overall	Move to C5 - A Prosperous Nanaimo	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
100	City Staff	Wording change	65	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.8 Water and Sewer Services	REVISED WORDING - C1.5.8 Plan for future expansion and upgrading of water and sewer services to reflect: - servicing Urban Centres based on their priority designation (see policy D4.3.7) - priority of servicing land within the Urban Containment Boundary (UCB) , except lands designated low density residential resource management - limiting new services outside the UCB	Staff have reviewed the proposed wording and support the change.	Recommend Change
101	City Staff	Clarify meaning of terminology	65	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.11 Storm water Management Services	N/A	The term "daylighted" has been added to the glossary	Recommend Change
102	City Staff	Change subdivision to engineering	65	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.12 Storm water Management Services	REVISE WORDING - C1.5.11 Continue to maintain and update a Storm water Management Policy, along with engineering standards and specifications for storm water management, to support the goals and desired outcomes of City Plan.	Staff recommend change for clarity	Recommend Change
103	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Move the section Servicing Costs (page 66) in Green Policies to Goal 5 - Prosperous	66	C1.5 - Water, Sewer & Storm water Services C1.5.19 - C1.5.23 Servicing Costs	Move to Section "C5.1. Economic Capital"	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
104	City Staff	Section C1.5.18 – What do we mean by pursuing a Storm Water Utility – would this be a separate organization from the City? May need to clarify, as others will likely have the same question.	66	C1.5 - Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.18 Storm water Management Services	REVISED WORDING - C1.5.17 To ensure sustainable infrastructure funding for storm drainage and natural assets, pursue a Storm Water Utility, rather than property taxes.	Policy amended for clarity	Recommend Change
105	City Staff	For clarity	66	C1.5 Water, Services, & Storm water Services C1.5.23 - Servicing Costs	REVISED WORDING - C1.5.23 C5.1.12 Prioritize servicing investments to align with the development of Urban Centres based on their proposed hierarchy (see policy D4.3.7)	Staff recommend change for consistency of language with C1.5.8	Recommend Change
106	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Update policy C1.6.5 (page 67) of the Draft City Plan to support eliminating organic matter sent to the regional landfill by 2030.	67	C1.6 - Solid Waste Management C1.6.5 Zero Waste	REVISE WORDING - C1.6.5 Support eliminating organic matter sent to the Regional Landfill by 2030.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
107	City Staff	Combine C1.6.6 with C1.6.8	67	C1.6 Solid Waste Management C1.6.6 & C1.6.8 Zero Waste	DELETE POLICY C1.6.6 REVISED WORDING - C1.6.7 Increase public awareness and participation in the zero waste mandate. Strengthen opportunities to refuse, reduce, reuse, and recycle by improving access to recycling, reuse and composting opportunities and supporting programs for resource sharing, exploring redesign of product life cycles.	Revised policy proposed to blend the two policies.	Recommend Change
108	Circular Cities and Regions Initiatives	Create circular economy corporate policy	68	C1.6 Solid Waste Management C1.6.12 - Zero Waste	REVISE WORDING - C1.6.11 Incorporate circular economy principles in City’s corporate policies and procurement practices.	Circular Cities and Regions Initiatives report direction from Staff workshop. Circular economy term more inclusive than waste reduction.	Recommend Change
109	Circular Cities and Regions Initiatives	Encourage the use of natural and reused materials and support circular businesses	68	C1.6 Solid Waste Management Zero Waste	ADD NEW POLICY AFTER C1.6.14 Encourage the use of natural and reused materials and support circular businesses.	Not currently addressed in City Plan. Supported by Circular Cities and Regions Initiatives meeting group.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
110	City Staff	Add the “Human Social and Environmental Capital Icon (C5.2) to page 68	68	Solid Waste Management: Integrated Policy Areas	Add the “Human Social and Environmental Capital” icon (C5.2) to page 68	N/A	Recommend Change
111	City Staff	Add the “Innovation &Technology” icon (C5.4) to page 68	68	Solid Waste Management: Integrated Policy Areas	Add the “Innovation &Technology” icon (C5.4) to page 68	N/A	Recommend Change
112	City Staff	Add the “Innovation &Technology” icon (C5.4) to page 68	68	Solid Waste Management: Integrated Policy Areas	Add the “Archaeology & Heritage” icon (C4.6) to page 68	N/A	Recommend Change
113	City Staff	Recommend revising the wording	69	C1.7 - Brownfield Sites Introduction	REVISE WORDING - INTRODUCTION Nanaimo has a number of brownfield sites throughout the community that are a legacy from the City’s coal mining history, early industrial activities, and fossil fuel storage for transportation. Many sites are found in prime locations with significant development potential, but have sat idle for years as redevelopment is complicated by remediation costs, regulations, liability concerns, and other barriers. Redeveloping brownfields is a promising way to promote environmental, economic, and social health in Nanaimo and aligns with the Nanaimo Doughnut.	Staff recommend change for improved comprehension	Recommend Change
114	City Staff	Recommend revising the wording	69	C1.7 - Brownfield Sites: Desired Outcome	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOMES Redevelopment of key brownfield sites in Nanaimo, generating significant social, environmental, and economic benefits.	Staff recommend change for improved comprehension	Recommend Change
115	City Staff	Section C1.7.1. – should we add an example of what is meant by this policy – such as a reference to creating a City Brownfield Redevelopment Incentive Program.	69	C1.7 - Brownfield Sites: Desired Outcome C1.7.1	REVISE POLICY - C1.7.1 Stimulate and leverage private and public sector investment to promote remediation, rehabilitation, adaptive re-use, and overall improvement of brownfield sites throughout the city (e.g. consider the creation of a Brownfield Redevelopment Incentive Program ”).	The example of a public sector investment provides clarification for this policy.	Recommend Change
116	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Direct Staff to remove Policy C1.7.2 from the Draft City Plan to be considered in the action plan.	69	C1.7 Brownfield Sites C1.7.2	DELETE POLICY - C1.7.2 from the City Plan and add to the Integrated Action Plan.	Action items	Recommend Change
117	City Staff	Re-write to lead with dark sky benefits	70	C1.8 Artificial Lighting and Dark Skies Introduction	REVISED INTRO SECTION Enjoyment of dark night skies is becoming increasingly challenging in our city due to light pollution from artificial lighting . Besides affecting views of the night sky, light pollution can impact ecosystems, wildlife, and quality of life. Careful consideration of the use of artificial lighting on streets, buildings, community spaces, and facilities can improve the quality of our urban environments while also addressing community safety, quality of life and environmental health, and energy savings.	Staff have reviewed and support some edits to this paragraph.	Recommend Change
118	City Staff	Revise wording	71	C1.8 - Artificial Lighting and Dark Skies C1.8.7 Parks, Trails, and Natural Areas	REVISED WORDING - C1.8.7 Work to establish a hierarchy of lighting of trails, parks, and natural areas to provide a range of user options that balance protection of dark skies and community safety.	Revised wording is supported.	Recommend Change
02 A CONNECTED NANAIMO EQUITABLE ACCESS & MOBILITY							
119	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Revise title	78	C2.1 Complete Communities Title	REVISED TITLE - C2.1 CONNECTED COMMUNITIES	Staff recommend change as it better reflects the focus of the section.	Recommend Change
120	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Revise wording	78	C2.1 Complete Communities Introduction	REVISED WORDING - C2.1 COMPLETE COMMUNITIES INTRODUCTION Complete communities are those that aim to meet the basic needs of all residents with easy access. The nearness of everyday services like groceries, restaurants, shops, schools, parks, and wellness facilities and access to fresh, healthy food to encourage less driving and more walking and rolling.	Staff recommend change per discussions with FoodShare	Recommend Change
121	General Public	Clarify what fine-grained street network stands for.	78	C2.1 - Complete Communities: Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - Desired Outcome A Fine-grained street network that is comfortable and safe for all, especially vulnerable road users. Fine-grained streets have frequent cross streets and avoid long stretches of roads between intersections.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the term "fine-grained street network"	Recommend Change
122	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Concern that ‘Community Movement Policies’ are biased towards urban centres and the corridors linking them. It is not equitable that urban centres are given priority when elementary pedestrian infrastructure along major arterials in outlying areas are still not addressed. Suggestion: Add/nuance policy to clearly articulate the equitable provision of elementary pedestrian infrastructure along major arterials throughout the city.	78	C2.1 Complete Communities	N/A	Staff do not recommending the change as policy C2.1.1 aligns with Council's guidance for the prioritization of pedestrian enhancement "pedestrian prioritization matrix". Following in next section C2.2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
123	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Concern that ‘Community Movement Policies’ are biased towards urban centres and the corridors linking them. It is not equitable that urban centres are given priority when elementary pedestrian infrastructure along major arterials in outlying areas are still not addressed. Suggestion: Add/nuance policy to clearly articulate the equitable provision of elementary pedestrian infrastructure along major arterials throughout the city.	78	C2.1 Complete Communities	REVISE WORDING - C2.1.3 In rezoning, subdivision, and development permit approvals, seek to secure active mobility and trail routes through road dedication or statutory right-of-way where appropriate.	Staff recommend the change to improve the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
124	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Drop or clearly articulate terms such as “first kilometer/last kilometer” for the benefit of lay persons.	79	C2.1 Complete Communities C2.1.6 Community Movement	REVISE WORDING C2.16 Prioritize the placement of high quality “first kilometer/last kilometer” (start or end of trip) amenities to encourage active and sustainable modes of travel, transit, cycling, electric vehicles, Car Share, and other options.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
125	Community Survey Feedback	Survey feedback indicated lower support for the key direction to Manage Parking Supply City-Wide (58% Support in the Community Survey / 65% Support in the Statistical Survey). Analysis of qualitative input (written comments) indicated that about half of written comments (148 in total) identified concerns about over-limiting parking for reasons including recognition that transit / active modes are not suitable for all (e.g., people with mobility limitations, people with small children) or for all circumstances (e.g., when picking up large items), potential impacts to businesses, increasing EV use requires parking, and concerns for challenges finding parking. Just under half of written comments (121 in total) generally supported the concept, with considerations for managing impacts (e.g., phasing it slowly, balancing it with improvements in transit / active infrastructure, maintaining parking for certain uses, etc.).	79	C1.2 Complete Communities C2.1.7 Community Movement	REVISE WORDING - C2.1.7 Manage parking city-wide with a focus on right sizing parking to continue fulfilling key needs including access, loading, and pick-up for businesses; accessible parking for people with mobility or family needs; and EV parking, while recognizing that an overabundance of cheap and convenient parking tends to increase vehicle use and reliance.	The amended policy is intended to better recognize that parking will continue to be a necessary component of our mobility system and encourages thoughtful consideration of parking with a focus on accommodating key parking needs.	Recommend Change
126	City Staff	Word choice - less specific	79	C1.2 Complete Communities C2.1.9 Community Movement	REVISED WORDING - C2.1.9 Support the Province in maintaining Route 19 as the primary regional route through Nanaimo.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
127	City Staff	Add improved air quality to connected write up	80	C2.2 - Integrated walk, roll, cycle, and transit network Introduction	Introduction To support climate mitigation, improve air quality and to manage traffic congestion, we must reduce our dependence on personal vehicles. A comprehensive, connected, and attractive network of walking, rolling, cycling, and transit routes is essential to making changes to our mobility habits	Acknowledges air quality impacts of sustainable transportation choices	Recommend Change
128	City Staff	Word choice	80	C2.2 - Integrated walk, roll, cycle, and transit network Desired Outcomes	REVISE WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME An increase in the share of trips made using active modes in Nanaimo. REVISE WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME An increase in the share of trips made by transit in Nanaimo.	Staff recommend change for clarity	Recommend Change
129	City Staff	Replace wording	80	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network Policy C2.2.4 - Overall	REVISE WORDING - C2.2.4 Incorporate features in the public realm that make it more attractive and comfortable to walk, roll, cycle, or use transit such as landscape buffers , wider sidewalks, rest areas, shade and shelter, and other amenities.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
130	City Staff	Replace wording	80	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network Policy C2.2.6 - Overall	ADD NEW WORDING - C2.2.6 Apply Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles to the design of walking, rolling, cycling, and transit facilities to increase user comfort and safety (e.g., weather protection, seating, and lighting).	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
131	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Laudable policies regarding “Integrated Walk...Transit Network” are not adequately, appropriately or equitably applied within the Stephenson Point/Hammond Bay neighbourhoods.	80-82	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network	N/A	Concern about application of the policy, not about the policy itself.	Do Not Recommend Change
132	City Staff	Replace wording	81	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.15 - Active Mobility	ADD NEW WORDING - C2.2.15 Support active mobility education and awareness efforts for motorists, pedestrians, and cyclists.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
133	City Staff	Replace wording	81	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.17 - Active Mobility	REVISE WORDING - C2.2.18 Encourage retrofits within existing office, commercial, and medium / high density residential complexes to incorporate bicycle parking.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
134	City Staff	Policy consolidation	81	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.19, C2.2.20 & C2.2.21 - Transit	DELETE POLICIES C2.2.19, C2.2.20, C2.2.21 AND MERGE TO CEATE NEW POLICY C2.2.19 Work with the Regional District of Nanaimo and BC Transit to provide safe, reliable, and accessible transit service across the city, including rapid and frequent transit between Urban Centres and along Corridors, and connector routes that link transit service between Neighbourhoods and Urban Centres.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
135	City Staff	Replace wording	81	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.22 - Transit	REVISE WORDING - C2.2.20 Support programs to reduce financial and other barriers to using transit (e.g., transit passes , Upass programs).	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
136	City Staff	Replace wording	82	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.23 - Transit	REVISE WORDING - C2.2.21 Support transit priority measures in partnership with BC Transit and the Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure along Rapid and Frequent Transit routes where warranted.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
137	City Staff	Replace wording	82	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.24 - Transit	REVISE WORDING - C2.2.24 Prioritize transit improvements in Urban Centres, at community parks, and at other key destinations (e.g., hospital, university).	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
138	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C2.2.29 - Not clear how “fare technologies” reduce impact of ferry traffic on road network and neighbourhoods. Suggestion: Drop term or clearly articulate what this involves for the benefit of lay persons.	82	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network Policy C2.2.30 - Other Connections	N/A	BC ferries customers have become accustom to walk/roll up service, which for most summer or holiday weekends means that Stewart and Front St. become overflow parking areas for the terminals. This problem has been lessened with increased terminal storage capacity and increased sailings, but growing demand will mean that unless there is a process change, overflow will continue. The primary process change that is needed is to shift customer expectation away from the freedom to just roll up, to a more formal booking/reservation system. For this to be successful the payment/booking process needs to be made easier and that lies in new/better utilization of fare technology.	Do Not Recommend Change
139	General Public	We need traffic calming methodologies to control the speed of traffic on Stewart Avenue including, for example, a treed boulevard down the middle which is called for in the Newcastle-Brechin Neighbourhood plan.	82	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network Policy C2.2.30 - Other Connections	N/A	Staff do not recommend the change as this is a specific action/design issue. Traffic calming guidelines exist. Stewart is Provincial jurisdiction.	Do Not Recommend Change
140	General Public	Suggest include Downtown Nanaimo to downtown Vancouver foot passenger ferry (Already in D4...but should be in in transportation as well)	82	C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle & Transit Network C2.2.32 - Other Connections	REVISED WORDING - C2.2.30 Continue to support and seek to increase connectivity to Vancouver by multiple modes of travel, integrated with multi-modal connections within the city.	Staff recommend the change to address the comment.	Recommend Change
141	City Staff	Policy consolidation	83	C2.3.1 - Recreational Trails	REVISED WORDING - C2.3.1 Connect recreational trails to the Primary and Secondary Active Mobility Routes shown on Schedule 3: Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network, to support connected circuits and loops.	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
142	City Staff	Policy consolidation	84	C2.3.7 & C2.3.8 - Recreational Trails	REVISED WORDING - C2.3.7 Enhance trail stewardship through strong partnership relations among all trail users, clubs, volunteers, First Nations and other levels of government; pursue actions to improve responsibility and reduce conflicts among trail users. C2.3.8 is deleted	Staff recommend the change for clarity	Recommend Change
143	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Not clear what term “Vision Zero” means. Is it a program? A list of guidelines distinctly more or different than “Complete Streets”? Suggestion: Drop, incorporate or clearly articulate for the benefit of lay persons.	85	C2.4 Safe Mobility (Vision Zero)	N/A	Vision Zero is defined in the introduction.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
144	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C2.4.4- Concern that the criteria are biased against major arterials outside of Urban Centres and linking corridors where traffic volume, crash data, speed may be minimal – but danger to pedestrians is still high. It is solely based on easily collected vehicle-related data. No criteria listed collects quantifiable pedestrian-related data, or intangibles like pedestrian’s sense of vulnerability, safety and security. No criteria listed suggests an audit of what is actually on the ground for pedestrians. Crash data, traffic volumes, and speeds do not reflect the true experience of the pedestrian. Suggestion: Rewrite to be more inclusive of all mobilities. Specify ‘other indicators’.	85	C2.4 Safe Mobility (Vision Zero) C2.4.4 Policies	Policy C2.4.4 Prioritize safety improvements based on Walk Score, traffic speed, collisions, proximity to schools, traffic volume, land use, proximity to transit, and socio-economic factors.	Staff recommend a minor revision to policy C2.4.4 to reflect the pedestrian prioritization factors as supported by Council in the Pedestrian Infrastructure Prioritization Tool.	Recommend Change
145	GPC Motion 2022-03-14	Remove the word “Encouraging” from Policy C.2.5.3. of the Draft City Plan.	86	C2.5 Complete Streets C2.5.3 Policy	REVISE WORDING - C2.5.3 Require future development to implement street oriented design approaches that support vibrant and accessible streetscapes.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
146	City Staff	Clarify policy	86	C2.5 Complete Streets C2.5.5 - Policy	REVISE WORDING - C2.5.5 Maximize the use of the existing road right-of-way system before building new facilities or acquiring new lands .	Staff recommend change for clarity	Recommend Change
147	Neigh Assoc Edits - SECA - 2022.04.19	Nicol Street - We seem unable or unwilling to recognize that, beyond South Enders’ complete lack of enjoyment and pride in Nicol Street, the deplorable state of this important gateway into our city has a real and sustained impact on economic development, tourism (especially for downtown), and workforce recruitment, while a revitalized streetscape would involve significantly increased revenue. Of course, this work will cost money. But everyone seems to recognize that the work will need to be done someday, and we all know that it won’t become cheaper over time. And we deserve more than a passive, incremental, piecemeal approach. Because for a long time now, it’s been costing us a lot to do nothing. Our understanding is that the province and the developers are ready to talk about Nicol Street; what’s missing is the City’s leadership. We’d like to see a commitment to revitalizing Nicol Street included in the City Plan.	86 and 231	C2.5 Complete Streets D5.4 Planning Streets for People	N/A	Nicol Street is already identified as primary route in Section D5.5 of the draft City Plan (p.233). Nicol Street is a Provincial asset and the improvement to Nicol Street will be identified as an action plan item in the Integrated Action Plan.	Do Not Recommend Change
03 A HEALTHY NANAIMO: COMMUNITY WELLBEING & LIVABILITY							
148	General Public	This section needs definitions of affordable/ supportive housing or a description of the continuum of housing needs. The term affordable seems to be used a bit indiscriminately and it is not clear whether "affordable" housing includes supportive housing. Desired Outcomes for Affordable Housing (page 94) deliberately appear to exclude “supportive housing” from the desired outcomes: e.g.: • equitably distributed affordable housing options across all residential areas • strong community support and trust built through transparent and meaningful engagement on affordable housing • making the most of eternal resources to generate affordable housing • affordable housing innovations ... etc.	94	C3.1 - Affordable Housing - Introduction	REVISED WORDING: For Nanaimo to remain a great home to people of all income levels, it is critical to maintain a stock of affordable housing and to create opportunities for new affordable homes. Affordable housing includes housing across the entire Housing Continuum from temporary shelter to social or supportive housing, non-market housing, market rental, and homeownership. Add Housing Continuum to glossary: Housing Continuum: Describes a range of housing in a community and illustrates both market and non-market housing. Non-market housing refers to housing below market rents or prices, ranging from emergency shelters through various forms of supportive housing and housing co-operatives. Moving across the continuum to the right is market rental housing and home ownership. <i>Insert graphic from p. 5 of Affordable Housing Strategy.</i>	Introduction revised to add clarity regarding the term affordable housing. Definition of Housing Continuum added to glossary.	Recommend Change
149	General Public	definitions required at the beginning of this section. What is permanent supportive housing, for example, as opposed to transitional housing and rapid re-housing	94	C3.1 - Affordable Housing - Introduction & City Plan Glossary	ADD DEFINITIONS TO GLOSSARY Supportive Housing: A type of housing that provides on-site supports and services to residents who cannot live independently Transitional Housing: A type of housing for residents to live between 30 days and three years. It aims to transition individuals to long-term, permanent housing. Rapid Re-Housing: A process concerned with helping persons who are experiencing homelessness to move quickly into housing, minimizing the time they spend experiencing homelessness.	Definitions included in the glossary.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
150	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05 <u>and</u> General Public - 2022.04.10	SPNA - C3.1.1 – Given the urgency surrounding affordable housing it seems timid to say “Consider use of...” Suggestion: “Use rental zoning...” . <u>AND</u> Nancy Mitchell - It should be clarified as to what “rental zoning” means in keeping with maintaining clear language in an OCP	94	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.1 Tools	N/A	Staff do not recommend changing this policy. Rental zoning is one tool out of a suite of many that may be used to provide affordable housing. Also, a definition of rental zoning is proposed to be added to the Glossary.	Do Not Recommend Change
151	Neigh Assoc Edits - SECA - 2022.04.19	Equity in Locating Supports - SECA has advocated for a long time for a more equitable distribution of supports, including supportive housing, and decentralization has been included as a goal in several City documents without much success. We appreciate the efforts that have been made (e.g. Council’s motion to proactively seek properties for future supportive housing northward) but as we’re seeing in our neighbourhood right now, it’s not enough. The South End is once again caught in the spiral of new services locating close to housing close to services close to ... We need to break this cycle where we can. We’d like to see a policy in the City Plan that requires supports, including supportive housing, to be spread throughout the City, i.e. a limit on an area’s units of supportive housing per units of market housing.	94/95	C3.1 Affordable Housing	N/A	A Desired Outcome in Section C3.1 Affordable Housing states "Equitably distributed affordable housing options across all residential areas." To monitor and measure the success of this desired outcome the City can consider measuring the distribution of supportive housing units throughout the City.	Do Not Recommend Change
152	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05 & General Public	Neigh Association Given that the City’s exclusive control of its own lands and facilities it seems timid to say, “Consider opportunities...”. Suggestion: Show leadership and commitment with “Co-locate City facilities...”.	96	C3.1 Affordable Housing C3.1.25 Collaboration	REVISE WORDING - C3.1.25 C3.2.26 <u>Co-locate City facilities and affordable housing where appropriate. Explore partnerships with other levels of government and non-profit housing providers to facilitate development of new housing as part of City administration buildings, community centres, fire halls, or other City facilities.</u>	Staff support the proposed change. This policy is similar to policy C3.1.5 TOOLS "Prioritize the use of City-owned land for socially-beneficial uses such as affordable and supportive housing and seek opportunities to partner with agencies and organizations that support community wellbeing."	Recommend Change
153	General Public	what does “criteria specific to the target population mean”?	94	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.2 - Tools	REVISED WORDING - C3.2.2 Assess sites for affordable and supportive housing projects <u>to identify those that will best meet the needs of the target population.</u>	New wording proposed to clarify the intent.	Recommend Change
154	General Public	This implies that there will be no supportive housing in those areas whose land use designation is “Neighbourhood”, “Suburban Neighbourhood” or “Semi-rural Neighbourhood”. The orientation of this tool would seem to belie all the passionate words of councilors who claim that supportive housing should be spread across the community. Many types of supportive housing facilities, such as halfway houses, can easily and quietly fit into a single-family home. If Council really wants to be open-minded on the subject, then using something like the following would be appropriate: “Permit, in any zone where residential uses are permitted, alternative, cooperative or shared accommodation housing forms including emergency and transitional shelters serving individuals for whom an entire dwelling unit is unnecessary, unaffordable or inappropriate.”	94	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.4 - Tools	REVISED WORDING - C3.2.4 <u>Allow</u> affordable and supportive housing in all <u>designations that permit</u> residential use and mixed use. Consider permitting supportive housing in all light industrial designations where appropriate.	New wording proposed to clarify the intent.	Recommend Change
155	City Staff	Add new policy with reference to AHS	95	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.8 - Tools	NEW POLICY AFTER C1.3.8 C3.2.8 <u>Use the Nanaimo Health and Housing Action Plan (2020), Affordable Housing Strategy (2018), and Housing Assessments as updated, to guide the City such that all people in Nanaimo, regardless of their background, situation, or past experiences, are able to navigate and access a full spectrum of health and housing services.</u>	The Health and Housing Action Plan and Affordable Housing Strategy contain objectives, policies, actions and priorities to guide City projects and decisions.	Recommend Change
156	General Public	It would be appropriate to also use some of this Housing Legacy Reserve Fund to provide supports for those in transitional accommodation like mental health/ addiction support/ drop-in centres. Then Council would truly be looking at housing in a holistic manner and not wait for the province to act.	95	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.10 - Funding	REVISED WORDING - C3.1.10 C3.2.11 Maintain a <i>Housing Legacy Reserve Fund</i> for cash-in-lieu contributions negotiated with developers through rezoning and make funds available for affordable and <u>supportive</u> housing across all areas of the city. <u>Review the <i>Housing Legacy Reserve Fund Bylaw</i> on a regular basis to ensure the funds are meeting the diverse needs of residents.</u>	Currently the Housing Legacy Reserve Fund Bylaw No. 7299 states that the fund "will be used to support affordable housing in the community including, but not limited to, property acquisition and capital investment." It is recommended to revise City Plan policy C3.1.10 to better reflect this intent. The word "development" is removed and the word policy is changed to bylaw. The commitment to regular reviews supports bylaw amendments that could update how funds are used if warranted.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
157	City Staff	Revise wording	77	C3.2 Affordable Housing Protection of Existing Affordable Housing C3.2.15	REVISE WORDING - C3.1.15 C3.2.16 Encourage retention or replacement of existing rental units as redevelopment occurs through tools that include rental only zoning and rental replacement obligations , housing agreements, or an equivalent cash-in-lieu contribution made to the City's Housing Legacy Reserve Fund.	Staff recommend the change to improve the clarity of the policy,	Recommend Change
158	Gov Stakeholder Input - SD68 - in meeting 2022.04.05	Encourage family friendly 2-3 bedroom housing units in and around schools	96	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.16 - Protection of Existing Affordable Housing	REVISE WORDING - C3.1.16 C3.2.17 Restrict short term rental uses of residential housing to maximize the supply of residential units available for long term rental.	Staff recommend the change to provide clarity to the policy.	Recommend Change
159	Gov Stakeholder Input - SD68 - in meeting 2022.04.05	Encourage family friendly 2-3 bedroom housing units in and around schools	96	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.21 - Housing Options	REVISE WORDING - C3.1.21 Support amenity zoning programs that include family-sized units, particularly near schools . In appropriate locations, encourage developers to place family-sized and accessible units on the ground floor.	Recommend change as per SD 68 request	Recommend Change
160	Gov Stakeholder Input - Dr. Sandra Allison - 2022.04.08	Give thought to parking issues that congest streets especially with secondary suites and on street parking. Leads to congestion of parked cars and decreased visibility leading to road safety concerns.	96	C3.1 - Affordable Housing C3.1.24 -Amenities	REVISE WORDING - C3.1.24 C3.2.25 Recognize that required onsite parking increases housing costs and ensure that parking requirements consider the intended resident group of new affordable housing developments, as well as road safety implications,and accommodate parking variances where appropriate.	Road Safety concerns due to congestion with parked vehicles for pedestrians trying to travel in between cars parked on the street.	Recommend Change
161	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security Introduction	REVISED WORDING - Introduction A food sovereign community is vital to health and wellness. All humans have the Right to Food. It builds resilience to emergencies, creates self and community reliance, supports equity and justice, generates positive economic activities, and promotes deeper community connections.	Staff recommend the change per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
162	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - Desired Outcomes Just and sustainable food systems that support self-determination, strengthen regional food systems, and recognize the importance of food from around the world.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
163	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - Desired Outcomes Access to nourishing food for all residents both in regular times and during emergency situations that impact people's access to food.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
164	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - Desired Outcomes Strong partnerships and relationships that support the creation, provision, distribution and sharing of food between the City, Indigenous Peoples, other levels of government, agencies and local organizations.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
165	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99/100	C3.3 - Food Security Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - Desired Outcomes Healthy relationships to food that broaden understandings of food systems that support environments of choice and invite residents' participation in food systems.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
166	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.1 Food Security	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.1 Support and continue to advocate for food sovereignty and nutrition security for all residents.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
167	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.2 Food Security	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.2 Engage and empower vulnerable populations in food systems planning processes..	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
168	Gov Stakeholder Input - Dr. Sandra Allison - 2022.04.08 & General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Gov Stakeholder: Supports efforts to address the right to food, food insecurity, and barriers to access safe, affordable, culturally appropriate food. Equitable food increases healthy food choices and thus better health outcomes. Consider building in further support for food security for infants and young children by creating environments that support chest/breastfeeding.	99	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.3 Food Security	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.4 Support efforts and environments to address barriers to accessing safe, affordable, nutritious, and culturally-appropriate food for all residents, and to educate and promote awareness of the relationship between food systems, health, and community impacts.	Staff recommend the new policy Dr. Sandra Allison's recommendation and as per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
169	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	99	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.5 Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.5 Continue to collaborate and partner with community organizations to support food system activities on City-owned land.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
170	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	100	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.6 Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.6 Foster collaboration with the Regional District of Nanaimo, First Nations, and neighbouring local governments to address food security needs at a regional level.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
171	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	100	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.7 Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.7 Support organizations who provide nourishing and culturally relevant food choices to all residents, including those affected by food injustice, emphasizing dignified food access.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
172	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	100	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.9 Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.9 Foster partnerships between the City, School District, and community groups for access to justice-based and equitable food spaces.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
173	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	100	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.10 Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.10 Foster partnerships between the City, School District, and community groups for programming and education related to culturally diverse food systems.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
174	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	100	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.11 Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.11 Leverage opportunities to integrate food systems in new development projects and existing infrastructure adaptations.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
175	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Amendment to wording	100	C3.3 - Food Security C3.3.17 Public Land and Infrastructure	REVISE WORDING - C3.4.17 Continue to provide, and improve accessibility points to water bodies for fishing, harvesting, and launching.	Staff recommend the new policy per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
176	Gov Stakeholder Input - Dr. Sandra Allison - 2022.04.08 & General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28	Gov Stakeholder: Consider building in further support for food security for infants and young children by creating environments that support chest/breastfeeding. Foodshare: Include new policy that states: Create and improve environments that support chest/breastfeeding, in support of optimal infant health and food security for young children.	100	C3.3 - Food Security Public Land and Infrastructure	NEW POLICY AFTER C3.4.18 When designing new or improving facilities and open spaces, create or improve environments that support chest/breastfeeding, in support of optimal infant health and food security for young children.	Staff recommend the new policy Dr. Sandra Allison's recommendation and as per conversations with Foodshare	Recommend Change
177	GPC Motion 2022-03-28	Change the title of section C3.4 to Community Safety and Security and add additional policies reflecting community safety.	101	C3.4 Community Safety & Living Wellbeing	REVISE TITLE TO C3.4 to Community Safety and Security and move this section to the start of "A Healthy Nanaimo: Community Wellbeing & Livability" becoming Section C3.1 Community Safety and Security.	GPC motion	Recommend Change
178	GPC Motion 2022.03.28 & Community Survey Feedback	GPC Motion: Add additional policies reflecting community safety Community Survey Feedback: Community feedback warrants increased emphasis on safety and security notably in the downtown	101/102	C3.4 Community Safety & Wellbeing Introduction	REVISE WORDING - INTRODUCTION A healthy community is a safe community. Feeling safe and secure in your community is essential to having a high quality of life. Instances of social disorder like crime, harassment, and public drug activity negatively affect people’s experiences and can erode feelings of safety and security. Because the root causes of social disorder are complex and varied, reaching long-lasting positive outcomes will require shared and definitive action among all partners and all levels of government. The City is committed to our role in supporting visible, sustained progress towards a safe and secure Nanaimo for all. New Desired Outcome: A safe downtown with less instances of people encountering negative experiences in Downtown and throughout the community. Under 'Planning, Engagement & Strategy' Section REVISE Policy C3.4 Safety and security in all parts of the city supporting a diversity of community members and businesses to thrive. (continued...)	GPC motion	Recommend Change
179	GPC Motion 2022.03.28 & Community Survey Feedback	GPC Motion: Add additional policies reflecting community safety Community Survey Feedback: Community feedback warrants increased emphasis on safety and security notably in the downtown		C3.4 Community Safety & Wellbeing Introduction	NEW POLICY Support initiatives and services that utilize evidence based practices for crime prevention and reduction such as community policing, restorative justice, community safety audits, and Neighbourhood Block Watches. Under 'Collaboration & Coordination' Section NEW POLICY Support multi-sectoral collaboration and coordination of crime prevention programs and initiatives that value diversity, and address unique neighbourhood needs.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
180	General Public	Staff have noted in their presentations of the plan that this is a “high community priority” and in fact, 69% of participants in the 2020 “statistically-valid survey” agreed. But the language in this section is very vague e.g.: “ensure support services are in place for residents in need of assistance” and “regular update of relevant plans, policies and protocols”. In regard to CPTED, Council needs to do more than just say “consider CPTED in new project design” but rather “require that all development approval applications contain a section on how the proposed design is meeting CPTED requirements include eyes on the street.” That would be something Council could measure. There is also nothing in this section that requires consideration and mitigation of neighbourhood impacts in the establishment of supportive housing (as defined) and support services like shelters and drop-in centres. In addition, community safety audits by community associations and other groups can supplement the safety assessments of specific locations and provide guidance to improvements by the City and property owners. (continued...)	101/102	C3.4 - Community Safety and Wellness : Introduction, Desired Outcome & Policies.	See proposed updates to Section C3.4 resulting from GPC meeting 2022-03-28 and public feedback.	Addressed in other sections	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
181	General Public (continued)	Enhancing Block Watch; making crime stats more accessible; implementing substance abuse treatment programs; establishing a public safety committee would all be policies that are much more action oriented than what is in the City Plan as of now.	101/102	C3.4 - Community Safety & Wellness : Policy			
182	Neigh Assoc Edits - CRCA - 2022.04.08	Safety of residents, homelessness and crime are all significant concerns too. However, the draft plan does not really address this issue. Again, it is a complex issue which needs to be explored and attempts to remedy it made soon before it is too late. It is not going away anytime soon, so let us prioritize an action plan and make changes now.	101/102	C3.4 Community Safety & Wellbeing	N/A	See proposed changes and new policies in section C3.4 resulting from the GPC meeting 2022-03-28.	Do Not Recommend Change
183	City Staff	Combine similar policies	102	C3.4 - Community Safety & Wellness C3.4.6 and C3.4.7 Safe & Equitable Public Spaces	DELETE POLICY C3.4.6 & C3.4.7 AND ADD NEW POLICY Apply an equity and inclusion lens to assessing crime prevention through environmental design (CPTED) and consider the needs of users from all backgrounds to prevent exclusionary and discriminatory practices when assessing and enhancing public safety and security.	Combining the policies is supported.	Recommend Change
184	General Public	No mention of extreme heat as a health hazard and what type of land use policies can be used to minimize the impact (e.g.. Tree Canopy Enhancement policies; providing shade structures at transit stops; provision of drinking fountains; incorporating heat mitigation measures in large scale facilities and shopping centres). Reference to extreme heat is only made much later on page 179	103	C3.5 Emergency Management Desired Outcome	REVISE WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME Reduction in risk to public and private property from major hazards, including an earthquake, extreme heat , or other hazard events.	Expand desired outcome to recognize extreme heat as a major hazard. Note: Current policy C3.5.5 supports use of City facilities for temporary emergency management facilities. Current policy C3.6.20 (p.107) also includes recognition of integrating emergency management considerations in City facilities.	Recommend Change
185	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C3.5.4 – No obvious reference to emergency access/egress to whole areas of the city (ex: northeast quadrant of city lies outside recommended emergency response times and is vulnerable to a single long and poorly articulated HBRd).	103	C3.5 - Emergency Management C3.5.5.4 Planning	REVISE WORDING - C3.5.4 Consider emergency access and egress routes when planning and developing including natural areas and park sites.	Staff recommend the change to address the comment.	Recommend Change
186	Neigh Assoc Edits - CRCA - 2022.04.08	We are also concerned about the risks of a wildfire in our area. What is being done to manage the inadequate evacuation infrastructure in Cinnabar Valley in the event of a wildfire? Thus, the need once again for a secondary access route out of the area, as we will have increased traffic from the residents in the RDN should such an event occur, not just traffic from Cinnabar. In addition, the risk of an interface fire could affect many neighbourhoods in our city that share a boundary with forested lands. So, how is the Plan going to address this issue across the whole City?	103/104, 275	C3.5 Emergency Management, E3 Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas, DPA 5 Wildfire Hazard	N/A	Policy C3.5.7 in the draft City Plan address this concern and states: "Strengthen the City's capacity for emergency preparedness, response, and recovery by improving knowledge of hazards with potential to impact land, buildings, and infrastructure."	Do Not Recommend Change
187	General Public	Regarding C3.5.17 on emergency preparedness, I think it could mention community care in addition to self-reliance. Self reliance is not an option for many disabled people and seniors.	104	C3.5 Emergency Management C3.5.17 - Communication	REVISE WORDING - C3.5.17 Educate and train as many community members and neighbourhoods as possible on emergency preparedness and self-reliance / care for neighbours requiring support , to meet daily needs for food, water, energy, and other materials under emergency conditions.	Support change to recognize the need for support networks.	Recommend Change
188	City Staff	<u>OCP DRAFT: SECTION C3.6 RECREATION, CULTURE AND WELLNESS</u>	105	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness Title	REVISE TITLE Recreation, Culture & Wellness	N/A	Recommend Change
189	City Staff	Remove <i>culture</i> in first paragraph	105	C3.6 Recreation, Culture, & Wellness : Desired Outcomes	REVISE WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME An active community with many physical and social recreation, culture and wellness opportunities, supporting a strong, caring community that embraces preventative health.	N/A	Recommend Change
190	City Staff	Mid-page, second column (High quality City facilities) – remove <i>providing supportive physical and social environments that encourage participation</i> .	105	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness : Desired Outcomes	REVISE WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME High quality City facilities that meet growing demands and are inclusive, accessible, and sustainable, providing environments that support physical, mental and emotional wellness .	N/A	Recommend Change
191	City Staff	Change heading to Recreation and Wellness, Programs and Services	105	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness : Policies	REVISE TITLE Recreation and Wellness, Programs and Services	N/A	Recommend Change
192	City Staff	Icon band at bottom of page in document request icon C3.6 changed to read 'Recreation & Wellness'	105	C3.6	Icon band at bottom of page in document request icon C3.6 changed to read ' Recreation, Culture & Wellness '	NA	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
193	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C3.6 - Concern that section is narrowly defined as services and facilities within a park/open space or school setting. Does not acknowledge that for a large portion of SP the road r.o.w.'s are the primary means of mobility connectivity and recreation (ex: HBRd). As written, it suggests City department silos remain and falls short of the holistic, progressive and ambitious approach Staff had suggested. Suggestion: Expand 'Recreation and Community Partnerships' to include lands (r.o.w.'s) governed by Transportation Dept, and/or create new category that acknowledges the importance of collaboration and coordination between City departments.	108	C3.6 Recreation, Culture & Wellness	N/A	Section C2.3 Recreational Trails contains policy to support an integrated approach such as Policy C2.3.1 states "Where possible, connect recreational trails to Primary and Secondary Active Mobility Routes to support connected circuits and loops" and Policy C2.3.2 states "Integrate recreational trails as part of the network that provides safe routes to school, neighbourhood centres, parks and other destinations.	Do Not Recommend Change
194	City Staff	C3.6.1 Remove <i>services & programs</i> , remove <i>culture</i>	105	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.1 - Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.1 Continue to provide recreation facilities and infrastructure to meet the demands of the community as it grows and that support overall recreation and wellness needs.	N/A	Recommend Change
195	City Staff	C3.6.3 Remove <i>culture</i>	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.3 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.3 Continue to deliver safe and enjoyable recreation and wellness programs and opportunities for residents of all ages, abilities, interests and levels of mobility.	N/A	Recommend Change
196	City Staff	C3.6.6 Add 'underrepresented and vulnerable populations'	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.6 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.6 Plan programs and services that take into account underrepresented and vulnerable populations.	N/A	Recommend Change
197	City Staff	C3.6.9 Re-word to read recreation programs and services to keep language consistent throughout policy	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.9 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.9 Continue ongoing engagement with the community to understand evolving community needs and interests for recreation programs and services and update programs and services to remain relevant.	N/A	Recommend Change
198	City Staff	C3.6.10 Alter language to read recreation & wellness activities	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.10 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.10 Identify and address barriers to programs for groups with lower participation in recreation and wellness activities.	N/A	Recommend Change
199	City Staff	C3.6.12 Remove <i>active living</i> , add programs, remove <i>sport, culture and</i> ,	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.12 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.12 Encourage intergenerational programs that support lifelong participation in recreation.	N/A	Recommend Change
200	City Staff	C3.6.13 Remove <i>culture</i>	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.13 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.13 Provide opportunities and amenities for people to be active and participate in recreation and wellness activities on a drop in basis.	N/A	Recommend Change
201	City Staff	C.6.14 Remove <i>Communicate and use marketing strategies to. Remove active living through</i>	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.14 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.14 Educate community on the health and wellness benefits of physical and social recreation.	N/A	Recommend Change
202	City Staff	C.6.15 Remove <i>For existing and new park</i> , replace with that support	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.15 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.15 Continue to develop sponsorship strategies and policies that support recreation, culture and wellness facilities and programming.	N/A	Recommend Change
203	City Staff	C.3.16 Remove <i>sport & culture</i>	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.16 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.16 Continue to work with First Nations on access to recreation and wellness facilities and programming.	N/A	Recommend Change
204	City Staff	C.3.17 Remove <i>recreation</i> in first half of sentence. Change <i>health</i> to recreation in last half of sentence	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.17 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.17 Encourage and support community-led initiatives and events that support recreation and wellness.	N/A	Recommend Change
205	City Staff	C3.18 Remove <i>wellness</i> & replace with engagement. Add youth and their recreation & wellness needs. Remove <i>final sentence</i> .	106	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.18 Recreation Services	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.18 Develop and implement community engagement strategies with a focus on youth and their recreation and wellness needs.	N/A	Recommend Change
206	City Staff	CHANGE HEADING to Recreation and Wellness, Facilities, Amenities & Infrastructure	107	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	CHANGE HEADING to Recreation & Wellness Facilities, Amenities & Infrastructure	N/A	Recommend Change
207	City Staff	C3.6.19 Remove <i>culture</i> . Remove 'refer to' sentence in third bullet	107	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.19 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.19 Plan for community recreation and wellness facilities including: Bullet one Bullet two Bullet three – Outdoor recreation amenities (such as outdoor classrooms, courts, sport fields, spray parks, playgrounds, sport pitches, bike parks, and skate parks).	N/A	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
208	City Staff	C3.6.20 First bullet end with organizations. Remove all beyond. Second bullet add trends & best practices Fourth bullet add & design standards Fifth bullet, remove sport, add and wellness programs and services	107	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.20 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.20 When planning and designing new or upgraded indoor and outdoor City facilities, consider: Bullet one – integration of uses with Island Health, School District, Libraries, VIU, RDN, health providers, and other public and private services and organization; Bullet two – innovation and (incorporation of) emerging trends and best practices; Bullet three – accommodation of diverse and changing needs and interests; Bullet four – universal accessibility and design standards; Bullet five – focus on multi-use facility design that supports a diverse range of recreation and wellness programs and services (Do Not Recommend Change in bullets from this point on...)	N/A	Recommend Change
209	City Staff	C3.6.21 Alter wording to read Building on the Reimagine Nanaimo stakeholder and public engagement process Suggest bulleting this section in alphabetical order, remove additional in each bullet, add facility to racquet sport	107	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.21 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.21 Building on the process and information gathered through the City Plan - Nanaimo Relmaged stakeholder and public engagement process, complete a needs assessment with recommendations for phased upgraded and additions of new indoor recreation facilities, including but not limited to: » aquatic facilities » ice sheets » gymnasium space » racket sports facilities » other sport and recreation needs	NA	Recommend Change
210	City Staff	C3.6.23 remove <i>Beban and Bowen references</i>	107	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.23 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.23 Prepare for life cycle upgrades of key recreational facilities including consideration for new spaces that reflect current trends and improvements for energy efficient and green buildings.	NA	Recommend Change
211	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C3.6.25 – This document is meant to lay out how priorities are arrived at, not to proclaim a specific project as the priority (ex: South Nanaimo community centre). This project should (and likely will) win its place based on the merits of its case, when considered against all other city-wide projects. But this goes a step too far for the intent of this legal document to say it is the/first priority. Suggestion: Delete or use as an example rather than proclaiming it as the/first priority.	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.25 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.25 Align facility and development planning to add new and updated facilities where they are most needed, such as the proposed South End Community Centre .	Proposed revised text provides clarity.	Recommend Change
212	City Staff	C3.6.26 Remove reference to section or add pg. #	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.26 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.26 Prioritize new facilities in underserved areas and aim to integrate recreational services within each Urban Centre (see Section D4.3 Centres).	NA	Recommend Change
213	City Staff	C3.6.28 Remove <i>City</i> , and add enhance accessibility	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.28 City Recreation / Wellness Facilities	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.28 Manage existing facilities to incorporate upgrades that maintain safety, enhance accessibility, support ongoing relevance, and lengthen the lifespan of the facility.	NA	Recommend Change
214	City Staff	C3.6.29 remove <i>culture</i> and <i>,</i> and <i>sport facilities</i>	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.29 Recreation & Community Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.29 Continue to collaborate with community partners on the use and development of recreation and wellness, with the goal of maximizing benefits to all community members	NA	Recommend Change
215	City Staff	C3.6.32 move to events	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.32 Recreation & Community Partnerships	MOVE POLICY C3.6.32 TO EVENTS	NA	Recommend Change
216	City Staff	C3.6.33 Suggest re-word as follows:	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.33 Recreation & Community Partnerships	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.32 Work with community partners in coordinated communication and marketing to increase public awareness of recreation & wellness programs, services, facilities, amenities & infrastructure.	NA	Recommend Change
217	City Staff	C3.6.37 Add recreation & wellness services or amenities	108	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.37 Community Schools	REVISE WORDING - C3.6.36 Where feasible, plan school sites as local hubs with integrated or adjacent neighbourhood level recreation and wellness services or amenities. Refer to the Local Hubs Concept in Section D1.2 Integration Examples.	NA	Recommend Change
218	City Staff	Remove C3.6.39	109	C3.6 - Recreation, Culture, & Wellness C3.6.39 Community Schools	DELETE POLICY C3.6.39	NA	Recommend Change
04 AN EMPOWERED NANAIMO: RECONCILIATION, REPRESENTATION, & INCLUSION							

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
219	City Staff	OCP DRAFT SECTION C4 RECONCILIATION, REPRESENTATION & INCLUSION	111	C4 Reconciliation, Representation & Inclusion C4.5 Culture	REVISE WORDING - One that provides equitable opportunities for all to enjoy and participate in community activities and spaces, including our parks and waterfronts, recreation, cultural and heritage facilities, and programs. Inclusion involves hearing each voice in civic life –	N/A	Recommend Change
220	SFN 2022-04-29	Other guiding principles to include in the Draft Plan are recognition of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the recognition of Bill -41 Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act.	116	C4.1 Truth & Reconciliation Desired Outcomes and C4.1.1 - Awareness Education and Equity	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME #4 Recognition of the guiding principles of Truth and Reconciliation Commission’s (TRC) 94 Calls to Action, the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) and the Government of British Columbia's Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act (DRIPA) in all areas where the City has impact or influence. NEW POLICY - C4.1.1 TO BE ADDED BEFORE C4.1.1 UNDER AWARENESS, EDUCATION AND EQUITY C4.1.X Recognize and work to implement the guiding principles of the TRC Calls to Action, UNDRIP and DRIPA in all areas where the City has impact or influence.	Recommend as requested by SFN	Recommend Change
221	SFN 2022-04-29	Request that the City take the necessary steps to amend the City Boundary to enable the Snuneymuxw People to participate in the representation of the local government.	116	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relations	NEW POLICY - C4.1.10 TO BE ADDED UNDER GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT RELATIONS - INSERT AFTER C4.1.7 C4.1.X Work with Snuneymuxw First Nation, the Provincial Government and Regional District of Nanaimo to investigate opportunities for Snuneymuxw Mustimuxw (Peoples) to participate in City elections.	Recommend as requested by SFN	Recommend Change
222	SFN 2022-04-29	Language to consider: We are committed to the hard work of advancing Truth and Reconciliation through government-to-government relations and protocols. This includes working together in a good way with First Nations leadership, to continue acknowledging and respecting their long-standing relationship with these lands and addressing inequities and intergenerational impacts of colonial systems experienced by Indigenous peoples in Nanaimo. Through these government-to-government relations and protocols, we will strive to strengthen positive and constructive relations that respect and raise up Snuneymuxw Government and their Mustimuxw (peoples).	116	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Introduction	REVISE WORDING - INTRODUCTION - ADD REFERNECE TO Add SNUNEYMUXW GOVERNMENT We are committed to the hard work of advancing Truth and Reconciliation through government-to-government relations and protocols. This includes working together in a good way with First Nations leadership, to continue acknowledging and respecting their long-standing relationship with these lands and addressing inequities and intergenerational impacts of colonial systems experienced by Indigenous peoples in Nanaimo. Through these government-to-government relations and protocols, we will strive to strengthen positive and constructive relations that respect and raise up Snuneymuxw Government and their Mustimuxw (peoples)	Recommend as requested by SFN	Recommend Change
223	SFN 2022-04-29	We suggest for the Draft Plan to also mention some of our key objectives listed in the [Protocol] Agreement, such as creating a shared vision for Nanaimo and promoting a common understanding for lands uses.	117	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relationships	REVISE WORDING - C4.1.6 Engage government-to-government with Snuneymuxw First Nation in accordance with protocol agreements as well as guidance for local governments under the Truth and Reconciliation Commission's (TRC) 94 Calls to Action, the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) and the Government of British Columbia's Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act (DRIPA) . This includes upholding the following guiding principles committed to by the City of Nanaimo and Snuneymuxw First Nation in the 2019 Renewed Protocol Agreement: a) Act in an open, good faith and transparent manner toward one another; b) Nurture trust and collaboration between one another to create confidence in the renewed relationship that will further certainty and reliance of the Nanaimo region on the government to government relationship between the Parties; c) Mutual recognition and respect for each other, specifically Snuneymuxw’s connection to Snuneymuxw Territory including the spiritual and cultural importance of Snuneymuxw values and way of life; (conitnued..)	Recommend as requested by SFN - change respects desire for specific acknowledgement of SFN - City Protocol as well as guiding principles related to TRC, UNDRIP and DRIPA.	Recommend Change
224					d) Adopt a “solutions oriented” approach in all work together through the creation of a shared vision of greater prosperity and wellbeing for all residents of the Nanaimo region; e) Act consistent with the Draft Principles [of the Protocol Agreement]; and f) Advance understanding of the rights, obligations, needs and challenges of one another.		

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
225	SFN 2022-04-29	<p>... It is clear to Snuneymuxw that we are in dire need to develop a governing process that will facilitate a shared decision-making process that is predictable, consistent, and cooperative. While the Snuneymuxw Referral Management Policy outlines internal administrative procedures for referrals, we need a collaborative approach that co-governs how our governments deal with land use planning and land use decisions in SFN territory.</p> <p>...decision making processes do not include analysis about the impacts of land use decisions on SFN or SFN treaty rights.."</p> <p>.."contemplate how we collectively administer zoning applications, OCP amendments, permitting, licensing, etc. within SFN Territory in a proactive way to enable development rather than create a bottleneck.</p>	117	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relationships	NEW POLICY - INSERT AFTER C4.1.6 UNDER GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT RELATIONS C4.1.7 Continue to collaborate on referral processes with Snuneymuxw First Nation to more efficiently and effectively address City land use planning and decisions that impact Snuneymuxw interests including treaty rights.	Recommend as requested by SFN - reflects ongoing collaborative work.	Recommend Change
226	SFN 2022-04-29	One tool that could benefit us is co-authoring a traditional land use plan and traditional land use study as integral tool that will uphold a shared governing process.	117	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relationships	NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER C4.1.6 UNDER GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT RELATIONS C4.1.8 Consider collaboration on Traditional Land Use Planning and Studies to support improving City land use planning and decision making processes.	Recommend as requested by SFN - wording allows for flexibility should future SFN and City Councils wish to take an alternative approach.	Recommend Change
227	SFN 2022-04-29	The Five City Goals, which is the organizing structure for the policies administered by the City, are an opportunity to include SFN interests. Since 1854 at the time when SFN signed the treaty, Snuneymuxw people have been denied equal participation in the economy including in the wealth and resources of our territories. Often in this era of reconciliation, innovative opportunities arise for SFN to receive villages and resources back from government or the private sector. Sometimes these Five City Goals and associated policies work against reconciliation and redress for Snuneymuxw. Giving ourselves space to re-think how we put the city goals together and how we interpret them are important exercises to undertake. Sharing strategic plans with one another is another start, but also including a provision in the Draft Plan addressing the aforementioned. For instance Bill-41 and our Agreement are enabling authorities and tools to look beyond the colonial local government act, and put forth a shared future that is truly inclusive and equitable.	117	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relationships	NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER C4.1.7 UNDER GOVERNMENT-TO-GOVERNMENT RELATIONS C4.1.9 Recognize the importance of Snuneymuxw village sites as identified in the Treaty of 1854 and encourage efforts by the Crown to return land or compensate for losses.	Proposed policy would help specifically show support for these measures by provincial/federal government or private sector to return village sites and resources to the Nation.	Recommend Change
228	SFN 2022-04-29	The regional context statement connects the regional growth strategy of the Regional District of Nanaimo ("RDN") to the Draft Plan. In this context it is important that SFN, the City, and the RDN step onto a common pathway that promotes recognition, reconciliation and cooperation and respect for the next 25 years and beyond. Often initiatives arise that are interconnected legislatively or between our jurisdictions. Something to consider is a tripartite government-to-government that enables us to work together in a better way.	117	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relationships	Add New C4.1.X under Government-to-Government Relations Page 117 C4.1 C4.1.11 Continue to support collaborative agreements between the City, Snuneymuxw and other levels of government including the School District, Regional District of Nanaimo, Nanaimo Port Authority and BC Housing. C4.1.12 Recognize the overlapping interests and jurisdiction between the City of Nanaimo, Snuneymuxw First Nation and the Regional District of Nanaimo, and consider tripartite government-to-government agreements to facilitate stronger collaboration and cooperation to achieve reconciliation and other shared interests.	Recommend as supports SFN interests Consistent with text on page 289 E5 that supports collaboration with First Nations and other partners. Other text throughout the document also support this as a policy and related Action item.	Recommend Change
229	City Staff	Combine policies	117	C4.1 - Truth & Reconciliation Government to Government Relationships C4.1.1 and C4.1.2 - Awareness and Education	REVISED WORDING C4.1.2 Continue to provide learning opportunities for City Staff and community members on the history of Indigenous Peoples in Canada with special focus on the First Nations whose Territories the city boundary lies within. This includes the history and legacy of residential schools, hospitals and other colonial systems, the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, Treaties, and Indigenous rights DELETE C4.1.2 Amalgamated policy	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
230	GPC Motion 2022-03-28	Include, for consistency, a reference to different genders in the Desired Outcomes policy section C4.2 – Equity and Inclusivity.	119	C4.2 Equity and Inclusivity Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING- DESIRED OUTCOME #1 An inclusive Nanaimo that provides opportunities for active involvement and prosperity for all ; welcomes contributions of all members; facilitates participation and social interaction across cultures, genders , orientations, ages, and abilities; and recognizes and fosters respect for diversity as per the Province's Accessibility BC Act .	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
231	GPC Motion 2022-03-28	Include, for consistency, a reference to different genders in the Desired Outcomes policy section C4.2 – Equity and Inclusivity.	119	C4.2 Equity and Inclusivity Desired Outcomes	REVISED OUTCOMES - DESIRED OUTCOME #5 Continued City Leadership by receiving training, sharing resources and knowledge, and applying an equity, gender , diversity, and inclusion lens in City initiatives and decision-making.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
232	General Public	Define what is meant by an “equity lens” so that everyone can understand how it works.	119	C4.2 Equity and Inclusivity C4.2.2 Polices	REVISE WORIDNG - C4.2.2 Incorporate an equity lens into land use planning decisions across Nanaimo. ADD DEFINITION IN GLOSSARY Equity Lens: A process for analyzing actions or processes to consider how they can best support equity and inclusion.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the intent of the policy. Equity lens definition added to glossary. Confirm it's been added	Recommend Change
233	General Public	What does a “fair distribution” of community services, social services etc. mean? What would be fair and equitable is to “allow community services, social services etc. in all areas of the community”	119	C4.2 Equity and Inclusivity C4.2.3 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.2.3 Encourage an equitable distribution of community amenities , social services, facilities, and mobility across the city, to support the diverse needs of residents.	Suggest changing wording to equity. While the intent is to provide access to all resources in all areas of the city, considerations such as access to transit and walkability will be factors when selecting locations for some amenities.	Recommend Change
234	General Public	C4.2.4 Remove smaller, replace with lower	119	C4.2 Equity and Inclusivity C4.2.4 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.2.4 Strive to reduce financial barriers to community spaces, programs, and services for community members with lower incomes.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
235	City Staff	C4.2.6 Remove recreational	120	C4.2.Equity and Inclusivity C4.2.6 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.2.6 Create and adapt programming and facilities to support respectful and inclusive services for all people.	N/A	Recommend Change
236	City Staff	For clarity remove "where possible"	120	C4.2 Equity & Inclusivity - C4.2.7 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.2.7 Design all new washroom facilities, and retrofit existing facilities where possible, to include universal and gender-neutral spaces.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent.	Recommend Change
237	GPC Motion 2022-03-28	Include, for consistency, a reference to different genders in the Desired Outcomes policy section C4.2 – Equity and Inclusivity.	120	C4.2 Equity & Inclusivity - C4.2.8 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.2.8 Encourage diversity awareness and build a culture of compassion for people of all cultures, genders , orientations, ages, and abilities.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
238	General Public	Clarity	121	C4.2 - Equity & Inclusivity : Desired outcome #3	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME C4.4 City leadership is actively listening to the diverse voices in the community.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity.	Recommend Change
239	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C4.3.3 – SPNA will continue to lobby City to adjust its misuse of census tracts for extrapolating survey responses.	121	C4.3 Political Voice & Engagement C4.3.3 Polices	N/A - Comment		Do Not Recommend Change
240	General Public & Staff Comment	General Public Comment: “feedback from Neighbourhoods, Urban Centres” implies that feedback from other residential areas like “Residential corridors” are not to be listened to. Staff Comment: Consolidate policies	121	C4.35 : Political Voice & Engagement C4.3.1, C4.3.2, C4.3.4 & C4.3.5 Polices	DELETE POLICY C4.3.1, C4.3.2, C4.3.4 & C4.3.5 and REPLACE WITH REVISED POLICY - C4.4.1 Ensure that all people have opportunities to be engaged in City matters and process by offering a range of accessible engagement tools and methods that encourage representative and diverse feedback from areas of the city affected by potential action and/or city-wide demographics as appropriate. NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER C4.4.2 Support and leverage engagement of children, youth and their families and incorporate their voices into the development of City initiatives, programs, planning and policies that serve and affect them by continuing to work collaboratively with schools, institutions of higher learning and others	Staff recommend the change as it reduces redundancy and improves the clarity of the policies	Recommend Change
241	General Public	not sure why “elders” are always lumped in with vulnerable and marginalized groups. The Seniors Connect Age Friendly Plan and its recommendations should be referenced here	121	C4.3.Political Voice & Engagement C4.3.6 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.4.4 Ensure groups that may be under-represented in engagement including children and youth; elders; those with physical, sensory, or cognitive disabilities and their supporters; and vulnerable or marginalized people , have opportunities to provide input into City services, programs, and policy development.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent.	Recommend Change
242	City Staff	For clarity	122	C4.3.Political Voice & Engagement C4.3.7 Polices	REVISED WORDING - C4.4.5 Engage with a broad range of community members and organizations who offer diverse perspectives, interests, and solutions on emerging issues and opportunities, including residents, businesses, not-for-profit organizations , major institutions, and other levels of government.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent.	Recommend Change
243	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	C4.3.9 – The use of “expertise” in quotations is grammatically an example of a ‘scare quote’. Suggests that the author does not believe the characterization (ex: You call this filthy place “clean”). Not necessary as no other groups/departments knowledge is referred to in this manner. Suggestion: Delete and clearly articulate what residents contribute (ex: experience, first-hand knowledge, end-users insight, etc.).	122	C4.3 Political Voice & Engagement C4.3.9 Polices	REVISED WORDING C4.4.7 Focus community engagement to allow participants to provide feedback that brings the most value, and that recognizes their experiences and community knowledge .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
244	City Staff	C4.3.7 Change non-profits to not-for-profit organizations	122	C4.3 Political Voice & Engagement C4.3.7 Policies	REVISED WORDING C4.4.5 Engage with a broad range of community members and organizations who offer diverse perspectives, interests, and solutions on emerging issues and opportunities, including residents, businesses, not-for-profit organizations , major institutions, and other levels of government.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
245	General Public	There are symbols noting what are the integrated policy areas. There should be a symbol for E1.2: Neighbourhood Engagement.	122	C4.3 Political Voice & Engagement Integrated Policy Areas	POLICY AREA ADDITION - INTEGRATED POLICY AREAS Add E1.1 Area Plans & E1.2: Neighbourhood Engagement under Integrated Policy Areas	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity	Recommend Change
246	City Staff	Move C4.4 Access for All following C4.2 Equity & Inclusivity	123-125	C4.4 - Access for All	MOVE SECTION C4.4 ACCESS FOR ALL AFTER C4.2 EQUITY & INCLUSIVITY	Staff recommend the change as it improves the flow of the document	Recommend Change
247	GPC Motion 2022-03-28	Include, for consistency, a reference to different genders in the Desired Outcomes policy section C4.2 – Equity and Inclusivity.	123	C4.4 Access for All Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOMES #2 People of all ages, genders , abilities, and income levels have easy access to services and spaces without barriers.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
248	City Staff	Policy C4.4.5 remove “for new and existing employees of all ages and abilities”, and change “Canada’s” to “BC’s”. BC’s Code applies to the City as an employer. Stick with “Continue to address and remove barriers to employment consistent with BC’s Human Rights Code”.	124	C4.4 - Access for All C4.4.5 Administrative	REVISED WORDING - C4.3.5 Continue to address and remove barriers to employment consistent with BC’s Human Rights Code .	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity	Recommend Change
249	City Staff	For clarity remove "where possible"	124	C4.4 - Access for All C4.4.5 Administrative	REVISED WORDING - C4.3.6 Where possible, ensure that all or most owned or leased City facilities meet accessibility requirements in the latest version of the BC Building Code .	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity	Recommend Change
250	City Staff	C4.4.9 Remove and, add facilities after recreational	124	C4.4 - Access for All C4.4.9 Parks and Recreation Facilities	REVISED WORDING C4.3.9 Provide opportunities for all people to pursue healthy, active, and social lifestyles through a range of inclusive, accessible, and affordable events, festivals, parks, recreational facilities , cultural facilities, and programs.	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity	Recommend Change
251	City Staff	Revise wording	124	C4.4 - Access for All C4.4.12 Parks and Recreation Facilities	REVISED WORDING C4.3.12 Where possible, provide safe, clean, and accessible washroom facilities, including change tables for children and adults, throughout the city to enhance public space experiences for all .	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity	Recommend Change
252	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Encourage and consider incentives for private developers and non-profit ... to develop and maintain a percentage of adaptable and accessible housing rental units Please add within X limits as incentives may include added density which may be much higher than either the neighbourhood plan if they exist or the general OCP allows for. This density bonusing should also be in consultation with the area residents, particularly those most impacted.	125	C4.4 Access For All Policy C4.4.21	N/A	An action item is for the City to work with community stakeholders and consultants to determine appropriate tools and incentives to require a percentage of adaptable and accessible units in multi-family developments.	Do Not Recommend Change
253	General Public	We should be “supporting seniors to ‘age in place’ not just encouraging them; and add “enabling at-home care” to the list of actions	125	C.4.4 - Access for all C4.4.22 Land Use and Development	REVISED WORDING - C4.3.22 Enable seniors to ‘age in place’ by supporting alternative housing and care options, such as adapting existing housing to be accessible, supporting in-law or secondary suites, encouraging shared or co-housing opportunities , and, enabling at-home care opportunities .	Updated wording to clarify intent	Recommend Change
254	City Staff	Revise wording	125	C.4.4 - Access for all C4.4.24 Mobility & Transportation	REVISED WORDING - C4.3.24 Ensure that the design of new or upgraded public transit infrastructure (e.g., transit shelters, exchanges, etc.) considers accessibility for all users, particularly those serving rapid and frequent transit routes .	Updated wording to clarify intent	Recommend Change
255	City Staff	Remove walks of life (first paragraph) insert backgrounds	126	C4.5 - Culture Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOMES Culture is recognized as a significant contributor to the city’s quality of life and residents from all ages, abilities, and backgrounds can participate, express themselves, and find belonging.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
256	City Staff	C.4.5.1 Remove of their presence, add...Traditional Territories and ongoing presence. Change partnership projects to collaborative projects	126	C4.5 - Culture C4.5.1 Spaces and Places	REVISED WORDING - C.4.5.1 Regularly partner with First Nations to increase the visibility and recognition of their Traditional Territories and ongoing presence through collaborative projects including art, signage, place-naming, and other ideas .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
257	City Staff	C.4.5.3 Remove and especially	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.3 Spaces and Places	REVISED WORDING - C.4.5.3 Make arts and culture visible and accessible throughout the city, in each of the designated Urban Centres, with a special focus on Downtown .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
258	City Staff	C.4.5.4 first bullet: remove first instance of facilities	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.4 Spaces and Places	REVISED WORDING - C.4.5.4 Continue to facilitate the growth of the Downtown as a cultural area by: - Locating new or redeveloping facilities suited for cultural purposes in this are; and	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
259	City Staff	C.4.5.11. Break this policy into two points. End first sentence at opportunities. Remove and. (Suggest Move second half of policy “Market Nanaimo as a creative city in order to facilitate the growth and economic benefit of arts and culture” to section C.5.5.9 Pg.155)	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.11 Collaboration	REVISED WORDING - C.4.5.12 Collaborate with partners to identify cultural planning and development opportunities. MOVE SECOND HALF OF POLICY TO SECTION P. 155 AFTER C5.5.8 C5.5.X Market Nanaimo as a creative city in order to facilitate the growth and economic benefit of arts and culture.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
260	City Staff	C.4.5.12 Remove growth, add delivery	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.12 Collaboration	REVISED WORDING - C.4.5.13 Continue to support community-led arts and culture programs, including annual funding to assist groups in the production and delivery of arts and cultural activity .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
261	City Staff	C.4.5.13 Remove the participation of children, youth and other. Remove that promote individual resilience and wellbeing.	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.13 Collaboration	REVISED WORDNG - C.4.5.14 Work with First Nations governments, the School District, and other community-based organizations to support and promote opportunities for under-served groups to participate in cultural activities .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
262	City Staff	C.4.5.14 Add support, in place of (remove) support the capacity of, add arts, remove in support of creating and learning.	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.14 Collaboration	REVISED WORDNG C.4.5.15 Work with partners to establish creative hubs that provide a range of spaces and resources that support artists and arts organizations.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
263	City Staff	C.4.5.15 move to Tourism C5.6	127	C4.5 Culture C4.5.15 Collaboration	MOVE POLICY - C.4.5.15 TO TOURISM C5.6	Staff recommend the change as the policy is geared towards tourism.	Recommend Change
264	City Staff	C4.5.16 Remove stronger	128	C4.5 Culture C4.5.16 Collaboration	REVISED WORDING - C.4.5.16 Support collaboration with, and between, arts and cultural organizations, businesses, and other agencies to increase opportunities for working together to share resources and build capacity within organizations.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
265	City Staff	C.4.5.17 Remove continue to, remove community events and festivals, remove and cultural programs	128	C4.5 Culture C4.5.17 Collaboration	REVISED WORDING -C.4.5.17 Pursue public and private partnerships for community events and festivals, cultural facility development, and cultural programs.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
266	City Staff	*Suggest adding policy that reads “Maintain existing cultural infrastructure and support planning for enhanced facilities” in this section.	126-128	C4.5 - Culture : C4.5.8 Policy	NEW POLICY FOLLOWING C4.5.9 C4.5.X Maintain existing cultural infrastructure and support planning for enhanced facilities .	Staff recommend the change to fill a policy gap.	Recommend Change
267	SFN 2022-04-29	SFN is keen to collaborate on designating heritage conservation areas based on a traditional land use plan. Some Snuneymuxw villages have sensitive uses such as cemeteries or archeological site. We propose that SFN and the City collaborate on completing a traditional land use plan and applying this plan to the final official community plan for the City.	129	C4.6 Archaeological & Heritage C4.6.3 Heritage Protection	REVISE WORDING - C4.6.3 Work with Snuneymuxw First Nation to identify and understand sites of special cultural and traditional significance in order to support future designation heritage conservation areas .	This work can be incorporated as a future amendment to the Draft Plan given the time need to complete it may take several years. Note proposed policy related t traditional land use plan and study. City has recently initiated further archaeological review in partnership with SFN to finalize and update the draft 2018 Archeological Overview Assessment.	Recommend Change
268	GPC Motion 2022-03-28	Add the following to the draft City Plan under section C4.6 - Archeological and Heritage: “Recognize the Newcastle neighbourhood as the original location of an important Snuneymuxw village as well as containing built heritage sites dating back to when the City of Nanaimo was established; and ensure that infill in this heritage area is sensitive and mindful of its historic character”.	129	C4.6 Archaeological and Heritage Heritage Protection	NEW POLICY FOLLOWING C4.6.5 Recognize the Newcastle neighbourhood as the original location of an important Snuneymuxw village as well as containing built heritage sites dating back to when the city of Nanaimo was established .	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
269	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Section does not clearly and respectfully acknowledge discussions with SFN regarding lands (ex: DL56). Would also be more informative to residents/Staff.	129	C4.6 Archaeological & Heritage General	N/A	The draft City Plan has been reviewed by the Snuneymuxw First Nation.	Do Not Recommend Change
270	City Staff	First paragraph – add a shared sense of identity	132	C4.7 - Public Art Projects & Programs Introduction	REVISED WORDING - INTRODUCTION Public art enhances community and creates powerful place-making experiences. Public art is a cultural contribution that fuels imagination, sparks curiosity and critical thinking, and offers a unique reflection of place and people, contributing to character and a shared sense of identity .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
271	City Staff	C.4.7.1 reword	132	C4.7 Public Art Projects & Programs C4.7.1 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C.4.7.1 Regularly review and update best practices for realizing art in public spaces .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
272	City Staff	C.4.7.3 Remove Consider, remove of, remove where feasible and appropriate.	133	C4.7 Public Art Projects & Programs C4.7.3 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C.4.7.3 Integrate public art and public realm improvement projects in the development / renovation of civic infrastructure including streets, buildings, and parks .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
273	City Staff	Revise wording	133	C4.7 Public Art Projects & Programs C4.7.4 Policies	REVISE WORDING - C.4.7.4 Encourage incorporation of public art within new development or redeveloped private lands, especially in Urban Centre and Corridor land use designations .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
274	City Staff	Revise wording	133	C4.7 Public Art Projects & Programs C4.7.5 Policies	REVISE WORDING - C.4.7.5 Ensure public art with First Nations content receives approval from local First Nations government .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Do Not Recommend Change
275	City Staff	Change heading	134	C4.8 - Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings : Title	REVISE WORDNG - TITLE CHANGE HEADING to COMMUNITY EVENTS, TOURNAMENTS, FESTIVALS & GATHERINGS	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of section.	Recommend Change
276	City Staff	Intro paragraph Suggest reference to sport in introductory paragraph. Add ...through events, tournaments and gatherings... Add and a more connected and socially inclusive Nanaimo. Suggest adding festivals in introductory paragraph	134	C4.8 - Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings: Introduction	REVISED WORDNG - INTRODUCTION Community events and gatherings are an essential part of how we celebrate who we are as a city and a people. Celebrating our diversity and showcasing our local cultural resources, artistic talents, and community pride through events, tournaments, festivals, and gatherings to both residents and visitors, builds a higher quality of life, a stronger economy, and a more connected and socially inclusive Nanaimo.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the introduction.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
277	City Staff	Insert box – second bullet remove area is a recreational, add are a programming priority	134	C4.8 - Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings Support For Events - Pop out Box	REVISE WORDING POP OUT BOX 34% of participants indicated that more special events are a programming priority.	Staff recommend the change as it provides clarity.	Recommend Change
278	City Staff	Suggest adding in fourth 'bullet'	134	C4.8 - Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings : Desired Outcomes	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOME #4 Strong community pride and sense of place supported by events and community gatherings that offer free, family oriented, inter-generational opportunities.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
279	City Staff	C4.8.1 after cultural events - remainder into Integrated Action Plan	134	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.1 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.1 Continue to work with First Nations to support the development of venues and co-hosting of local, regional, provincial, and national sporting and cultural events including specific Indigenous events such as Tribal Journeys, North American Indigenous Games, National Day for Truth and Reconciliation, and National Indigenous Peoples Day.	Staff recommend the change as the second part of the policy is an action.	Recommend Change
280	City Staff	C4.8.2 Add period after public spaces and remove all remaining	134	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings : C4.8.2 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.2 Continue to host, permit, and support festivals, celebrations, sporting events and other events in public spaces. such as parks, streets, parking lots, plazas, waterfront areas, and other open spaces.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
281	City Staff	C4.8.4 Add period after 'in the Downtown' and remove all remaining. (Move specifics to action plan)	135	C4.8 - Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings : C4.8.4 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.4 Support the image of Downtown as Nanaimo's cultural area by continuing to host, and encouraging partners and community organizations to host events in the Downtown. with a focus on Swy-a Lana Lagoon / Maffeo Sutton Park, Commercial Street, Diana Krall Plaza, and other spaces.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
282	City Staff	C4.8.5 add When before the word planning	135	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.5 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.5 When planning and designing facilities and public spaces, integrate flexible space that can serve as venues for community events and gatherings through all seasons.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
283	City Staff	C4.8.6 Remove be able to	135	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.6 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.6 Continue to support the development and improvements to the Sport Zone / Stadium District to host a variety of sporting events, tournaments, and other community events.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
284	City Staff	C4.8.7 Remove Encourage and, Remove latter half of policy, ending at year. Suggest moving latter half of this policy noting elevation of tourism potential to Tourism section C5.6 (Pg. 155. Should be noted that events are integral to Tourism)	135	C4.8 - Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.7 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.7 Support event and festival organizations to provide interesting, vibrant, and timely events and festivals throughout the year.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
285	City Staff	C4.8.9 Remove to support formal and informal gathering spaces, remove permanent or temporary, remove increase usable public space. Add that create formal and informal gathering spaces to end.	135	Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.9 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.9 Seek to activate public rights-of-way through innovative measures like closures to traffic, widening of pedestrian and amenity areas, and other measures that create formal and informal gathering spaces.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
286	City Staff	C4.8.10 remove consider and annual and replace with continue providing financial support. C4.8.10 Remove growth, add delivery	135	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.10 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.10 Continue providing financial support to assist groups in the production and delivery of community events and gatherings.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
287	City Staff	C4.8.13 remove and financial (covered in 4.8.10)	135	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.13 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C4.8.13 - Provide logistical support to events, tournaments, and festivals – especially those in the shoulder and off-seasons.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
288	City Staff	C4.8.14 remove. Covered in other policy	135	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings C4.8.14 Policies	REMOVE POLICY - C4.8.14 Create new outdoor community performance spaces to support festivals, community events, and public gathering.	Staff recommend the change as the policy is redundant.	Recommend Change
289	City Staff	Add icon	135	C4.8 Community Events, Tournaments, & Gatherings Integrated Policy Areas	ADD ARCHEOLOGY & HERITAGE ICON	Staff recommend the change as it illustrates the integration.	Recommend Change
290	Neigh Assoc Edits – Stephenson Point Neighbourhood Association – 2022.04.05	C4.9.2 – Laudable 5-minute walk. Does not acknowledge that the walk must also be safe (ex: 75% of SP households have no safe pedestrian connection to its playground - no or narrowly paved shoulders, no protective roll curb, no exterior vehicle land lines along the only viable access route - HBRd). This underlines the importance and necessity of inter-department coordination and collaboration between Parks and Transportation.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management C4.9.2 Acquisition	REVISED WORDING - C4.9.2 Seek to provide public park space within a safe 5 minute walk of all residents whenever possible.	Staff recommend the change per neighbourhood association comment.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
291	Neigh Assoc Edits - South End Neighbourhood Association - 2022.04.19	Lack of Park Space - We're struck anew by the lack of park and green space in the South End. Especially considering that so many South Enders don't have the option to drive to parks, and that the population of our neighbourhood is set to grow dramatically, it's very important to us that the Plan include increased green spaces. Given that our area is woefully underserved with park space, we think it would be reasonable for park space to be purchased. Even with the addition of new park space, we need to prioritize the alternative solutions embodied in our Neighbourhood Plan, including linear parks, pocket parks, green sidewalk routes, and street trees.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management Schedule 5	REVISED SCHEDULE #5 Parks, Recreation, Culture and Wellness Map to show additional proposed parks in the South End.	Staff recommend the change per neighbourhood association comment.	Recommend Change
292	General Public - Foodshare - 2022.04.28 & City Staff	Propose revising policy C4.9.3	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management C4.9.3 Acquisition	REVISED WORDING - C4.9.3 Continue to implement strategies to acquire properties for parks that achieve multiple community objectives, including, but not limited to: Bullet #2 Preservation of natural areas, wildlife corridors, food systems (i.e. growing, harvesting, and fishing) , significant tree stands, and significant environmentally sensitive features including rare plants and riparian areas. Bullet #3 protection of special features, including archaeological , heritage and cultural features;	Staff recommend the revised wording for the policy per conversations with Foodshare.	Recommend Change
293	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	No acknowledgement of the importance of existing and future r.o.w.'s in forming corridors to and between parks. Important where additional land acquisition to form park corridors is not practical or is prohibitively expensive.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management C4.9.3 Acquisition	N/A	The policies in Community Movement (page 78) state C2.1.2 "Ensure that new developments and redevelopments incorporate a fine grained, redundant street network that encourages walking, rolling, and cycling, augmented with active mobility connections via pathways and parks." and C2.1.3 "In rezoning, subdivision, and development permit approvals, seek to acquire active mobility and trails routes through road dedication or secured by statutory right-of-way. Dedication as part of park and/or school lands are also accepted. Both of these policies address the suggestion from SPNA.	Do Not Recommend Change
294	City Staff	Policy C4.9.3 – eliminate the phrase “but not limited to”, as it is redundant. “Including” on its own indicates it is a non-exhaustive list.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management C4.9.3 Acquisition	REVISED WORDING - C4.9.3 Continue to implement strategies to acquire properties for parks that achieve multiple community objectives, including: » waterfront and shoreline access (ocean and freshwater); » preservation of natural areas, wildlife corridors, significant tree stands, and significant environmentally sensitive features including rare plants and riparian areas; » protection of special features, including heritage and cultural features; » connection of existing park spaces to form linked corridors; » potential support for green infrastructure components; » provision of recreation and wellness amenities (i.e., built facilities like playgrounds, sports fields, outdoor classrooms, courts, dog off-leash parks, covered spaces, picnic shelters, disc golf, etc.);	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
295	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Include more dog parks especially in new subdivisions.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management C4.9.3 Acquisition	N/A	Acquisition Policy C4.9.3 (page 137) identifies the need for acquiring property for parks including for dog off-leash parks.	Do Not Recommend Change
296	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Include more dog parks especially in new subdivisions.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management C4.9.7 Park Development	Policy C4.9.8 When developing public use and recreational amenities in parks, consider the following: Bullet #4: protection of existing cultural, archeological and heritage features;	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
297	General Public - Coastal Douglas-fir Conservation Partnership (CDFCP)	Include policy to review opportunities to establish a fund that will enable active protection and management of Natural Assets e.g. Environment Levy, Conservation Fund, Park Acquisition Fund. The establishment of a Parks Acquisition Fund, could provide the City with the funds to purchase land in advance of development (e.g. Capital Regional District, qathet Regional District, Metro Vancouver etc.). Therefore, ensuring that high value parkland is protected fully from development. West Vancouver recently approved a property tax increase for the establishment of an Environmental Levy (1.5%). This was in response to costs that they have incurred due to climate change including management of wildfire risk, repairing damage to coastal structure following storm surges and flooding, cedar dieback leading to the need for tree replacement etc. The City of Courtenay have included a policy to review opportunities for a Conservation Fund in their draft OCP (NE6), to obtain funds that will allow them to protect their natural assets.	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management Acquisition	NEW POLICY AFTER C4.9.6 C4.9.7 Consider opportunities to increase funds available to support active protection and management of ecologically valued areas and park spaces.	This policy could increase opportunity for the City to protect and acquire key lands.	Recommend Change
298	City Staff	Amend policy	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management Park Development	REVISE WORDING C4.9.12 C4.9.13 Provide public access to lands with recreational values beyond the city boundary through integrated planning, collaboration with First Nations, Regional District of Nanaimo , and other neighbouring communities, and provision of parks and trails in key locations.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
299	City Staff	Amend policy	137	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management Planning & Management	REVISE WORDING C4.9.14 Partner with First Nations, the Regional District of Nanaimo , School District 68 , Vancouver Island University , and others to co-manage parks identified as mutual priorities.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
300	General Public	in regards to park space within 5 min., I gotta ask about these little stamp areas of 'park' space throughout neighbourhoods... we have areas where I live that say 'park'... they are super small - should be called a GREEN SPACE. Often they are either unusable (which is fine, they are forest like) or they're just little bitty areas that have a couple of small trees on - no where near being a park... they are NOT parks, they are just green spaces - period.	138	C4.9.2 - Parkland & Park Amenity Management - Policies	NA	Parks do include undeveloped green spaces. May consider further refinement of park designations in action planning.	Do Not Recommend Change
301	City Staff	Amend policy	139	C4.9 Parkland & Park Amenity Management Flexible Use & Adaptation	ADD "C4.5 Culture" and "C4.6 Archaeology & Heritage" to Integrated Policy Areas section.	Staff recommend the change as it illustrates the integration.	Recommend Change
302	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Section exclusively about sea and lake front. Suggestion: Add policies about stream-sides (constituting the longest portion of 'waterfront' in the city) with their unique attributes, challenges and opportunities.	140	C4.10 Waterfront Use & Protection Introduction	N/A	The introduction to Section C4.10 Waterfront Use & Protection states "Not only are we a harbour city next to the Salish Sea, freshwater is all around us in lakes, marshes, and streams." This acknowledges that this section of City Plan is referring to all types of freshwater waterfronts.	Do Not Recommend Change
303	Neigh Assoc Edits - SECA - 2022.04.19	We recognize that there are complexities involved in creating public access to the waterfront lands South Enders gaze at every day, but we'd like to see support in the City Plan for moving the timeline forward by decades. We are the only area of the City that can see the water near us but cannot touch it, and waterfront access was the constant refrain of our Neighbourhood Plan consultations. This is an equity issue for South Enders that needs to be addressed.	142	C4.10 Waterfront Use & Protection C4.10.7 Waterfront Experience.	N/A	Waterfront Experience C4.10.7 policy states "Seek to provide public waterfront access and/or view points and seating areas (where grades do not permit access) every 500 metres where possible throughout the City." This policy addresses the goal of equitable access to the waterfront.	Do Not Recommend Change
304	City Staff	Combine policies are similar	142	C4.10 Waterfront Use & Protection C4.10.11 & C4.10.12 - Waterfront Experience.	COMBINED NEW POLICY Provide, expand, and maintain a variety of locations and amenities that support public waterfront access for activities including, but not limited to, boating, diving, launching, paddling, swimming, and fishing.	Staff recommend this change as it improves the policy by reducing redundancies.	Recommend Change
305	City Staff	Revise wording	142	C4.10 Waterfront Use & Protection C4.10.11 & C4.10.16 - Waterfront Experience.	REVISED WORDING Seek opportunities to convert, purchase, exchange, or create statutory rights-of-way on private lands that support protection or enhancement of waterfront areas.	Staff recommend this change as it clarifies the intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
306	City Staff	Add icon	142	C4.10 Waterfront Use & Protection Integrated Policy Areas	ADD ARCHEOLOGY & HERITAGE ICON	Staff recommend this change as it clarifies the integration.	Recommend Change
05 A PROSPEROUS NANAIMO: THRIVING & RESILIENT ECONOMY							

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
307	General Public	2) There is room for creative economic development to be a solution for some of our challenges in the next 40 years. By targeting specific challenges and aligning education, job creation and support around them, we can turn a challenge into an economic driver. Examples of this include: a. Develop support systems and workers to support the more vulnerable members of our community: This is a societal challenge that isn't going away. Partnering with VIU or other educators to train support workers, counsellors, safety officers, etc. and building pilot programs to secure provincial/national funding to become a leader in supporting our community will create well paid jobs that mitigate the challenge moving forward; b. Transportation: Support and promote Nanaimo as a centre for emerging new forms of goods and people movement. It is very likely that transportation in a decade will look very different than today through automation, alternate fuel sources and other technology. Nanaimo could be a leader in these changes and develop pilot programs and support them through education and funding; c. Establish Nanaimo as a leading mid-sized city in the promotion, achievement and exploration of the Doughnut Economy and create degrees that supports this.	145	C5 - A Prosperous Nanaimo: Thriving and Resilient Economy C5.1.6 Policies	REVISED WORDING - C5.1.7 Support becoming the transportation, cargo, and logistics hub of Vancouver Island. Expand, enhance, and maintain physical transportation links that connect businesses to their markets; enable the efficient movement of people, goods, and services; and can adapt to emerging transportation trends .	Addition recognizes that transportation planning should also prepare to adapt to emerging trends. Other items listed should be considered in the Action Plan.	Recommend Change
308	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Exclusively 'urban', industrial, or suburban home-based. Does not acknowledge large institutional employment bases in suburban settings (ex: Pacific Biological Station (PBS)) with their unique attributes, challenges, demands and contributions. Illustration on page 148 does not acknowledge large institutional employment bases in suburban settings (ex. Pacific Biological Station in Stephenson Point neighbourhood).	148	C5 Thriving & Resilient Economy	N/A	The Pacific Biological Station is recognized in policy D4.7.13 "Recognize both the upland facilities and marine uses related to the research and development function of the federal Pacific Biological Station." (page 220)	Do Not Recommend Change
309	City Staff	Strengthen language	131	C5.1.11	C5.1.11 Consider including Include comprehensive servicing planning, capital and life cycle planning, and costing as part of Area Planning processes for Urban Centres (see policy D4.3.8).	Infrastructure planning will be critical component of area plans	Recommend Change
310	City Staff	C5.3 Business development targets retention, expansion, and entrepreneurship as pathways to growing a sustainable local economy that respects the environment and helps meet our social needs, and supports thriving businesses throughout the community.	152	C5.3 - Business Development Introduction	REVISED WORDING - INTRODUCTION Business development targets retention, expansion, and entrepreneurship as pathways to growing a sustainable local economy that respects the environment and helps meet our social needs, and supports thriving businesses throughout the community .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
311	City Staff	Add "in order to keep pace with the needs of our growing population"	152	C5.3 - Business Development Desired Outcome #1	REVISED WORDING - DESIRED OUTCOMES #1 A strong local economy that supports entrepreneurs, retains and expands existing businesses, and attracts new businesses in order to keep pace with the needs of our growing population .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the desired outcome.	Recommend Change
312	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Section does not acknowledge PBS and its centre of scientific excellence, portal for federal monies, and collaborations which introduce the city internationally.	153	C5.4 Innovation & Technology	N/A	The Pacific Biological Station is recognized in policy D4.7.13 "Recognize both the upland facilities and marine uses related to the research and development function of the federal Pacific Biological Station." (page 220)	Do Not Recommend Change
313	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06	Internet Connectivity: We need City support to push the communication companies (Telus, Shaw, others) to install fibre-optic cable to the island. Such an upgrade would support more residents being able to work remotely and may provide more opportunity for entrepreneurs to operate home based businesses from their residence. Current services are not reliable and will likely be insufficient to support the high speed connectivity expected in the future.	153	C5.4 Innovation & Technology C5.4.2 Policies	REVISED WORDING C5.4.2 Promote and support equitable and affordable access to the internet for all parts of the community.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies intent.	Recommend Change
314	City Staff	Add "Bring together entrepreneurs, universities, government agencies, innovation labs, and influencers "	153	C5.4.4 - Innovation & Technology C5.4.4 Policies	REVISE WORDING C5.4.3 Bring together entrepreneurs, universities, government agencies, innovation labs, and influencers to coordinate growth of the technology sector (often referred to as the technology ecosystem). Utilize innovation in the technology sector to strengthen and grow all other sectors of the economy .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
315	City Staff	Minor edit to Policy C5.4.6. by changing "and leading" to "and achieve"	153	C5.4.6 Innovation & Technology	REVISED WORDING C5.4.6 Build and support initiatives that take advantage of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) in order to solve urban challenges and achieve greater efficiency and sustainability.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
316	City Staff	Add the “Solid Waste Management” icon (C1.6) to page 153 (C5.4.6 for future digital communications with service users)	153	C5.4.6 - Innovation & Technology : Integrated Policy Areas	ADD ICON - INTEGRATED POLICY AREAS C1.6 Icon	Staff recommend the change as it show how this section links to the Solid Waste Management section.	Recommend Change
317	City Staff	Add "C5.1 Economic Capital", "C5.3 Business Development" and "C5.5 Place Making and Investment Attraction" to Integrated Policy Areas section.	153	C5.4.6 - Innovation & Technology : Integrated Policy Areas	ADD ICON - "C5.1 Economic Capital", "C5.3 Business Development" and "C5.5 Place Making and Investment Attraction" to Integrated Policy Areas section.	Staff recommend the change as it show how this section links to other relevant policy areas.	Recommend Change
318	City Staff	Revised wording	155	C5.5.5- Placing Making & Investment Attraction	REVISED WORDING C5.5.6 Reinforce a positive view of Nanaimo among the local population.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
319	City Staff	Revised wording	155	C5.5.6 - Placing Making & Investment Attraction	REVISED WORDING C5.5.7 Develop and implement a corporate communication strategy for promoting the “Nanaimo brand” outside the region. Market Nanaimo to be attractive to residents, talent, and investment.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
320	City Staff	Revised wording	156	C5.6.1 - Tourism	REVISED WORDING C5.6.1 Partner with other organizations on comprehensive destination development plans and include investment in visitor-facing infrastructure, capitalizing on leisure, sport, eco-tourism , and business tourism opportunities throughout the community	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
321	City Staff	Revised wording	157	C5.6.4 - Tourism	REVISED WORDING C5.6.4 Promote Nanaimo as destination for leisure, sport, eco-tourism , and business tourism by highlighting and developing our natural, historical, cultural, and recreational assets	Staff recommend the change as it improves the policy.	Recommend Change
PART D CITY STRUCTURE							
01 AN INTEGRATED STRUCTURE							
322	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Is it possible to clearly articulate the exact area where Neighbourhood centres can have moderate to low density? It is a dot without boundaries.	162/163	D1.1 Conceptual City Structure, Fig. 18 Conceptual City Structure	N/A	The future Land Use map - Schedule 2 shows the defined boundaries of the lands designated as Neighbourhood Centres. The intent of Figure 18 is to provide a high level overview.	Do Not Recommend Change
323	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Brooks Landing “future transit exchange”- On March 17, RDN Transit’s Supervisor Erica Beauchamp confirmed to residents/SPNA that this would not be categorized as a “transit exchange” (despite residents/SPNA’s lobbying efforts for its inclusion through the RDN Transit Redevelopment Strategy public input process). Regretfully, this should be taken off to match all other Report schedules. Jesse Island not shown. Important and significant large portion of SP. 	162/163	D1.1 Conceptual City Structure, Fig. 18 Conceptual City Structure	REVISED FIGURE 18 Remove Future Transit Exchange purple dot at Brooks Landing from Figure 18: Conceptual City Structure. Also, add Jesse Island to the map in Figure 18 as it is missing.	Staff recommend the change as this is no longer considered a future transit exchange by the Regional District of Nanaimo. Also, Jesse Island is missing from the map in Figure 18 and can be added.	Recommend Change
324	General Public	Building energy performance versus building form: the most cost effective way to improve the energy performance of a building is to stack floors on top of each other to simplify the building envelope and reduce energy loss.	164	D1.2 - Integration Examples Introduction	REVISED WORDING - INTRODUCTION To attract residents and be sustainable, Urban Centres need to be energy efficient , beautiful and practical, delivering a range of housing, employment, and mobility options; cultural services; and public spaces to support many lifestyles.	Staff recommend change to acknowledge the importance of energy efficiency in buildings.	Recommend Change
02 GROWTH MANAGEMENT							
325	City Staff	Section D2.1 Urban Containment Boundary – should Forest Land Reserve be referenced in policy D2.1.4. with respect to future changes to the UCB?	173	D2.1 - Growth Management - Urban Containment Boundary D2.1.4 Policies	REVISED WORDING - D2.1.4 Consider future changes to the UCB based on the following: In the case of land proposed for inclusion inside the UCB, the land is not in the Agricultural Land Reserve and the Forest Land Reserve ;	Staff recommend change as there are lands within the City Boundary that are classified as Forest Land Reserve.	Recommend Change
326	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	There is enough serviced land either vacant or underutilized within the UCB to accommodate the projected demands for housing to 2046. If this is true, then we do not need to have D2.14 which states that council can “Consider future changes to UBC based upon ...”	173	D2.1 Urban Containment Boundary	N/A	Through the provincial process, the City occasionally receives referrals regarding potential City boundary changes. It is beneficial to have policy in the City Plan to guide decision making with regard to City boundary adjustment requests. The City may wish to consider other strategic objectives such as job lands or parkland.	Do Not Recommend Change
327	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	DL56 – It would be more informative to residents/Staff and respectful to SFN and the reconciliation process to identify that this land’s future is subject to discussions with SFN.	174	D2.1 Urban Containment Boundary	N/A	The draft City Plan has been reviewed by the Snuneymuxw First Nation.	Do Not Recommend Change
03 BLUE & GREEN NETWORK							

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
328	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Figure 24 • Planta Park – ESA covering most of Planta Park not shown. Add ESA marking. • Planta Park – Eliminate ghosted road on ‘Plummer Rd’ r.o.w.	180	D3.1 About The Blue & Green Network Figure 24 Blue & Green Network	REVISE FIGURE 24 - BLUE & GREEN NETWORK Update Figure 24 'Blue and Green Network' map (page 180) by hatching Planta Park as an ESA, to match Schedule 6 - Environmentally Sensitive Areas map.	Schedule 6 -Environmentally Sensitive Areas map does show a terrestrial ESA on Planta Park, thus Figure 24 can be updated to match the map in Schedule 6. The pedestrian routes shown on both Schedule 3 - Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network and on Schedule 5 - Parks, Recreation, Culture, & Wellness represent the broader scheme of existing and proposed pedestrian routes and are not intended to provide a detailed map of existing and proposed trails. More detailed trail maps can be found in the individual Park Plans and the City's Trail Implementation Plan which is more regularly updated.	Recommend Change
329	City Staff	Improve visibility of watercourse layer. Hard to decipher on the map.	180	D3.1 About The Blue & Green Network Figure 24 Blue & Green Network	REVISE FIGURE 24 - BLUE & GREEN NETWORK Improve the visibility of the watercourse layer.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of data on the map.	Recommend Change
330	City Staff	Remove Reservoir No. 1 property at 1151 Nanaimo Lakes Road from Resource Management designation if not already done.	181	D3.1 About The Blue & Green Network Figure 24 Blue & Green Network	REVISE FIGURE 24 - BLUE & GREEN NETWORK Remove Reservoir No. 1	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of data on the map.	Recommend Change
04 LAND USE PLAN							
331	General Public - 2022.04.12	Is there any interest in smaller lots w/ smaller homes ... more affordable & good for smaller families?	183	D4.1 - Our Land Use Plan : Overview	N/A	Small lots with smaller homes in addressed under D4.5.2.	Do Not Recommend Change
332	GPC Motion 2022-04-11	That all of Newcastle Neighbourhood east of Terminal Avenue be designated “Neighbourhood” with a maximum of 4 storeys.	184-185 & Schedule 2	Figure 26: Land Use Plan & Schedule 2 Land Use Plan	REVISE SCHEDULE #2 Change the designation on east side of Terminal Avenue in the Newcastle Neighbourhood from Residential Corridor to the Neighbourhood designation on Figure 26 and Schedule 2 - Land Use Map.	GPC Motion - Change has been made	Recommend Change
333	GPC Motion 2022-04-11	That the Governance and Priority Committee recommend that consideration be given to designating the three mixed use areas in the Newcastle Neighbourhood as Neighbourhood Centre.	184-185 & Schedule 2	Figure 26: Land Use Plan & Schedule 2 Land Use Plan	REVISED SCHEDULE #2 Change the designation on the west and east side of Terminal Avenue in the Newcastle Neighbourhood for the three mixed use areas from Mixed Use Corridor to Neighbourhood Centre designation on Figure 26 and Schedule 2 - Land Use Map.	GPC Motion - Change has been made	Recommend Change
334	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	How is VIU an Urban Center as it is a seasonal university/college educational place? Wouldn't the area where there is a commercial centre be more appropriate? I.e.. The location of the University Village center seems a better fit.	184-185 & Schedule 2 LUP	Figure 26: Land Use Plan	N/A	Staff do not recommend this change as the VIU secondary urban centre is envisioned to be a mix of commercial and residential uses as well institutional uses and a current transit exchange location.	Do Not Recommend Change
335	General Public - Several Comments	A request to designate all of Newcastle east of Terminal Avenue as Neighbourhood to more appropriately recognize the nature of the area as a “liveable diverse neighbourhood that respects the existing residential character.” (Page 206)	184-185 & Schedule 2 LUP	D4.1 - Land Use Plan : Overview	AMEND LAND USE DESIGNATION: Change designation on east side of Terminal Avenue in the Newcastle Neighbour-hood to Neighbourhood designation.	Staff recommend the change as per April 11th, 2022 GPC Motion	Recommend Change
336	General Public - 2022.04.08	I do not support the inclusion of environmental values with agriculture and forestry. If there is a possibility that the lands designated Resource Management are logged right up to the Environmentally Sensitive Green Elements areas, there will be no protecting those areas.	185	D4.1 Land Use Plan	N/A	Lands with Resource Management designations (and all lands) are subject to DPA 1 that identifies environmentally-sensitive areas and provides requirements for protection.	Do Not Recommend Changes
337	Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29	It is encouraging to see recognition in the draft City Plan of the unique characteristics of the Old City Neighbourhood. We are pleased the draft City Plan "Recognizes the unique heritage value of this established area and encourages preservation of the architecturally/historical significant buildings." (p 187) However we hope this does not mean only encouraging the preservation of the architecturally historical significant buildings." We do not want the unique heritage value of the Old City to stop at preserving heritage character homes and heritage features, but to continue to respect the heritage character in the design features of new buildings.	187 and 208	D4.2 Land Use Designation Table	N/A	A development permit (DPA8) will be required for the construction of new townhouse and multi-family buildings in the Old City. "Nanaimo's Old City Multi-Family Residential Design Guidelines" will be applied to new development, and these guidelines emphasize heritage character.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
338	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	With respect to Suburban Neighbourhood designation - Building form sections indicate tri/fourplex, townhomes. Small scale commercial/mixed use and up to three storeys. Some of these uses require a rezoning and may be in conflict with surrounding residents. How are you going to mitigate this? In addition, they should be similar in character to rest of area housing, not totally different. Size/ scale of commercialized area also needs to be developed. How far can they extend into suburban areas? In suburban areas, particularly those close to the city boundary, these should not even be entertained.	188	D2.2 Future Land Use Designation Table	N/A	A rezoning application will be required for any proposed changes to zoning. The rezoning process involves public notification and a public hearing to obtain input from the neighbourhood regarding the proposed zoning change. Once Council has heard all input they make a decision as to whether or not to approve the propsoed rezoning application.	Do Not Recommend Change
339	City Staff	Revised wording	191	D4.1 - Land Use Plan : Figure 28: Types of Centres	Neighbourhood Centres serve residential areas with clusters of shopping, local services, and compact housing that support daily needs of local residents. As neighbourhood focal points for business, services, gathering, and housing, these are places that support activity and animation. Neighbourhood Centres are integrated within neighbourhoods and feature strong pedestrian and cycling linkages and access to transit	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies intent.	Recommend Change
340	City Staff	Staff recommendation: Review criteria associated with the prioritization of urban centres	192	D4.3 Centres D4.3.7 Urban Centres Primary & Secondary - General	REVISED WORDING - D4.3.7 Consider prioritizing City investments in Urban Centres in the following order: » Downtown » Woodgrove / South Gate » Hospital / University » Country Club Nanaimo North	Staff recommend revising the ranking for South Gate based on the following criteria: community input; servicing costs - water; servicing costs - sanitary; existing Parks, Recreation and Culture Services; Brownfield / Vacant Sites; Existing Area Plan; Regional Servicing Role; and Transit. In addition this is supported by the findings of the Colliers Memorandum - City of Nanaimo OCP - Review of Land Use Designations, 2022.04.25.	Recommend Change
341	City Staff	As well as transitions to surrounding areas. Require Area Plans for Urban Centres to provide more detailed land use and policy guidance for future development to remove constraints, coordinate infrastructure, and identify amenity needs. Take into account the full extent of the Urban Centre boundary in an Area Plan.	193	D4.3 Urban Centres - Primary & Secondary D4.3.8 General	REVISED WORDING - D4.3.8 Require Area Plans for Urban Centres to provide more detailed land use and policy guidance for future development to remove constraints, coordinate infrastructure, and identify amenity needs. Take into account the full extent of the Urban Centre boundary in an Area Plan as well as transitions to surrounding areas .	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
342	City Staff	Revised wording	193	D4.3 Urban Centres - Primary & Secondary D4.3.9 General	Support redevelopment of large properties within Urban Centres where a proposal demonstrates the following characteristics: » improved public street connectivity, with new streets and pathways that break up large blocks and provide more direct and effective walk, cycle, and mobility links that coordinate with existing and potential future street crossings and intersections and adjacent property development in the area; » improved pedestrian and cycling facilities to create a safe and comfortable user environment; » identification and dedication / development of parks, public spaces, and community recreation and wellness facilities, urban forests and tree canopies, and/or rainwater management infrastructure; and » Integration of transit infrastructure.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity and intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
343	General Public - 2022.04.16	Please comment on how the 20% target was developed. Is there a comparison to existing nodes in Nanaimo? Specific numeric targets for this type of goal can cause unintended consequences in how they are applied over time and if they are to be included, need to be reasonable compared to real world examples.	193	D4.3.11 - Urban Centres - Primary & Secondary	NA	The intent of the policy is to indicate a general desire for a substantive amount of space available for public use and enjoyment. A quantifiable amount provides more clarity that descriptive words such as "substantive." While not all Centres may achieve the full 20%, the intent is to illustrate what to work towards.	Do Not Recommend Change
344	GPC Motion 2022-04-11 & City Staff	GPC Policy D4.3.16 in the draft City Plan be amended to read: "Discourage new large areas of surface parking and drive-thru's in Urban Centres, with strong preference for under-building or underground parking. Continue to evolve auto-oriented uses into more pedestrian-friendly and accessible development forms and mix of uses." City Staff Add punctuation for sentence flow.	194	D4.3 Centres - Urban Centres - Primary & Secondary D4.3.16	REVISE WORDING D4.3.16 Discourage new large areas of surface parking or drive-thru's in Urban Centres. Under-building parking or underground parking is preferred . Continue to evolve existing auto-oriented uses into more pedestrian-friendly and accessible development forms and mix of uses.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
345	Community Survey Feedback	Survey feedback indicated lower support about the direction to Support Large-Format Retail that is Designed to be Pedestrian-Friendly and Mixed-use (51% Support in the Community Survey / 56% Support in the Statistical Survey). Analysis of qualitative input (written comments) indicated that the majority of comments were focused on generally not supporting large-format retail in the City (55 comments) or thoughts about how it should be integrated appropriately into context (58 comments). A small number of comments indicated a desire for more large-format retail (7 comments).	194	D4.3 Urban Centres - Primary & Secondary - D4.3.15 General	REVISED WORDING - D4.3.15 Consider large format retail in Urban Centres, provided that it is designed in a format consistent with the walk, cycle, and transit-oriented nature and the urban design character envisioned for these areas, demonstrates a commitment to sustainability, and is integrated within mixed-use developments.	A change to the wording is recommended to acknowledge community concerns that the draft policy could be seen as encouraging large-format retail (rather than considering) and to add further considerations articulated by the community as important when considering integration of large-format retail into Urban Centres.	Recommend Change
346	City Staff	Add policy D4.5.7 to Urban Centres section as also pertinent to Urban Centres.	194	D4.3 Centres - Urban Centres - Primary & Secondary D4.3.16	NEW POLICY AFTER D4.3.17 Strengthen social connectedness and encourage amenities and spaces that provide opportunities for residents of all ages, abilities, and backgrounds to connect with their neighbours.	Staff recommend adding this policy as is relevant to Urban Centres	Recommend Change
347	General Public	We are excited to see Downtown as the primary node for the City. We suggest that wording be added to prioritize Terminal Avenue Redevelopment (Esplanade to Comox Street) as the central focus for downtown redevelopment and expansion. This corridor is the front door to our community for so many visitors and its redevelopment would kick start development in all other areas of downtown.	194	D4.3 - Primary Urban Centre D4.3.22 Downtown Urban Centre	REVISED WORDING - D4.3.23 Plan the Downtown Urban Centre, also know as the Primary Urban Centre, to be the "heart of the city." The Downtown is the city's distinct culture, economic, and mobility hub with the highest density and mix of uses and an attractive urban character that is inviting and engaging.	Policy expanded to recognize the importance of an creating an inviting public realm. Specific Terminal Avenue upgrades are an appropriate action for consideration in the Action Plan and supported by the amended policy.	Recommend Change
348	City Staff	Revised Wording	195	D4.3 - Primary Urban Centre D4.3.24 Downtown Urban Centre	REVISED WORDING D4.3.25 Support the following typical building forms: mix of low-rise, mid-rise, and high-rise buildings, typically in mixed-use forms with ground-floor commercial and residential / office above, or residential or live / work forms.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
349	City Staff	Revised Wording	195	D4.3 - Primary Urban Centre D4.3.25 Downtown Urban Centre	REVISED WORDING D4.3.27 Building heights will be a minimum of two storeys. Encourage high-rise development as per locations and guidelines described in the Nanaimo Downtown Plan, Port Drive Waterfront Master Plan.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
350	City Staff	Revised Wording	195	D4.3 - Primary Urban Centre D4.3.27 Downtown Urban Centre	REVISED WORDING D4.3.28 Work towards an overall target density for the Downtown Urban Centre designation of 250+ units per hectare. This target density is intended to describe unit general density and massing for the overall land use area.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
351	Community Survey Feedback	Survey feedback indicated marginally lower support for the key direction to Encourage a Thriving Downtown through Density, Mobility, and Employment (74% Support in the Community Survey / 79% Support in the Statistical Survey). Analysis of qualitative input (written comments) indicated that about one third of written comments (42 in total) included a theme around addressing social challenges in order for the Downtown to achieve success. In addition, written comments received in both surveys indicated a desire for improvements to safety and security in the Downtown (and throughout the city).	195	D4.3 Primary Urban Centre Downtown Urban Centre	ADD NEW POLICY AFTER D4.3.33 Ensure that buildings and publicly-accessible spaces in the Downtown Urban Centre are designed to take into account community safety and security, while maintaining an inviting and attractive character.	Community feedback emphasized a desire for improved safety and security in Downtown. Including a policy on factoring safety and security as part of the design of buildings and public spaces emphasizes the importance of this consideration.	Recommend Change
352	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	196	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.34 All Secondary Urban Centres	REVISED WORDING Secondary Urban Centres D4.3.37 Typical building heights will be two storeys to 12 storeys. Additional building height may be supported in Secondary Urban Centres on key sites where the proposal: » is consistent with any existing Urban Centre Area Plan; » is a slender or point tower on a well-articulated building base that contributes to a human scale and walkable public realm; » is of a high quality design that contributes to the city skyline; » responds to the existing or planned context and provides an appropriate transition in scale to adjacent buildings and open space; » provides additional amenities that implement City Plan policies.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
353	City Staff	Revised Wording	196	D4.3 - Primary Urban Centre D4.3.27 Downtown Urban Centre	REVISED WORDING D4.3.38 Work towards an overall target density for the Secondary Urban Centre designation of 200 units per hectare. This target density is intended to describe unit general density and massing for the overall land use area.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
354	City Staff	Revise wording	197	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.43 Country Club Urban Centre	REVISE WORDING - D4.4.45 Recognize Country Club Urban Centre as a central commercial, residential, community service, and mobility hub.	Staff recommend the change as it is not necessary	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
355	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Hospital Urban Centre – No acknowledgement of convoluted road network and vulnerable intersection congestion accessing it, especially from the northeast quadrant of the city. Suggestion: Similar to policies regarding Nanaimo North and Country Club Centre, add policy to explicitly improve connections between the city’s northeast quadrant and Hospital in any MOTI redesign of the Island Highway/Departure Bay/Brechin/Northfield/Boundary intersection.	197	D4.3 Centres	N/A	Section C2.1 Complete Communities contains policy C2.1.2 "Ensure that new developments and redevelopments incorporate a finegrained, redundant street network that encourages walking, rolling, and cycling, augmented with active mobility connections via pathways and parks." Also, Section D5.4 Planning Streets for People contains Figure 41 'Street Layout Patterns and Ease of Navigation' demonstrates the preferred more direct, connected, easy to navigate, and more walkable street layouts that the City will strive toward.	Do Not Recommend Change
356	City Staff	Include policy on student housing impacts on neighbourhood	198	D4.3 - Secondary Urban Centre University Urban Centre	ADD NEW POLICY AFTER D4.3.59 Continue to collaborate with Vancouver Island University in their future plans for student housing and how best to integrate with the surrounding Harewood Neighbourhood.	Working with VIU to achieve a balanced provision of student housing on/off campus to mitigate impacts on surrounding neighbourhood.	Recommend Change
357	City Staff	Delete policy	198	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.62 Neighbourhood Centre	DELETE POLICY - D4.4.62 Include a mix of commercial uses and compact housing designs to form a walkable neighbourhood-scale centre	Staff recommend the change as it is not necessary	Recommend Change
358	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	199	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.64 Neighbourhood Centre	REVISED WORDING - D4.3.67 Typical building height will be two to four storeys. Additional uses and heights may be considered in the Sandstone Master Plan area, in accordance with the policies in the Sandstone Master Plan.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
359	City Staff	Revise Policy	199	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.66 Neighbourhood Centre	REVISE WORDING - D4.3.68 Consider locally serving commercial uses that include, but are not limited to	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
360	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Clarify if the 60 unit per hectare target is for the parcel holding the Neighbourhood Centre zoning or a broader area surrounding the Neighbourhood Centre zoned parcel.	199	D4.3 Centres - Policy D4.3.67	N/A	The overall target density will be for the full Neighbourhood Centre designation.	Do Not Recommend Change
361	City Staff	Revise Policy	199	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.67 Neighbourhood Centre	REVISE WORDING D4.3.69 Work towards an overall target density for the Neighbourhood Centre designation of 60 units per hectare. This target density is intended to describe general unit density for the overall land use area.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
362	City Staff	Revise Policy	199	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.69 Neighbourhood Centre	REVISE WORDING - D4.3.71 Do not support development of large surface parking areas between the front face of the buildings and the street in Neighbourhood Centres	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
363	City Staff	Revise Policy	199	D4.3 Secondary Urban Centre Designation D4.3.70 Neighbourhood Centre	Policy D4.3.70 an attractive transition between the public and private realm, and between commercial and residential uses , including landscaping and street trees, to maximize pedestrian comfort.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
364	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	During the 1998-2002 NeighPlan process City emphasized to residents/NeighPlan Committee the importance of listing uses not desired in the Neighbourhood Centre (ex: petrol stations). Suggestion: Expand D.4.3.69 to include list.	199	D4.3 Centres	N/A	City Plan is intended to provide broader policy guidance, and not delve into specific uses not supported. A rezoning application will be required to consider uses not permitted in the Zoning Bylaw.	Do Not Recommend Change
365	General Public	concerns over the inconsistent language between the proposed OCP and the zoning bylaw and how this might restrict the use of similar R1 zoned properties in future request that the minimum 2 storey height requirement within Neighbourhood Centers be reconsidered and possibly removed, esp in DBNeighbourhood Hoping to build a rancher on already purchased land in this area	199	D4.3 - Centers D4.3.64 Neighbourhood Centres	N/A	Recognizing there may be specific conditions that warrant change, the minimum remains important for achieving the intent of these as walkable, higher-density destinations.	Do Not Recommend Change
366	City Staff	Revise wording	200	D4.4 Corridors Introduction	REVISED WORDING - INTRODUCTION Aligning residential and commercial activity with Nanaimo’s rapid and frequent transit networks, Corridors will be places where transit is easily accessed and travel by walking, rolling, or cycling to nearby services is comfortable. Buildings that line Corridors will connect to the street, supporting a strong identity and sense of place.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
367	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Section is biased to urban centres and corridors linking them. Does not acknowledge those corridors/major arterials beyond the urban centres which have limited/no safe pedestrian infrastructure. Suggestion: Expand to include key corridors beyond the urban centres (ex: HBRd, the sole arterial serving one quarter of the city's land mass.)	200	D4.4 Corridors	N/A	Staff do not recommend the change as the primary difference between mobility and urban road classification is the amount of space allocated to pedestrian realm. Mobility road classifications are used to support mixed use land development, particularly pedestrian centric businesses frontages. Corridor is related to building form (up to 6 storeys) and HB road is classified as urban collector - not urban arterial.	Do Not Recommend Change
368	City Staff	Revise wording	201	D4.4 Corridors Introduction	REVISED OUTCOMES - DESIRED OUTCOME #2 Corridors that are destinations with attractive, human-scale development and pedestrian-friendly options for mobility	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
369	City Staff	Revise wording	201	D4.4- Corridors D4.4.2 All Corridors	REVISE WORDING - D4.4.2 Develop human-scale building forms that are pedestrian-oriented and support safe and accessible movement of pedestrians, cyclists, and transit.	Provincial numbered routes are defined through the Ministry of Transportation & Infrastructure documents.	Do Not Recommend Change
370	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	202	D4.4 Urban Centre -Primary & Secondary D4.4.11 General	REVISE WORDING - D4.4.12 Typical building height will be three storeys to six storeys.	Staff recommend this change as it adds flexibility to buildings heights	Recommend Change
371	City Staff	Revise wording	202	D4.4.- Corridors - D4.4.14 Mixed Use Corridor Designation	REVISED WORDING D4.4.14 Discourage the development of primary parking areas and/or a drive thrus between the front face of a building and the street. Underground or under-building parking is preferred.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
372	Development Community	Allow limited commercial in most land use areas (Including Residential Corridor), however describe it is to be limited to uses that enrich the neighbourhood in certain areas like Residential Corridors;	203	D4.4 Corridors D4.4.18 Residential Corridors Designation	REVISED WORDING - D4.4.17: "Support the following typical uses: residential, locally-serving small-scale services, live/work , parks, plazas, and open spaces; and institutional uses."	Staff recommend this change, as some opportunity for small-scale local/neighbourhood level commercial should be retained for residential corridors and adjacent areas. This was also reinforced by some of the feedback received from the development stakeholders.	Recommend Change
373	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	195	D4.4 Corridors D4.4.17 Residential Corridor Designation	REVISED WORDING Residential Corridor D4.4.18 Typical building height will be 3 storeys to 6 storeys.	Staff recommend this change as it adds flexibility to buildings heights	Recommend Change
374	City Staff	Revise wording	202	D4.4.- Corridors - D4.4.20Mixed Use Corridor Designation	REVISED WORDING D4.4.20 Discourage the development of primary parking areas and/or a drive thru between the front face of a building and the street. Underground or under-building parking is preferred.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
375	City Staff	Revise policy	206	D4.5 - Neighbourhoods D4.5.1 - General Policies	REVISE WORDING - D4.5.1 Develop Neighbourhood designations as primarily residential areas that support low to modest increases in density and include livable, diverse housing that respects existing residential character.	Text is lifted from existing OCP neighbourhood policy #8. This is somewhat reflected in draft D4.5.2, but I believe this proposed policy could go further in providing comfort to existing neighbourhoods when reviewing infill rezoning apps.	Recommend Change
376	City Staff	Include policy on complementing neighbourhood character.	206	D4.5 - Neighbourhoods General Policies	ADD NEW POLICY IN GENERAL POLICIES D4.5.12 Encourage infill of residential lots, with infill designed to complement existing neighbourhood character.	Text is lifted from existing OCP neighbourhood policy #8. This is somewhat reflected in draft D4.5.2, but I believe this proposed policy could go further in providing comfort to existing neighbourhoods when reviewing infill rezoning apps.	Recommend Change
377	City Staff	Revise wording	207	D4.5 - Neighbourhoods General Policies D4.5.12	REVISED WORDING D4.5.13 In the Neighbourhood designation, support primarily low-rise residential areas close to services and transit hubs.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
378	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	207	D4.5 Desired Outcomes for Neighbourhoods D4.5.15 General Policies	REVISE WORDING - D4.5.17 Typical building height will be up to 4 storeys.	Staff recommend this change as it adds flexibility to buildings heights	Recommend Change
379	GPC Motion 2022-05-09	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	208	D4.5 Desired Outcomes for Neighbourhoods D4.5.20 Suburban Neighbourhoods	REVISE WORDING - D4.5.22 Typical building height will be up to 3 storeys.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
380	Legal Review	Revise text based on legal advice	208	D4.5 Desired Outcomes for Neighbourhoods : D4.5.23 Suburban Neighbourhoods	REVISE WORDING D4.5.24 Lands north of Linley Valley are designated as Special Servicing Areas as indicated on Schedule 2: Future Land Use Designations . Prior to rezoning or subdivision of lands in these areas, separate comprehensive servicing studies are required for the lands adjacent to Burma Road and the lands adjacent to Tanya Drive. Surplus capital, operational, and maintenance costs for City services will be funded by private landowners through long-term funding options including, but not limited to, Local Area Services .	To be consistent with Division 5 - Part 7 of the Community Charter	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS							
Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
381	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06	The Urban Containment Boundary designates those areas that will not be the target for receiving services (outside the UCB) and those areas that will be subject to full servicing (road, sewer, water, and storm; excluding “semi-Rural designation”; see page 172-173). Since Protection Island is within the Urban Containment Boundary and is designated as a suburban neighbourhood, does that mean the City is committed to upgrading our roads, sewer, water, and storm services? If not, what would be the implications of Protection Island being designated as “semi-Rural”? Perhaps a special “Island Neighbourhood” designation can be added to address the unique nature of this neighbourhood.	208	D4.5 Neighbourhoods, Schedule 2 Land Use	N/A	The residential areas of Protection Island are designated as 'Neighbourhood' in the current OCP which supports a density of 10-50 units per hectare in two to four storey building forms. In City Plan the 'Suburban Neighbourhood' designation is proposed for the residential parcels with an overall target density of 25 units per hectare, with a maximum building height of three stories. Also, the areas not receiving services, such as outside the UCB or ‘semi-rural’ are those that servicing is difficult or not feasible. This gives an indication to developers of where servicing will or won’t be supported by the City of Nanaimo. Protection Island was serviced with water, sewer, storm (in the form of ditching) and roads at the time of its original development. The City maintains those services, including renewal or replacement when the timing in service life is appropriate.	Do Not Recommend Change
382	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06	In the Draft Plan, Protection Island development, under the “suburban neighbourhood” designation, could see possible increases in density from the current +/- 12 units per hectare to 20-25 UPH. Further, PI could see increases from 2-story developments to 3-story developments. Theoretically, some properties could apply to be subdivided to accommodate increased density as smaller lot sizes may be within the new Plan. New development may include duplexes, four-plexes, or even an apartment building. Such changes would significantly alter the “ambiance” of the island. PI’s infrastructure may be unable to handle significant increases in population. Roads will be impacted with increased traffic. Sewage lines may not be able handle increased loads. Park trails would be impacted by greater use. There would be increased demands on already limited moorage supply. Parking demands will increase both on the island (parking circle) and on the City side (street parking and parkade stalls). Recreational facilities may need upgrades (multi-purpose court) or even another court. Have these factors been considered? Perhaps PI should not be considered as an area subject to increased densification.	208	D4.5 Neighbourhood, Schedule 2 Land Use	N/A	The residential areas of Protection Island are designated as 'Neighbourhood' in the current OCP which supports a density of 10-50 units per hectare in two to four storey building forms. In City Plan the 'Suburban Neighbourhood' designation is proposed for the residential parcels with an overall target density of 25 units per hectare, with a maximum building height of three stories. Also, a rezoning application would be required prior to any proposed changes to zoning, and infrastructure and servicing needs and upgrades are considered during the rezoning review.	Do Not Recommend Change
383	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06	Suburban designation identifies that people have limited possibilities for transportation and rely on driving themselves. This applies to on-island transportation (mostly golf cars, limited to max. 20 kph). This also applies for movement on and off the island when accessing the City. Semi-rural designation identifies that the area is physically constrained by transportation and utility services. Our island nature certainly constrains our transportation options. Our utilities are not on par with the standards achieved in other neighbourhoods: phone and cable lines are old and do not support 5-G internet (no fiber-optic cables); sewer system works but is a two-step system with a questionable capacity to support much more development; water supply is good, but reduced pressure due to increased development may have implications for firefighting on the island; there are no storm drains; roads are unpaved with no sidewalks. Based on these limitations, PI also meets the definition of semi-rural. These points support consideration of a special “Island designation” that maintains our service levels and allows for bringing them up to modern standards under the suburban designation and recognizes the limitations on raising density targets.	208	D4.5 Neighbourhood, Schedule 2 Land Use	N/A	The areas not receiving services, such as outside the UCB or ‘semi-rural’ are those that servicing is difficult or not feasible. This gives an indication to developers of where servicing will or won’t be supported by the City of Nanaimo. Protection Island was serviced with water, sewer, storm (in the form of ditching) and roads at the time of its original development. The City maintains those services, including renewal or replacement when the timing in service life is appropriate. Also, a rezoning application would be required prior to any proposed changes to zoning, and infrastructure and servicing needs and upgrades are considered during the rezoning review.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
384	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	How does Old City Neighbourhood and its NeighPlan warrant unique legal inclusion in this document (unlike all other neighbourhoods/NeighPlan's? Its is not equitable to other neighbourhoods, especially when other neighbourhoods unique attributes and desired outcomes (some identified in other NeighPlans) have lost their legal status from previous OCP's and are now relegated to 'supporting documents' or less.	208	D4.5 Neighbourhoods	N/A	The Old City Neighbourhood contains the highest concentration of heritage buildings, and yet is adjacent to the Primary Urban Centre which is anticipated to receive the highest density of commercial and residential development, thus it was determined that the "Old City Neighbourhood" designation be introduced to include the policy needed to acheive infill while respecting heritage values.	Do Not Recommend Change
385	Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29	Single-unit designation is no longer protected in the Old City Neighbourhood in the draft City Plan. This is a concern for the Association.	208	D4.5 Neighbourhoods Old City Neighbourhood	N/A	The 'Old City Neighbourhood Concept Plan' Land Use Concept Map contains several sub-areas that support residential infill. There is one sub-area surrounding Sid Clark Gyro Park that is proposed to change from Single Family to 'Old City Neighbourhood' in City Plan, such that this area can also be considered for gentle infill with an overall target density of 40 units per ha, subject to rezoning and development permit applications.	Do Not Recommend Change
386	GPC Motion 2022-04-11 & Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29 & City Staff	<p>GPC: That Policy D4.5.30 be amended to read: “Set the maximum building height to be 3 storeys. Building heights greater than 3 storeys may be considered where: - Stepped transition is provided with adjacent land uses and built forms; and, - Height impacts are minimized by onsite or nearby natural or built features (e.g. slope of land or major transportation corridor).”</p> <p>NOCA: The current Old City Neighbourhood Concept Plan designation specifies building heights of 2 to 3 stories. The draft City Plan proposes "up to 3 stories with potential for more in strategic locations." NOCA recommends that building heights be limited to 3 stories with a potential for 4 stories in strategic locations where 4 stories do not obstruct a view corridor and the building is accessible to a corridor.</p> <p>City Staff: Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey</p>	209	D4.5 Neighbourhoods D5.30 Old City Neighbourhood	REVISED WORDING D4.5.30 Typical building height will be 3 storeys. Building heights greater than 3 storeys may be considered where: » Stepped transition is provided with adjacent land uses and built forms ; and » Height impacts are minimized by onsite or nearby natural or built features (e.g. slope of land or major transportation corridor).	GPC Motion & the change adds flexibility to building heights.	Recommend Change
387	GPC Motion 2022-04-11 & Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29	<p>GPC: That the draft City Plan direction for Old City Neighbourhood target density be changed from 50 units per hectare to 40 units per hectare.</p> <p>NOCA: The existing density of the Old City Neighbourhood is 20 units per hectare. The draft City Plan proposes to increase the density to 50 units per hectare. While NOCA does not object to an increase in density, we would support a less extreme increase in density of 40 units per hectare.</p>	209	D4.5 Neighbourhoods D4.5.36 - Old City Neighbourhood	REVISE WORDING D4.5.37 Work towards an overall target density for the Old City Neighbourhood designation of 40 units per hectare.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
388	City Staff	Reference Old City Neighbourhood Concept Plan	209	D4.5 Neighbourhoods Old City Neighbourhood	ADD NEW POLICY OLD CITY NEIGHBOURHOOD: The Old City Neighbourhood Concept Plan will serve as a guiding document in the review of development applications.	This will reinforce and align with E1.2.8 that states that neighbourhood plans will be used as guiding documents.	Recommend Change
389	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	209	D4.5 Neighbourhoods D4.5.39 Semi-Rural Neighbourhood Designation	REVISED WORDING D4.5.42 Typical building height will be up to 2 storeys.	Staff recommend this change as it adds flexibility to buildings heights	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
390	General Public	I would like to suggest that the new OCP supports stratification with AR2 and AR1 zoning. The current zoning bylaw does not support it. However the current OCP allows for 2 residences per hectare. If you allow stratification this does not increase density. It simply makes things easier for families with AR2 zoning and farmland to have separate titles and mortgages. This makes financing very difficult when you have multiple family members on title and on the same mortgage. We are supposed to be working towards more affordable housing, this would help majorly. I have spoken to multiple mortgage specialists about this and it would be much easier for mortgage approvals. This is also a major liability in a legal matter. Many farm properties get passed down from their parents to their siblings. With having multiple family members on title and on the same mortgage things can get very messy if a divorce comes into play. Now parents years of long hard earned work handing their property to their children can get all messed up with potential new ownerships through divorce.	209	D4.5 - Neighbourhoods	N/A	Land Use policy does not prevent changes to the zoning bylaw in this regard.	Do Not Recommend Change
391	General Public	I do not agree with only allowing 2 storey residences. You can do 3 storey residences anywhere else in Nanaimo. 3 storeys residences should be allowed as long as it's not over the 9 meter maximum building height like everywhere else. This makes no sense?	209	D4.5 Neighbourhoods D4.5.39 Semi-Rural Neighbourhood Designation	N/A	Intent of the policy is to focus growth within strategic areas.	Do Not Recommend Change
392	General Public	I do not agree with going with less density than the current OCP, it states, less than 2 units per hectare. The current OCP states 2 units per hectare, we are planning the next 25 years here why would we lower the density?	209	D4.5 Neighbourhood : D4.5.41 Semi-rural Neighbourhood	N/A	Intent of the policy is to focus growth within strategic areas.	Do Not Recommend Change
393	General Public	Change wording	209	D4.5 Neighbourhood : D4.5.43 Semi-rural Neighbourhood	REVISE WORDING - C4.5.45 Do not extend existing and new water and sewer to, or within, Semi-Rural Neighbourhoods. However, where water and sewer mains exist, new connections to existing mains may be considered.	Staff recommend the change as it adds clarity to the policy.	Recommend Change
394	City Staff	Clarify policy on special study area	209	D4.5 Neighbourhoods D4.5.44 - Semi-Rural Neighbourhood	REVISE WORDING - D4.5.46 Lands in the King /Calder Hill area, are designated a Special Study Area as indicated on Schedule 2. Prior to rezoning or subdivision of lands in these areas, a comprehensive road networking study is required.	Consistency in policy wording with the Special Servicing Area for Linley Valley.	Recommend Change
395	General Public	We would like to propose that the City develop a Doughnut Economy framework for all lands that are rezoned to industrial, and a phasing in of the framework for existing industrial lands. Such a framework might include requirements for each owner, tenant or leaseholder: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To reduce the climate pollution of their operations to zero by 2040.• To work with other tenants or leaseholders to create a green circular economy which shares wastes and resources, resulting in zero waste going to the landfill;• To develop a plan to embrace green chemistry, minimizing the release of toxic substances into the air, ground or water;• To maximize the onsite harvesting and use of rainwater;• To maximize the flow of rainwater into the land by eliminating non-pervious surfaces, except where the use of toxic materials requires secure capture;• To minimize the felling of trees, maximize tree-cover, and ensure that newly planted trees are protected and watered; (Continued.....)	212	D4.6 - Industrial Lands D4.6.8 General	REVISED WORDING D4.6.8 Recognize that clean, sustainable industrial uses are important for the future and support and encourage industrial businesses to use available technology to reduce water and energy use; reduce greenhouse gas emissions; eliminate noise, odours, and other emissions; and, incorporate appropriate vegetation and natural features on site.	Staff recommend minor addition to policy to recognize potential for vegetation and natural features on sites. Development of the framework recommended could be an appropriate action to be considered in Action Planning.	Recommend Change
396	City Staff	Add a clause to D4.6 Industrial something like: "Additional uses may be considered in the Sandstone Master Plan area, in accordance with the policies in the Sandstone Master Plan."	213	D4.6 Industrial Lands - Dark Purple "Industrial" overview box.	ADD NEW WORDING TO INDUSTRIAL LANDS OVERVIEW BOX The Industrial designation applies to industrial park areas in the city, primarily focused on the lands at Duke Point. This area is served by critical transportation connections that enable trade and is envisioned to remain home to large-format industrial uses that rely on larger land areas and land and water-based transportation connections. Additional uses may be considered in the Sandstone Master Plan area, in accordance with the policies in the Sandstone Master Plan .	This is so that the use policy in City Plan is consistent with the use policies in the Sandstone Master Plan.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
397	City Staff	Delete policy	215	D4.6 Industrial D4.6.15 Industrial	DELETE POLICY D4.6.15	Staff recommend deleting the policy as it is addressed in the Waterfront Designation policies.	Recommend Change
398	City Staff	Revise wording	215	D4.6 Industrial D4.6.16 Industrial	REVISE WORDING - D4.6.15 Recognize the unique role that the Duke Point Industrial area plays for land-intensive and logistics based industrial uses by: Bullet #1 : encouraging heavy / large format industrial uses in this area, such as manufacturing, distribution , and warehousing that are not suitable closer to urban areas and require convenient access to multi-modal transportation (e.g., highways, ferries, rail, airports).	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
399	GPC Motion - 2022-04-11	That the buffer between industrial lands on Cable Bay Trail be changed from 50 metres to 100 metres.	215	D4.6 Industrial D4.6.17 Industrial	REVISED WORDING - D4.6.16 Provide an average 100 meter forested buffer between industrial lands and the Cable Bay Trail to reduce the impact of industrial activities and maintain the natural experience for trail users.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
400	City Staff	Revise wording	216	D4.6 Industrial D4.6.18 llight ndustrial	REVISED WORDING - D4.6.17 Plan lands designated as Light Industrial to accommodate less land-intensive industries with high employee / customer numbers and goods and services that are accessed on a regular basis and generate more activity.	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
401	City Staff	Revise height policies for all designations to read: Typical building forms will be X to X storeys OR Typical building forms will be X storey	216	D4.6 Desired Outcomes for Industrial Lands D4.6.20 Light Industrial Designation	REVISED WORDING D4.6.20 Typical building height will be up to three storeys. Building heights greater than three storeys may be considered where: » Transition is provided with adjacent land uses and built forms ; » Height impacts are minimized by onsite or nearby natural or built features (e.g. slope of land or major transportation corridor).	Staff recommend this change as it adds flexibility to buildings heights and to ensure fit within the industrial area building height transition should be considered in relation to land use and building form.	Recommend Change
402	City Staff	Revise wording	216	D4.6 Desired Outcomes for Industrial Lands D4.6.23 Light Industrial Designation	REVISED WORDING D4.6.22 Support uses that are suitable adjacent to Urban Centres, Corridors, and Neighbourhoods, and which: Bullet #4: are not a recreational use ;	Staff recommend the change as it improves the clarity of the policy.	Recommend Change
403	City Staff	Waterfront small scale services	219	D4.7 Waterfront : D4.7.7 - General Policies.	REVISED WORDING - D4.7.7 : Support the following typical uses: public access facilities, trails, and recreation; water transportation; marine services and marinas; marine focused industry; small scale local services; mixed-use; and residential.	This was identified in the Phase 2 engagement as a desired use particularly in the Dep Bay and Duke Point ferry terminal areas	Recommend Change
404	SFN 2022-04-29	We are interested to co-develop a south downtown waterfront redevelopment plan. It would consider who we envision Maffeo Sutton Park, 1 Port Drive, the waterfront walkway, and other strategic plans related to the waterfront.	219	D4.7 - Waterfront General	ADD NEW POLICY UNDER D4.7 D4.7.3 Recognize the importance of waterfront lands to Snuneymuxw First Nation and explore opportunities to co-develop plans in areas of mutual priority.	Reflects SFN interests.	Recommend Change
405	City Staff	Recommend revising policy	219	D4.7.Waterfront : D4.7.9 Policies Waterfront Dedication	REVISED WORDING D4.7.10 Seek to enhance public access to all waterfront areas, both marine and freshwater, by procuring dedicated access to waterfront areas, either through subdivision, development, or land acquisition.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
406	Neigh Assoc Edits - SECA 2022.04.19	Noise Pollution - We were pleased to see that light pollution is acknowledged in the City Plan, and we'd like to see noise pollution included. In particular, the lack of regulation around industrial noise is an issue that has an enormous impact on the livability of our neighbourhood. We recognize that some of the industrial sites in the South End have been in operation for a long time, but we need recognition that residents have also been in the area for a long time, and that most of the current industrial activity has grown exponentially from its original size and impact (e.g. new equipment, expanded facilities, added shifts to a 24-hour operation). When permits are granted, the process should include an assessment of the added impact on the neighbourhood and the cumulative effect of increases over time. In order to co-exist, we need some reasonable limits on the impact of industrial noise on nearby residents.	219	D4.7 Waterfront Waterfront Dedication	ADD NEW POLICY AFTER D4.7.13 Give consideration to mitigating noise where possible to respect adjacent neighbourhoods.	This proposed new policy was endorsed at the May 9, 2022 GPC meeting.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
407	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06	<p>The entire Protection Island waterfront is outlined under the waterfront designation map. With respect to mobility goals, recreation opportunities, environmental protection, residential and tourist accommodations, there may be issues regarding increased development on the island. Properties such 25 Spyglass Lookout should be purchased by the City before it is too late (lot is currently not developed but plans are in the works). Other wetlands could also be protected from development (two lots where Smugglers Park meets Captain Morgans Blvd). Air B and Bs need to be regulated and vacation rentals should be limited to maintain the environmental integrity of this small island. Are there any policies planned to address these concerns? Protection Island is not a tourist destination. It is a residential neighbourhood. There are no attractions here for a tourist to enjoy.</p> <p>As mentioned above, there are some undeveloped lots that encroach significantly on wetland setbacks. These should be investigated as possible targets for purchase by the City before they become developed and destroy the integrated wetlands. Such purchases would be consistent with the goals of this Draft Plan.</p>	221	D4.7 Waterfront - Protection Island	N/A	Aquiring additional parkland on Protection Island has not been identified as a City priority, as the island currently contains a generous amount parkland in relation to other areas of the City.	Do Not Recommend Change
408	City Staff	Delete policy	221	D4.7 Waterfront D4.7.38 Duke Point To South City Limit	DELETE POLICY D4.7.38	To be consistent with Council direction on policy in other sections of the Plan	Recommend Change
409	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Pg 222 – No acknowledgement of the importance and potential of existing (and future) r.o.w.'s canopy and boulevard planting in providing biodiversity, ecological connectivity, defining character and health of community.	222	D4.8 Green Spaces	N/A	Section C1.3 Urban Tree Canopy, Natural Areas, & Greenways contains many policies to support the goal of biodiversity and ecological connectivity on existing and future road rights of ways.	Do Not Recommend Change
410	City Staff	Replace with “Use parkland dedication through subdivision and development to acquire lands that complement the city’s parks and trail network and to fulfill park needs”	223	D4.8 - Green Spaces : D4.8.6 Parks and Open Spaces	REVISED WORDING D4.8.6 Use parkland dedication through subdivision and development to acquire lands that complement the city’s parks and trail network and to fulfill park needs.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
411	Government Stakeholders - Agricultural Land Commission - 2022.04.22	To meet Agricultural Land Commission OCP policy requirements.	224	D4.8 Green Spaces Resource Management Designation D4.8.11	ADD WORDING - D4.8.12 Include in the Resource Management designation, Agricultural Land Reserve and other lands of resource, environmental, and open space value. Uses within the Agricultural Land Reserve are subject to the Agricultural Land Commission Act (ALCA) and Regulations.	Staff recommend adding the policy to meet the ALCs Bylaw Review - A Guide for Local Governments.	Recommend Change
412	Government Stakeholders - Agricultural Land Commission - 2022.04.22	To meet Agricultural Land Commission OCP policy requirements.	224	D4.8 Green Spaces Resource Management Designation D4.8.16	ADD WORDING - D4.8.17 Where other land uses are adjacent to ALR lands, buffers that meet or exceed provincial standards will be provided when these lands develop, with consideration given to provincial guidelines for buffering agricultural lands.	Staff recommend the change as it clarifies the intent of the policy.	Recommend Change
413	Government Stakeholders - Agricultural Land Commission - 2022.04.22	To meet Agricultural Land Commission OCP policy requirements.	224	D4.8 Green Spaces Resource Management Designation D4.8.11	NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER D4.8.16 (D4.8.18) Encourage the clustering and limited sizing of buildings and structures on ALR land to maximize potential agricultural uses and preserve the integrity of the city’s agricultural land base.	Staff recommend adding the policy to meet the ALCs Bylaw Review - A Guide for Local Governments. Policy wording is provided by ALC.	Recommend Change
414	Government Stakeholders - Agricultural Land Commission - 2022.04.22	To meet Agricultural Land Commission OCP policy requirements.	224	D4.8 Green Spaces Resource Management Designation D4.8.11	NEW POLICY TO BE ADDED AFTER D4.8.17 (D4.8.19) Ensure the Agricultural Land Commission is consulted on requests for the development of farmworker housing on lands within the ALR.	Staff recommend adding the policy to meet the ALCs Bylaw Review - A Guide for Local Governments. Policy wording is provided by ALC.	Recommend Change
05 MOBILITY NETWORK							

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
415	Neigh Assoc Edits – Stephenson Point Neighbourhood Association – 2022.04.05	Figure 42/Table 3 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mobility “goals, primary purpose, and ultimate design standard” are not met in the mobility route is shown on Oakridge/Sundown/Gulfview/Tiki. All areas in HB, downslope of Oakridge (the majority of HB residences), and all of SP are challenged by a route unsuitable for all ages and abilities due to the circuitous and steep (10-22%) approaches to the route. <p>6</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To serve the aspirational aspect of this document and the majority of HB and all SP households, a second mobility route must be added along HBRd between Oakridge and Tiki roads. 	232	D5.5. Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network & Schedule 3	UPDATE ACTIVE MOBILITY & PRIMARY TRANSIT NETWORK & SCHEDULE 3 Show Hammond Bay Road between Oakridge and Tiki-Way as a secondary active mobility route.	Staff recommend the change to improve map accuracy with respect to Stephenson Point area.	Recommend Change
416	Neigh Assoc Edits - CRCA - 2022.04.08	We need a transit hub that meets the expected growth of our area as a whole. We need increased transit service using smaller buses that come to that hub, where a larger bus then goes to other areas of our city.	232/233	D5.5. Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network	N/A	Schedule 3 - Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network map identifies a Transit Exchange to be located at South Gate.	Do Not Recommend Change
417	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06	One of the goals of the plan is to reduce reliance on individual transportation or increase public transportation. PI roadways would be classified as urban streets, yet we accommodate all forms of transportation on our unpaved roads (pedestrian, cycling, golf cars, full-size cars and trucks, but no public transportation). Would we be eligible to become “mobility roads”? Would we be eligible for upgrades to accommodate all these modes more safely as our population density increases? Similarly, islanders can only rely on two modes of transportation to and from the island, privately owned Dinghy Dock Ferry or personal watercraft (gas powered, electric, human powered currently practiced). On the City side, islanders can only arrive to privately controlled moorage: Port of Nanaimo which operates as a private entity and charges commercial moorage rates; and private marinas along Stewart Avenue. Commercial services to the island (barges) can only access via the Brechin boat launch. On the Island side, moorage capacity is below that required to meet current demands. Looking to the future, what are the plans to keep this city neighbourhood connected to the public transportation hub located in the downtown core? Will there one day be a publicly owned and operated public (Cont..)	232/233	D5.5 Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network & C2.2 Integrated Walk, Roll, Cycle, & Transit C2.2.28 Other Connections	N/A	Staff do not recommend the change as mobility street classifications are related to mixed land use which has a more pedestrian intensive need, sidewalks on Mobility streets are substantially wider than Urban. Mobility is not appropriate on Protection Island. BC Transit and its operating agencies (the RDN) are not structured to include ferry service. Policy supporting a public marine connection may be suitable. Policy outlining provisions for Protection Island residents vehicle storage is not suitable for an OCP.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
418	Neigh Assoc Edits - PINA - 2022.04.06 continued	<p>transportation connection (foot ferry) to connect islanders to the hub so that they may be less reliant on personal transportation modes (boats)? Will the rest of the Nanaimo residents and visitors have access to PI via a “bus transfer” when commuting via public transportation? What guarantees/commitments do PI residents have from the City of Nanaimo to maintain our connections to the City without being “priced out” of participating in city life due to transportation limitations currently controlled by private companies?</p> <p>With increased population on the island, even with just one car per household, there will be increased need for parking on the City side. To date, this has not been a significant issue as islanders utilize free street parking or use paid parkade parking facilities or some combination of these. While we would like to reduce our dependence on personal vehicles for short trips, we will still need vehicles in the next 25 years of this Draft Plan for other types of trips. We will need access to parking. Islanders would like to have the support of the City to obtain “resident parking” status on those streets closest to our moorage access points downtown and along Stewart Avenue. Would the City consider granting street parking, either free or for a reasonable price (\$1-\$2 /day or \$30-\$60/month), to island residents?</p>	232/233	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
419	Gov Stakeholder Input - MoTI - 2022.04.08	Strongly recommends that the City consider maintaining a 4 lane cross section and that the City consider the designation of Northfield Roads a major arterial roadway. Zoning and development along this corridor should be planned to support a 4 lane arterial role and function with supporting active transportation infrastructure	234	D5.6 Major Road Network - Figure 43	N/A	Do Not Recommend Changed needed in City Plan as Northfield is already listed as a major urban arterial. Jamie consulted with MOTI on this one. LBR	Do Not Recommend Change
420	Neigh Assoc Edits - CRCA - 2022.04.08	<p>The viability of Cranberry Connector through these proposed lands is still being reviewed. Again, this might mean even more traffic through an area which is not designed to manage this volume. Environmental concerns are also part of this area too.</p> <p>We need the City and MOTI to agree that a secondary access out of Cinnabar Valley area needs to be a priority. We need to have several suggested routes defined and explored for both cost and impact. There are significant lands which can be redeveloped today with no real benefit to our area. This will lead to even more traffic using Extension Road.</p>	234/235	D5.6 Major Road Network - C2.1.2 and Schedule 4	N/A	Policy supports redundancy in mobility network, however the identification of an alternate access to Chase River on a map would be premature without supporting documentation to support viability. Development of a secondary access to the Chase River would be a task suited to the Integrated Action Plan.	Do Not Recommend Change
06 PARKS, RECREATION, CULTURE, & WELLNESS							
421	City Staff	Introductory paragraph: remove from play in <i>supporting...</i> Add in fostering (add definition from Pathways to Wellbeing)	237	D6.1 - About Parks, Recreation, Culture, and Wellness: Section Overview	REVISE WORDING - INTRODUCTION As we look forward in City Plan - Nanaimo ReImagined , there is an opportunity to integrate a parks, recreation, culture, and wellness lens, acknowledging the central role these services play in fostering “the wellbeing of individuals and communities, and of our built and natural environments” (Pathways to Wellbeing: A Framework for Recreation in Canada, 2015).	N/A	Recommend Change
422	City Staff	Include reference to culture and connection to self, community and/or nature and add environmental protection & stewardship.	237	D6.1 - About Parks, Recreation, Culture, and Wellness: Section Overview	REVISE WORDING - SECOND PARAGRAPH The terms parks, recreation, culture, and wellness are often used together to describe the collective system of indoor and outdoor spaces, programs, experiences, and features. This collective system allows community members to participate in physical, social, intellectual, cultural, creative, and spiritual pursuits, as well as opportunities focusing on environmental protection and stewardship. These opportunities have potential to enhance individual and community wellbeing and provide connection to self, community, and nature.	N/A	Recommend Change
423	City Staff	Remove Definitions on Page 37 and put in glossary instead	237	D6.1 - About Parks, Recreation, Culture, and Wellness: Section Overview	ON PAGE 237, DELETE WORDING AND MOVE TERMS TO GLOSSARY. Retain everything else (introduction, first two paragraphs and last paragraph.	N/A	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS							
Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
424	City Staff	Remove pages 249 to 253 to create supporting document except for certain sections.	249-253	D6.1 - About Parks, Recreation, Culture, and Wellness: Section	DELETE PAGES 249 TO 253 AND MOVE INTO SUPPORTING DOCUMENT TITLED: PARKS, RECREATION, CULTURE, & WELLNESS SUPPLEMENTARY SUPPORTING DOCUMENT EXCEPT FOR THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS: Change Title Name: D6.2 Existing Parks, Recreation, Culture & Wellness Amenities Since the Parks, Recreation, and Culture Master Plan was created in 2005, hundreds of hectares of new parkland have been added to the network, protecting greenspace in perpetuity. A number of recreational and culture facilities have also been added expanding programming, culture and art amenities. -add below this paragraph -figure 44 & 45 (with changes to % from other tracking sheet) -add figure 48 Existing Recreation & Culture Facilities, and change to now be called Fig 46 with the following changes *add Stadium District *Change Harbour City Theater to OV Art Centre *add Curling Rink *add Rugby Club House (part of Pioneer Park) *add Chase River Activity Centre *add McGirr Field House *remove Departure Bay Activity Centre *add Harewood Covered Multi-Purpose Court -remove schools from this legend (included in Fig 49)	Reduce the size of the document.	Recommend Change
425					Add Title D6.3 Walking Distance to Existing Parks & Open Spaces Keep Fig 47 but include on 2 pages Add Title D6.4 Existing and Proposed Future Parks, Recreation, Culture and Wellness Amenities Keep Fig 49 with following changes (this map should come from Sch 5 with document changes from Schedule 5 tracking sheet) confirm these changes are on the map Legend • Add schools -Green Dot - Park/Trail General location for prioritization of a new park and/or trail • Green Dot added to Brannen Lake (to identify future park/trail system) • Green Dot added to Diver Lake • Green Dot added to the Hammond Bay mobility route in front of RDN sewage treatment plant to indicate proposed future trail and park location?? • Remove “(all active and mobility routes and recreational trails of varying standards)” from Legend and just keep the text “Trail” • Include wording “Map is for illustrative purposes only refer to Schedule 5”		
PART E TAKING ACTION							
01 AREA & NEIGHOURHOOD PLANNING							

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
426	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05 & Neigh Assoc Edits - Dover Bay - 2022.03.17 & General Public	Figure 50: Nanaimo Neighbourhoods/ Table 7: Overview of Plan Types • Incorrect to say that ‘Existing Neighbourhood Plans’ are “typically aligned with Neighbourhood ‘Planning Areas’”. Figure 50 demonstrates this clearly: • ‘Chase River NeighPlan’ is split by two ‘Planning Areas’ (South End/Chase River/Duke Point and Harewood). • ‘Harewood NeighPlan’ is one-third/two-third split between two ‘Planning Areas’ (Old City Quarter/University and Harewood). • ‘Departure Bay Neigh Plan’ is split in half between two ‘Planning Areas’. Of the two ‘Planning Areas’, the NeighPlan covers one half of Departure Bay ‘Planning Area’, and one-third of Linley Valley ‘Planning Area’. • ‘RP/HB/SP NeighPlan’ is split between three ‘Planning Areas’ (North Slope, Hammond Bay and Linley Valley), and a small portion of a fourth (Long Lake). While it covers all of the Hammond Bay ‘Planning Area’ it only covers one half of North Slope ‘Planning Area’, and one quarter of Linley Valley ‘Planning Area’. • ‘Old City NeighPlan’ is split by two ‘Planning Areas’ (City Centre/Protection Island and Old City Quarter/University). The NeighPlan covers one-third of the City Centre/Protection Island ‘Planning Area’ and less than one-quarter of the Old City Quarter/University ‘Planning Area’. (continued...)	259	Area and Neighbourhood Planning Table 7 - Overview of Plan Types	REVISE TEXT -Table 7 Study Area Column, Existing Neighbourhood Plans " See Figure 44-Nanaimo Neighbourhoods which shows the Neighbourhood Plan areas "	Text to be revised to provide more clarity.	Recommend Change
427		• ‘Hospital NeighPlan’ is not shown. It is split between two ‘Planning Areas’ (Northfield and Townsite). Neither of which the NeighPlan covers more than 50%. • ‘Newcastle/Brechin’ and ‘South End’ NeighPlan’s are the only two of seven Neighbourhood Plans which align with ‘Planning Area’ boundaries. This is demonstrably far from “existing Neighbourhood Plans being typically aligned with Neighbourhood ‘Planning Areas’”. • SPNA has concerns about how the split of our neighbourhood between two ‘Planning Areas’ (Linley Valley and Hammond Bay), and our NeighPlan between four ‘Planning Areas’ (North Slope, Hammond Bay, Linley Valley and Long Lake) will hamper residents/SPNA to easily, clearly and efficiently engage and input to City on matters of interest in SP. One example of how that concern has been realized is that, through the life of the ReImagine process, there has been at least two occasions where Staff/Departments have inappropriately attributed comments/survey responses of residents 3.5 kilometers outside our neighbourhood boundary (but inside SP’s ‘Planning Area’) as being representative SP’s issues and SP resident’s opinions. Suggestion: Realign ‘Planning Area’ (continued...)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
428		boundaries to align with Neighbourhood Association boundaries, and/or Neighbourhood Plan boundaries – which you say they “typically” do, but demonstrably do not, as shown in Figure 50. (ex: Take half of SP out of Linley Valley ‘Planning Area’ and put all into Hammond Bay ‘Planning Area’. This would align ‘our’ ‘Planning Area’ boundary with our watershed, geography, utility infrastructure, road connections, postal zones, recreation patterns, transit stops, and at least one of our school catchments.) A suggestion regarding Community Associations and the Boundaries they have compared to the AREAS that the CITY PLAN discusses would be to INCLUDE a NNN MAP with an explanation that the boundaries do not always fit with City Plan areas. It would help alleviate concerns and would help booster our Associations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
429	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Confused about terms. ‘Neighbourhood Plans’ are to be discontinued. ‘Planning Area Plans’ referred to throughout the Relmagine and Nanaimo Neighbourhood Organizational Capacity processes no longer appears. Now document references only ‘Area Plans’, which appear to be limited to addressing corporately owned mall-lands within ‘Urban Centres’ (Woodgrove, North Town, Country Club), but not impacts to residents of the surrounding ‘Planning Areas’ (Dover, Rutherford/Pleasant Valley, Long Lake, Diver Lake, and Departure Bay). Please clarify.	259	E1 Area & Neighbourhood Planning	N/A	Area Plans will be used as a key tool for exploring more detailed directions for areas where a large portion of the city's future growth and densification is anticipated, notably Urban Centres.	Do Not Recommend Change
430	City Staff	Amend Section E1.1 by adding clause(s) to acknowledge Bowers Master Plan and Sandstone Master Plan.	260	E1.1 - Area Plans: Section Overviews	REVISED WORDING - SECTION OVERVIEW To date, the following Area Plans have been developed and form part of City Plan: -The Nanaimo Downtown Plan (2002) -Port Drive Waterfront Master Plan (2018) -Hospital Area Plan (2018) - Bowers District master Plan (2022) - Sandstone Master Plan (2022)	These two plans were adopted recently by bylaw	Recommend Change
431	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	E1.1.6 - Clarify for lay persons with examples of what inconsistencies you are anticipating.	260	E1.1 Area Plans	N/A	In particulare if a land use designation is shown in a neighbourhood plan, it is the land use designation in City Plan that will take precedence when determining if an Official Community Plan amendment is required.	Do Not Recommend Change
432	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Where there are inconsistencies, City Plan will take precedence over Area Plans. Revisit this. City Plan should work with Area Plans, not take precedence. Where there are inconsistencies, the City Plan will take precedence over existing neighbourhood plans. This statement translates into City trumps neighbourhoods. Given a fair amount of thought, time and financial investment has been put into the neighbourhood plans, it would be more advisable that the neighbourhood plans should lay on top of the City plan. Revise this please. Neighbourhood plans have taken a long time to complete and therefore should be respected when there are differences between city and neighbourhood plans. Since they existed prior to this plan, they should take precedence.	260 263	E1.2 Area Plans Policy E1.1.6 E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Policy E1.2.9	N/A	The policies from the Neighbourhood Plans have been integrated into City Plan (both text and maps). The Neighbourhood Plans will continue to be key guiding documents.	Do Not Recommend Change
433	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	RP/HB/SP NeighPlan not done in 2008. Done in 2002. Without explanation, notification, or resident consultation Staff, in 2005, deleted residents aspiration for a park/trail within the RDN Sewage Treatment property (now being added back in by Staff). If any NeighPlan was undertaken in 2008 then neither residents nor SPNA were consulted.	261	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Introduction	REVISED WORDING - EXISTING NEIGHBOURHOOD PLANS Rock Point/Hammond Bay/Stephenson Point Neighbourhood Plan (2002).	Correct the adoption date	Recommend Change
434	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Incorrect to say that “key policy elements contained within the seven current neighbourhood plans have been integrated. (ex: RP/HB/SP ‘Walking Streets’ was not even given the respect of being listed at an ‘Idea for Consideration’ – despite 20+years of advocacy, past successes in securing covenants to protect form and character, and recent hard-fought successes in securing pedestrian improvements on SPRd. Suggestion: Residents/SPNA sit with Staff and review NeighPlan to ensure it is truly integrated.	261	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Introduction	N/A	City Plan is intended to provide broader policy guidance. The intention is that broader neighbourhood engagement will occur to regularly confirm and establish priority actions for implementation.	Do Not Recommend Change
435	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Elaborate with examples of what scale, type, cost of issues and which Department jurisdictions would be covered when “considering needs and interests of all residents regarding more modest changes in their neighbourhoods”. Clearly set expectations for residents and provide a clear mandate to Staff/Departments.	261	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement	N/A	The priority is to focus support for broader neighbourhood engagement to regularly confirm and establish priority actions for implementation. Priority actions identified will be brought forward and considered by Council during budget considerations. The Neighbourhood Association Policy will help to guide the neighbourhood engagement process.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
436	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	According to the draft of the Official Community Plan, the City of Nanaimo is divided into 18 Neighbourhood Planning areas. These areas do NOT reflect on the ground neighbourhoods in any way and nor do these areas have commonalities such as geographical or traffic or other issues. Suggest you change the planning areas to reflect on the ground neighbourhoods.	261	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Figure 50 – Nanaimo Neighbourhoods	Figure 50 - Nanaimo Neighbourhoods	Figure 50 - Nanaimo Neighbourhoods is proposed to be revised to provide more clarity.	Recommend Change
437	GPC Motion 2022-04-11 & Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29	That the language in the City Plan referencing neighbourhood plans be strengthened from using “supporting” to language such as “primary”, or “key.”	261	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Introduction	REVISED WORDING - INTRODUCTION City Plan focuses on equitable engagement across all neighbourhoods including those supported by neighbourhood associations. While this Plan recognizes existing neighbourhood plans as key documents for implementing City Plan, it... These plans will continue to serve as key and guiding documents for development planning and approval review processes in their respective neighbourhoods. While neighbourhood plans do not form part of...	GPC motion	Recommend Change
438	Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29	In the section on Desired Outcomes for Neighbourhoods, we recommend the language be strengthened so that all developments, not just variances, would have to respect the historical character of the neighbourhood in their design.	262	Section E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Desired Outcomes	N/A	Considered at the May 9 GPC and Do Not Recommend Change was recommended by the GPC. The current practice is to request a variance rationale so that each application can be considered on its own merit. City Plan provides a high level policy on building form and individual applications for variances are considered based on City Plan policy.	Do Not Recommend Change
439	GPC 2022-04-11 & Neigh Assoc Edits - Nanaimo Old City Association - 2022.03.29	Support for additional policy in keeping with neighbourhood regular priority setting; and That the word collective be added to policy E1.2.1. to clarify intent of annual meeting	262	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement - E1.2.1 policies	REVISED WORDING E1.2.1 Council will host an annual engagement opportunity to hear the collective concerns and priorities of neighbourhoods, and to share updates on City initiatives. ADD NEW POLICY The City will establish an organizational process where individual neighbourhood associations meet every 5 years (at least) to set priorities for their neighbourhood in writing.	GPC Motion	Recommend Change
440	Neigh Assoc Edits - NOCA - 2022.03.29 & Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	NOCA Recommends language be added to the draft City Plan that explicitly requires re-zoning applications to be forwarded to neighbourhood associations for review and recommendations. This should include all developments even if they don't require rezoning so that all developments respect the heritage and character of the Old City. We believe that enforcing historical character design features for all development in the Old City is the only way to preserve its character. Section E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement – revise wording for Policy E1.2.7. with respect to development referral. Westwood Inform the Neighbourhood Association (if one exists) of any... Please replace this with Neighbourhood Associations and groups. As it stands, it appears the City is not going to work or share information with any neighbourhood groups who are not classified as associations. Public information should be available to all of the public. Very poor optics for the City.	263	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Policy E1.2.7	REVISED WORDING - E1.2.3 Official Community Plan amendment and Rezoning development applications will be referred to relevant neighbourhood associations for input purposes, and development permit applications will be referred to relevant neighbourhood associations for information.	The proposed revised policy is consistent with the Neighbourhood Association Supports Policy.	Recommend Change
441	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Encourage the establishment of neighbourhood associations should read establishment of neighbourhood associations and groups (informal or otherwise)	263	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Policy E1.2.4	N/A	Staff recommend Do Not Recommend Change as Policy E1.2.4 is consistent with Council's recently endorsed Neighbourhood Association Supports Policy.	Do Not Recommend Change
442	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Provide services and support to neighbourhood associations as per Council's policy. This needs to be redacted. It is not clear at this time if the policy has been written and passed. If it has not been passed then it is inappropriate to include future unwritten policy. This can be done at a later date.	263	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Policy E1.2.5.	N/A	The Neighbourhood Association Supports Policy was endorsed by Council on April 25, 2022.	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
443	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Maintain a map of neighbourhood association self-defined boundaries for public and reference purposes. Support this. Is it possible to give out or overlay on another map in the OCP with the provision these areas are not legal boundaries? And are changeable?	263	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Policy E1.2.6	N/A	Neighbourhood Association self defined boundaries are changeable. Staff can provide mapping support as requested.	Do Not Recommend Change
444	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Inform the Neighbourhood Association (if one exists) of any... Please replace this with Neighbourhood Associations and groups. As it stands, it appears the City is not going to work or share information with any neighbourhood groups who are not classified as associations. Public information should be available to all of the public. Very poor optics for the City.	263	E1.2 Neighbourhood Engagement Policy E1.2.7	REVISED WORDING E1.2.7 Inform the Neighbourhood Association and groups (if one exists), of any Official Community Plan, rezoning, development permit application affecting land within the area covered by the Neighbourhood Assoc - removed	City Plan states that there will be "equitable engagement across all neighbourhoods including those supported by neighbourhood associations" (page 261). Also, all development applications are available on the City's website on What's Building.	Do Not Recommend Change
02 BYLAWS & PERMITS							
445	City Staff	Make minor edit to first paragraph on page 231 by changing the phrase "that may not warrant a change" to "that may not warrant a permanent change"	231	E2.1 Temporary Use Permits	Temporary Use Permits are included in City Plan as an interim (short term) alternative for proposed land uses that may not warrant a permanent change to the land use designation or zoning of land. Temporary uses are typically of a trial / interim use nature, or are a seasonal / occasional use.	Clarifies purpose of the temporary use permit.	Recommend Change
446	Legal Review	Add a concluding sentence to the end of the main body of E2.2 as recommended by the legal review.	266	E2.2 - Development Approval Information Introduction	REVISED WORDING E2.2 INTRODUCTION The objective of the Development Approval Information Area is to ensure that a development proposal is consistent with the City's strategic directions and priorities as outlined in City Plan.	Lawyer advises that the special conditions or objective of the DAI must be more clear.	Staff recommend proceed with change
447	Circular Cities and Regions Initiatives	Update... the Development Approval Procedure and Notification bylaw to facilitate the circular economy	266	E2.2 - Development Approval Information Introduction	REVISED WORDING E2.2 - INTRODUCTION The information provided in a Development Approval Information report helps to ensure that future development considers potential impacts to: - The natural environment; - Transportation patterns and networks; - City infrastructure (sanitary sewer, water supply, storm water management); - Public facilities (schools and parks, community services, recreation, culture, and wellness facilities); - Economic and social development; - Archaeological and heritage; - Form and character; - Climate action including greenhouse gas reduction, energy conservation, circular economy principles , and water conservation.	Add language to support future action items that may require consideration of circular economy (waste reduction, energy efficiency) during future development applications.	Recommend Change
03 DEVELOPMENT PERMIT & HERITAGE CONSERVATION AREAS							
448	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Suggests ESA's only pertain to streams and riparian areas. Not accurate with two of SP's ESA's (covering Planta Park and Nottingham Park (top end of Nottingham road) not related to riparian areas or streams.	ESA Map DPA 1	Map ESA Map DPA 1	N/A	Planta Park ESA area is identified on Schedule 6 - Environmentally Sensitive Areas map, and these upland ESAs will be called Terrestrial ESAs - see updated Schedule 6 map.	Do Not Recommend Change
449	City Staff	Correction to the number of DPA related map schedules in City Plan. Also a correction that HCA guidelines are in City Plan (not in Zoning Bylaw).	270	Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas Implementation	REVISE WORDING - INTRODUCTION This section provides the justification and objectives for establishing DPAs and HCAs under the Local Government Act. Schedules 6 to 13, which form part of City Plan, define the geographic areas that apply to the DPAs and HCA. The City's Zoning Bylaw details the regulatory guidelines for each DPA, including any permit exemptions.	Correct to the number of map schedules related to the DPAs and HCA. Also a correction that HCA guidelines are in City Plan (not in Zoning Bylaw).	Recommend Change
450	City Staff	Delete (a) Natural Environment from DPA8 Form and Character	270	Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas Table 8 - Number 8 - Form and Character	REMOVE BULLET (a) (a) Natural Environment (e) Intensive Residential Development (f) Commercial, Industrial, Multi-Family Residential (h) Energy Conservation (i) Water Conservation (j) Green House Gas Emissions	Intention was to add Energy and Water conservation, and GHG emissions. Not natural environment, as the natural environment is protected by DPA 1 ESA.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
451	City Staff	Amend the Justification for DPA 1 Environmentally Sensitive Areas to include terrestrial and marine foreshore ESAs.	271	E3 - DPA 1 - Environmentally Sensitive Areas Justification for the Designation	<p>REVISE WORDING - DPA 1 - JUSTIFICATION FOR THE DESIGNATION Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESAs) are unique ecosystems with high biodiversity. They include the marine foreshore, coastal bluffs, terrestrial herbaceous areas, mature forests and woodlands, vegetated areas, wetlands, as well as watercourses and their riparian areas. ESAs also provide protection for the habitat of rare and endangered species and provide a network of wildlife habitat nodes and corridors within the city. Indigenous, rare, and endangered plant and animal species, and ecological communities that are vulnerable due to their limited range, need special consideration. The identification of lands known to contain a terrestrial ESA is based on the Provincial Sensitive Ecosystem Inventory.</p> <p>Riparian areas provide habitat for a variety of species, travel corridors for other wildlife, as well as protection of property from flooding and potential loss of land from channel erosion and instability. Riparian areas border streams, lakes, and wetlands, linking the water to the land. The blend of streambed, water, trees, shrubs, and grasses in a riparian area creates and directly influences fish habitat. Protecting riparian areas, while facilitating urban development that embraces high standards of environmental stewardship, is a priority for the City. (continued...)</p>	revised wording is supported.	Recommend Change
452					<p>It is in the public interest to regulate activities for the riparian lands adjacent to watercourses for the purposes of habitat protection, flood prevention, and erosion control.</p> <p>The marine foreshore is also a critical ESA that supports many indigenous, rare, and endangered species that rely on the specialized habitats found on the coast. Vegetation on the marine foreshore promotes stable and biologically diverse areas that extend ecological support into the marine environment. These ecosystems also help in maintaining shoreline stability and minimizing erosion.</p> <p>Development can contribute to the degradation of natural areas through the loss of trees, vegetation, and wildlife. DPA 1 Environmentally Sensitive Areas is intended to avoid and minimize the impacts of new and redeveloped sites, and to maintain or restore important ESAs in the city. Lands subject to DPA1 are identified on Schedule 6 of City Plan.</p>		
453	City Staff	Revise objectives to include all ESAs.	271	E3 - DPA 1 - Environmentally Sensitive Areas Objectives	<p>REVISE WORDING - OBJECTIVES 1. To protect all environmentally sensitive areas in order to provide biologically diverse corridors and areas for wildlife and fish.</p> <p>2. To protect the riparian area adjacent to watercourses.</p> <p>3. To protect adjacent upland areas that exert an influence on a watercourse from development impacts.</p> <p>4. To direct restoration and enhancement of environmentally sensitive areas.</p> <p>5. To protect natural features, functions, and conditions that support fish and wildlife processes.</p> <p>6. To prioritize avoidance of negative impacts to environmentally sensitive areas, over mitigation or compensation.</p> <p>7. To avoid disturbance and to protect areas of high environmental sensitivity and biodiversity, including terrestrial and marine foreshore environmentally sensitive areas, to ensure the maximum protection of functional habitat.</p>	Adding ESA to several of the objectives in DPA 1 clarifies the intent that DPA 1 applies to terrestrial ESAs, riparian ESAs, and marine foreshore ESAs.	Recommend Change
454	City Staff	Objective 3 in DPA 6 does not make sense. Also better to use indigenous vegetation rather than native vegetation.	241	DPA6 Objective	3. To preserve steep slope areas in their natural state in order to protect natural vegetation and sensitive ecosystems.	refine language	Recommend Change
455	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Westwood Lake has two areas which are designated as high risk. This then should suggest protocols for the surrounding neighbourhoods would be put in place and discussed with the residents so they know who to call and what to do.	275	E3 Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas DPA 5 Wildfire Hazard	N/A	The City has other initiatives to educate the public about wildfire response. The development permit area map (Schedule 10) is intended to be used in relation to future development considerations.	Do Not Recommend Change
456	Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	While in principle is a good idea, this never done. Needs to be enforced and not let through otherwise.	276	E3 Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas DPA 6 Steep Slope Development	N/A	The "Steep Slope Development Permit Area Guidelines"	Do Not Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
457	Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Problems within the subdivision and site approval process regarding R-10/Steep Slope development need addressing. Since 2008 issues of inadequate on-site parking and vehicle circulation on R-10 developments within SP have been brought up to Staff by residents/SPNA. A large amount of Staff time and resources have been used addressing problems arising from past City's site plan approvals. (note: This is not an issue of the steep slope guidelines/zoning per se – which the NeighPlan Committee enthusiastically drafted upon the request of City in 1998). • All Staff (senior management and designated NA liaison) counselled and directed residents/SPNA that these issues would be best addressed in a future OCP review, and most recently within ReImagine's Phase 3. Not shown where and how this will be undertaken in Fig 3 – Process.	276	E3 Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas DPA 6 Steep Slope Development, D4.5 Neighbourhoods	N/A	The "Steep Slope Development Permit Area Guidelines" guide proposed development in steep slope areas (DPA6 in the draft City Plan). Also, the parking requirements are established in the Parking Bylaw. The applicable bylaws and guidelines, including the City's Manual of Engineering Standards and Specifications, are used to review proposed development in Steep Slope areas. A future action item is to review development permit area guidelines	Do Not Recommend Change
458	City Staff	Revise text from "Justification for the Designation" of DPA 8 - Form and Character.	278	E2 - Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas - DPA8 - Form and Character - Justification for the Designation	REVISE WORDING - DPA 8 - FORM & CHARACTER Justification for the Designation - Over the past three decades, Neighbourhood Plans for different areas of the city have been created and include design guidelines with unique and common elements. DPA 8 consolidates these form and character preferences and supports their implementation. This DPA also supports general design guidelines for the entire community, as well as specific Neighbourhood Plan and Area Plan guidelines. Refer to Schedule 13 of City Plan for lands subject to DPA 8.	The revised wording clarifies the intent of the paragraph, such that the guidelines from all neighbourhood and area plans are acknowledged.	Recommend Change
459	City Staff	Add an objective relating to Energy, Water and Green House Gas Emissions reduction in DPA 8 Form and Character.	279	E2 - Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas DPA8 - Form and Character - Objectives	DPA 8 - Form and Character - Objectives - ADD TWO NEW OBJECTIVES 10. To ensure new development incorporates measures for water conservation and energy efficient design that supports the actions identified in City Plan Goal 1: A Green Nanaimo. 11. To promote net zero emissions in new development including alterations or additions to existing buildings.	While Table 8 on page 270 indicates that Energy and water conservation, as well as GHG emissions consideration will be incorporated into DPA 8 Form and Character, objectives are needed in DPA 8 to reflect this intention.	Recommend Change
460	City Staff	The specific desired outcomes and design guidelines for the Old City neighbourhood, Stewart Avenue, and North Terminal are addressed in the Zoning Bylaw amendment 4500.199 with design guidelines, and the neighbourhood plans for these areas. Also, the general objectives for DPA8 Form and Character in City Plan address the same design objectives, thus it is redundant to have the three Desired Outcome sections for the Old City neighbourhood, Stewart Avenue and North Terminal in this section of City Plan DPA8.	279	E2 - Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas - DPA8 - Form and Character - Objectives	DELETE THE FOLLOWING DESIRED OUTCOMES - DPA 8 Old City Neighbourhood Desired Outcomes (and four policies), Stewart Avenue Desired Outcomes (and three polies), and North Terminal Desired Outcomes (and four policies)	The specific desired outcomes and design guidelines for the Old City neighbourhood, Stewart Avenue, and North Terminal are addressed in the Zoning Bylaw amendment 4500.199 with design guidelines, and the neighbourhood plans for these areas. Also, the general objectives for DPA8 Form and Character in City Plan address the similar design objectives, thus it is redundant to have the three Desired Outcome sections for the Old City neighbourhood, Stewart Avenue and North Terminal in this section of City Plan DPA8.	Recommend Change
461	City Staff	Add Guidelines for HCA 1	281	Development Permit & Heritage Conservation Areas - HCA 1 - Heritage Conservation Area - Justification for the Designation	ADD NEW PARAGRAPH TO END OF SECTION The Heritage Conservation Area is identified on Schedule 13 – DPA 8 and HCA 1. A heritage alteration permit is required prior to alteration of a heritage building in HCA 1: Heritage Conservation Area. Alterations to heritage buildings shall follow the City of Nanaimo Heritage Building Design Guidelines (2018) and the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada.	It was determined that the reference to the guidelines for HCA1 need to be in City Plan (not in the Zoning Bylaw) in accordance with the Local Government Act.	Recommend Change
04 FINANCIAL MECHANISMS							
462	City Staff	Type of DCC category	249	E4.1	f Roads f Drainage f Sanitary sewer f Water Supply Water Distribution f Parkland	These are 2 distinct DCC Funds	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN AMENDMENTS

Tracking #	Source	Comment	February 28 Page #	February 28 Draft City Plan Section	May 25th Version City Plan Text/Graphic/Picture	Rationale	Recommendation
463	Neigh Assoc Edits - Chase River Neighbourhood Association - 2022.04.08	Neigh Assoc Edits - Chase River Neighbourhood Association - 2022.04.08 With regards to both DCC or CAC funds generated in a particular area we propose that a set percentage is guaranteed to stay in that area to address the infrastructure impacts (roads, sidewalks, traffic control or parks etc.) associated by the new development. Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08 These contributions should be placed in the area where the development is going and not in the pool to benefit other areas. Please be more succinct.	286/287	E4.1 Development Cost Charges, E4.1.1 Development Cost charges & E4.2 Community Amenity Contributions E4.2.3 Community Amenity Contributions	ADD NEW POLICY AFTER E4.2.3 E.4.2.4 Consideration should be given to securing amenities in the neighbourhood and adjacent areas whenever possible.	May 9, 2022 GPC Motion (Attachment B Recommend Change)	Recommend Change
464	General Public	Consider adding wording to support late comer agreements for utility systems that allow for upstream connection so early developers can potentially recuperate some of their costs	286	E4	N/A	There is nothing in City Plan that would prevent consideration of late comer agreements. Possibly could consider an action that provides further guidance on establishing these agreements as part of action planning.	Do Not Recommend Change
	05 RELATIONSHIPS						
	06 IMPLEMENTATION						
465	City Staff	Local Government Act says consider the most recent housing needs report...when developing an OCP.	293	E6.2 Monitoring & Reviewing City Plan	REVISE WORDING - E6.2.5 In approximately five years from plan adoption, review and update City Plan taking into consideration the findings of regular monitoring, new community input, updated planning information such as Housing Needs Assessments , and emerging knowledge and trends.	A housing needs assessment will be completed in 2022. The RDN housing needs assessment is the most recent for the City.	Recommend Change

DRAFT CITY PLAN EDITS | SCHEDULE

Source	Comment	Change made to the Draft City Plan Y/N
Schedule 1 City Boundary & UCB		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
Schedule 2 Land Use Plan		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Change designations for all active application parcels. Bower's District 30 Maxi Road Sandstone	Yes
City Staff	997 and 999 Cedar Road (adjacent to Sandstone) designated Neighbourhood Centre	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Change Schedule and legend name to "Future Land Use Designations"	Yes
City Staff	1161 Milton Street - change from Light Industrial designation to Waterfront	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	Change designations for Newcastle NP area - see map - GPC Motion	Yes
City Staff	make special service area and study area lines easier to see on map and legend- but different than City Boundary	Yes
City Staff	Change northern portion of Sandstone area to "Resource Management" see map.	Yes
City Staff	Change the designation for 791 Haliburton & 301 Eaton Rd from Suburban Neighbourhood to Waterfront	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Cottle Lake not shown.	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	With respect to Map Schedules: Maps at the back need major adjustments as at times, they are not to scale and are missing important features. For instance, Westwood Lake is missing in one of the maps. Schedule 2 Land Use Plan map 2. In other maps, some features appear to be listed but can't find them on the ground.	Yes
GPC Motion - 2022.04.11	City Governance and Priorities Committee recommended following changes to land use designations for Newcastle Neighbourhood under Schedule 2: 1) That all of Newcastle Neighbourhood east of Terminal Avenue be designated "Neighbourhood" with a maximum of 4 storeys. 2) That consideration be given to designating the three mixed use areas in the Newcastle Neighbourhood as Neighbourhood Centre.	Yes
Schedule 3 Active Mobility & Primary Transit Network		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add part of Hammond Bay as secondary route - see Schedule 3 - Active & Primary	Yes
City Staff	Add boxwood connect as secondary route - Schedule 3 - Active & Primary	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Ensure the Urban Centre areas match the Land Use Designation map. Woodgrove and Country Club especially.	Yes

Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	<p>Multiple policies emphasize an integrated walk, roll, cycle & transit network to serve school, work recreation and Neighbourhood Centres. Mobility route as shown on Oakridge/Sundown/Gulfview/Tiki does not achieve this: • Serves effectively only the Sundown/Gulfview and upslope Glen Oaks areas within HB.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All of HB downslope of Oakridge, and the entire SP neighbourhood are challenged by circuitous and steep (10-22%) – approaches to this route. Grades exceeding recommended standards for walking and cycling. • 10 of 18 HBRd transit stops within SP/HB are not accessed by the mobility route shown. • 6 of 8 parks along HB shoreline (including the major destination of Pipers Lagoon Park) are not accessed by a mobility route meant to connect residents to recreational assets. • Ecole Hammond School is not provided a practical and easy mobility route to the majority of HB and all SP households • Future Neighbourhood Centre at HBRd@Nottingham is not provided a practical, easy and safe mobility route to a critical portion of its future customer catchment (all residences downslope of Oakridge and along HBRd between Oakridge and Tiki). <p>To serve the aspirational aspect of this document and the majority of HB and all SP households, a second mobility route must be added along HBRd between Oakridge and Tiki</p>	Yes
Schedule 4 Road Network (Road Classification)		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Changes per Schedule 4 - Major Road Network - 2022.02.28. Downtown//Waterfront - Change Mobility Local to Mobility Collector. Near Calinda St. - change to "mobility arterial"	Yes
City Staff	Include road line work for Sandstone per Schedule 4 - Sandstone Proposed Road Network p. 38	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add to title (Road Classifications) in brackets.	Yes
City Staff	Add proposed Urban Collector connecting Ambience Drive with Glen Oaks Drive	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Misnamed map, as it shows status of all roads. Change to 'Road Classifications'.	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Difficult to clearly discern between 'urban local/collector/arterial'. Refine graphic.	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	<p>Linley between Golden Oaks and Miller's Farm – Shown as 'urban collector' counter to 2017 City redesign of Linley. Due to resident/SPNA lobbying, City reversed its decision to close the HBRd@Linley intersection. The closure would have required all traffic from the 105 Linley subdivision to use the HBRd@Nottingham intersection and inadequately traffic-engineered Miller's Farm as access. Golden Oaks was redesigned/realigned to lead directly to HBRd@Golden Oaks (previously Linley) intersection, with Linley as a side road t-junctioning off of it. City presentation to residents/SPNA stated that an added attribute of the redesign/realignment was that remaining parcels of zoned land at 163/200 Linley would, when developed in the future, be accessed through the 105 Linley development via Golden Oaks thereby: • Facilitate the greatest possible protected steep slope/tree management area on the lower steep slopes of 163/200 Linley.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rationalize the use of an existing upslope road (Golden Oaks) to access upslope development in 163/200 Linley. • Most importantly, minimize traffic generated from future development of 163/200 Linley from short-cutting to the HBRd@Nottingham intersection via the inadequately traffic-engineered Miller's Farm road (the key goal of the successful campaign that residents/SPNA had just won). <p>Change Linley to 'urban local', and Golden Oaks to 'urban collector' or leave as 'urban local'.</p>	Yes
Schedule 5 Parks, Recreation, Culture & Wellness		

City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
Kasia Biegun	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	include mobility trails as per Schedule 5- PRC&W Brannen Lake Trail 2022.04.28	Yes
City Staff	Show the estuary as Parks & Open Space owned by others. See page 243 of the City Plan	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	RDN Sewage Treatment property – A park and trail link on this property between McGuffie and Shores was identified by residents/NeighPlan Committee during the NeighPlan process and included in the 2002 RP/HB/SP NeighPlan. Without explanation, notification, or resident consultation Staff deleted it from the NeighPlan in 2005. Has the reason for its 2005 deletion changed or been fully considered before Staff now add it back to what residents identified in 2002?	Yes
GPC Motion - 2022-05-09	Revise Schedule 5 - Parks, Recreation, Culture and Wellness Map to show additional proposed parks in the South End.	Yes
Schedule 6 ESAs		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add new Terrestrial ESA layer	Yes
City Staff	Shade or hatch the terrestrial ESAs on the map.	Yes
City Staff	Revises map legend.	Yes
City Staff	Fix tributary near Linley Valley from 15 m to 7.5 fish bearing. See Schedule 6 - DPA 1	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	Label major rivers	Yes
City Staff	Tributary creek to Cottle Creek not mapped south of 605 and 611 Nottingham Dr • Missing crescent shaped wetland at the top of Nottingham drive	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits - Westwood - 2022.04.08	Check Environmentally Sensitive Area map Schedule 6 DPA Environmentally Sensitive Areas – check scale and location of environmentally sensitive areas.	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Nottingham Park (bordering DL56 at the top end of Nottingham) - Not shown as ESA as per past ESA mapping. Park was set aside upon Millers Farm subdivision because of its ESA status. Wetlands are monitored and maintained by resident volunteers. Add ESA label.	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Planta Park - West/'new' portion of Planta shown as ESA. Confused, as in Spring 2021 Parks Dept, during a discussion about 'Schedule D' errors, said residents/SPNA were wrong in saying this portion had ESA status. Confirm City's position and hopefully reaffirm its ESA status, as identified by residents/SPNA and as per past ESA mapping.	Yes
Schedule 7 Hazardous Slopes		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
Schedule 8 Sea Level Rise		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
Schedule 9 Abandoned Mine Working Hazards		
City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lakes and watercourse layer with major river names if legible with mine hazard layer	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
Schedule 10 Wildfire Hazard		

City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add the moderate Wildfire Hazard areas to the map from the 2016 wildfire report	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
Neigh Assoc Edits – SPNA – 2022.04.05	Is this accurate, up-to-date or complete? Residents/SPNA have collaborated with Emergency Services/Firesmart programs for years with DL56, Linley Valley in general and Planta Parks consistently identified as a wildfire hazard concern. Confirm accuracy of map and add explanation in Report as to how these areas are determined.	Yes

Schedule 11 | Steep Slope Development

City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Two parcels missing Steep Slope Development polygons - See Schedule 11 - DPA 6	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	Add the "significant ridgeline layer"	Yes

Schedule 12 | Nanaimo Parkway Design

City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes

Schedule 13 | Form & Character and Schedule 14 | HCA

City Staff	Add Protection Island label either on island to adjacent to it	Yes
City Staff	Add lake and watercourse layer with labels for major watercourses	Yes
City Staff	Add more road labels - include major road networks as Island Highway, Parkway etc.	Yes
City Staff	This map will be amalgamated with Schedule 13 and we will no longer have schedule 14.	Yes

General Mapping Amendment

--	--	--